

Notice of Financial Noncompliance

The accreditation rating for this school has defaulted to Priority Improvement due to financial noncompliance. This rating is not reflective of academic performance.

Notice of Coding Error

A coding error by the Charter School Institute resulted in the calculation and publication of inaccurate figures across CSI schools. In working closely with the Colorado Department of Education, as well as the testing vendor and the Center for Assessment, the Institute generated the corrected figures and framework reports for each school. These revised reports can be found at the CSI website via the following link: www.csi.state.co.us

School Performance Framework 2012

School: STONE CREEK SCHOOL - 0653

Priority Improvement (Revised)

Will enter Year 1* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their *I* overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Test Participation	Meets 95% Participation Rate	
Performance	at or above 59%			
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	TOTAL	85.3%	(64.
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%			
Turnaround	below 37%	² Schools may not be eligibl	e for all possible points on an indicator due to in	sufficient nu
Fromowerk points are a	alculated using the percentage	both the points earned and	d the points eligible, so scores are not negatively	impacted.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan % of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²										
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	95.8%	(24.0 out of 25 points)								
Academic Growth	Meets	80.0%	(40.0 out of 50 points)								
Academic Growth Gaps	-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	I							
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate										

9%				
9%	TOTAL	85.3%	(64.0 out of 75 points)	
7%				
7%	² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator	due to insuffic	cient numbers of students. In th	nese cases, the points are removed from

Framework points are calculated using the percentage ³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades). Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Improving

Academic

Achievement

SCHOOLVIew.org

* on July 1, 2013

Test Participation Rates

	9	% of Studen	ts Testeo	d	Participation Rating					Student	1	Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	· · ·			Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	70.7%	100.0%	-	81.8%	Does Not Meet	Meets	-	Does Not Meet	29	25	-	54	41	25	-	66
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	41	25	-	66	41	25	-	66
Writing	95.1%	100.0%	-	97.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	39	25	-	64	41	25	-	66
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	14	6	-	20	14	6	-	20
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

District: CHARTER SCHOOL INSTITUTE - 8001 (1 Year¹)

¹ Data in this report is based on results from: 2011-12



Performance Indicators							Level: Ele	ementary Schoo
School: STONE CREEK SCHOOL							District: CHARTER SCHOOL INSTITU	ITE - 8001 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	29	86.21	83	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	41	92.68	95	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	39	76.92	90	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	_	_	
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	26	44	30	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	24	82	29	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Total	6	8	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	%					
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	,,,	-	N<20			-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_		-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		_	N<20			_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Total	0	0	%	-				

Performance Indicators							Leve	l: Middle School
School: STONE CREEK SCHOOL							District: CHARTER SCHOOL INSTIT	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4	/01 01110	Exceeds	22	90.91	93	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	22	77.27	91	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	22	81.82	92	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Total	12	12	100%	Exceeds				
								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	21	56	23	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	21	76	65	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	21	52	40	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	<u> </u>	_	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	<u> </u>	_	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Total	0	0	%	-				

Scoring Guide										Level: EN		
		e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report									
Performance Indi						Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	Framework Poin		
		ool's percentage of students scoring proficient or ad				T						
		r above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200	•			Exceeds	4		16			
Academic		ow the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per		•		Meets	3		(4 for each	25		
Achievemen		ow the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per	1 0	10 baseline).		Approaching		2	content area)			
		ow the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10			Does Not Meet	•	1					
		hool meets the median adequate student growth pe	ercentile and its median student g	rowth percentile was:		r	TCAP					
		r above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14			
		ow 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each			
	• belo	ow 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area			
Academic	• belo	ow 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	0.5	and 2 for	50		
Growth	If the sc	hool does not meet the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median si	tudent growth percentile w	as:		TCAP	CELA	English			
	• at o	r above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language			
	• belo	ow 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)			
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1					
	• belo	ow 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1	0.5				
	If the st	udent subgroup meets the median adequate studen	t growth percentile and its media	n student growth percentile	e was:							
	• at o	r above 60.			Exceeds	4						
	• belo	ow 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3					
	• belo	ow 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60				
Academic	• belo	ow 30.				Does Not Meet	t	1	(4 for each of 5			
Growth Gaps	s If the st	udent subgroup does not meet the median adequate	e student growth percentile and it	ts median student growth p	ercentile was	:			subgroups in 3			
•	• at o	r above 70.		• ,		Exceeds	1	4	subject areas)			
	• belo	ow 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3				
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.			Appro							
	• belo	ow 40.			Does Not Mee			1				
ut-Points for eac	h performa	nce indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assign	ment						
		he school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.				rned	of the	total Framework points eligil	ble.		
Achievement:	• at or abo	/e 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or abo	ve 59%				Performance		
Growth; Gaps	• at or abo	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or abo	ve 47% - below	/ 59%			Improvement		
	• at or abo	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Points	• at or abo	ve 37% - below	47%		F	riority Improvemen			
	• below 37	5%		• below 37	'%				Turnaround			
hool plan type	assignments											
		Plan description										
erformance Plar	1	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	erformance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of								
nprovement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an I	mprovement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five								
riority Improver	nent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pr	riority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the								

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

ring Guid

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Loval, EN

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	Advanced	d by Pero	centile Cu	it-Points	- 1-year	(2009-10) baselin	e)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	Advanced	d by Pere	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 [.]	10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.