School Performance Framework 2012

School: PRAIRIE JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL - 7156

Level: MH

District: PRAIRIE RE-11 - 3147 (1 Year¹)

-		r		an	
D	ori		m	an	C۵
		U		an	LC

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	79.2%	(11.9 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	(26.3 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	I
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	100.0%	(35.0 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		86.1%	(73.2 out of 85 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

		% of Sti	udents Tested		Participation Rating			Students Tested					Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	25	26	51	-	25	26	51
Mathematics	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	25	26	51	-	25	26	51
Writing	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	25	26	51	-	25	26	51
Science	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	-	10	13	23	-	10	13	23
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	-	-	-	12	-



Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle School
School: PRAIRIE JUNIOR-SENIOR	HIGH SCHOOL						District: PRAIRIE R	-11 - 3147 (1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	- (/
Reading	3	4	/	Meets	24	87.5	88	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	23	78.26	92	
Writing	3	4		Meets	24	70.83	76	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	21	47	19	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	21	57	41	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	21	52	37	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)				-			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N .	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	- -	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-

Performance Indicators School: PRAIRIE JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGI							District: PRAIRIE RE	vel: High Schoo -11 - 3147 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	25	84	82	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	25	52	86	
Writing	3	4		Meets	25	52	54	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	_	-	
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4	/01/01/15	Exceeds	24	62	13	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	24	52	62	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	24	46	24	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)				-	24	-10	27	103
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	·······	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20			-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	0	0		-	N<1	16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	0	0	%	-			1.1.1-1	6677
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-		16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Minority Students	0	0		-		16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-		16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<1	16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		62	0%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	0	0		-		N<16	-	20.0

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

									Level:
formance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report								
				Rat	na	Poin	t Value	Total Possible per FMH Lev	el Framework Pr
	inced was:				g	1 0111	. Fulue		
				Exce	she		4	16	
		haseline)						-	15
									15
		baselinej.			<u> </u>				
		wth percentile was:		Does No	t Meet				
	entile und its medium student gro	win percentile was.		Exce	she		-	14	
							-	-	
						-	-	- '	
					<u> </u>		-	-	35
	wth perceptile and its median stu	dent growth percentile way	<i>c</i> •	DOCSING	t wiect			-	55
	win percentile and its median stat	Exco	odc		-				
				-					
								proficiency)	
				-		-	-		
	rowth percentile and its median	student arowth percentile	was.	DUES NO	t weet	1	0.3		
		student growth percentile i	wus.	Exce	she		4	-	
								-	
								- 60	
	but at or above 30.							-	
	tudent arouth percentile and its	madian student growth no	rconti		t Weet		1	- '	
	tudent growth percentile and its i	ineulun student growth per	TLEIILI		ada I		4		
								subject areas)	
								-	
					<u> </u>			-	
	The school's graduation rate	disagaragated and	tion		t weet		_		
333 3		yuisuyyreyuteu yruuuu	πιοπ		- da			-	
							_	_	
						-	-	_	
					<u> </u>		-	_	
				Does No	tivieet	1	0.25		
					. 1			-	
									35
								indicator)	
	-10 baseline).				<u> </u>			_	
• above 10%.				Does No	t Meet		1	_	
Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average (Colorado ACT composite score	e was:							
• at or above 22.				Exce	eds		4		
	-			Me	ets				
at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-1	0 baseline).			Approa	ching				
• below 17.				Does No	t Meet		1		
erformance indicator		Cut-Points for pla	an tv	pe assignment					
	his Indicator.			-	hool e	arned	of the t	otal Framework points eligib	le.
								с сал т талостости р с лос с л.8л.	Performance
	Meets	Total Framewo	ork			w 60%			Improvement
	Approaching								riority Improver
		Fornes	ŀ		0 0010	VV 4770			Turnaround
	DOES NOT WREET			501010 3370					
The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	rformance Plan.	A school may not impl	lemen	t a Priority Improv	ement a	and/or Tu	irnaround	Plan for longer than a combined t	otal of
The school is required to adopt and implement an Ir	nnrovement Plan	five conceptive years	hofor	a the District or In	tituto i	s require	d to restru	cture or close the school. The five	
The sensor is required to adopt and implement and	iipiovement i iun.	Inve consecutive years	Deloi	e the District of In	stitute	sicquire		clure of close the school. The five	
	Scoring Guide The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or adva e at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009- below the 90th percentile but at or above the 15th percee below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 bz of the school meets the median adequate student growth percee e at or above 60. below 60 but at or above 45. below 70 but at or above 55. below 70. below 70. below 70. below 80 but at or above 55. below 80. If the school does not meet the median adequate student grow at or above 60. below 70 but at or above 45. below 80. If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student grow at or above 60. below 60 but at or above 45. below 60 but at or above 45. below 80 but at or above 45. below 70 but at or above 40. below 70 but at or above 40. below 70 but at or above 55. below 70 but at or above 55. below 70 but at or above 90. at or above 90%. at or above 90%. eat or above 90%. eat or above 90%. at or above 90%. at or above 90%. at or above 90%. at or above 80% but below 90%. <t< td=""><td>The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: • at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). • below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 • below the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). / fite school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student gro • at or above 60. • below do but at or above 45. • below 30. // fite school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth at or above 70. • below 70.</td><td>Scoring Guide The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: • at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). • below the 90th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). • below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). * to above 60. • below 60 but at or above 45. • below 70 but at or above 53. • below 70. • below 70. • below 70. • below 60. • below 70. • below</td><td>In scool's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: • at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). • below the 50th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). • below the 50th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). • below the 50th percentile but at or above the 51th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). • below the 50th percentile but at or above the 51th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). • below the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). • below 40 but at or above 45. • below 40 but at or above 40. • below 70 but at or above 45. • below 30 but at or above 45. • below 45 but at or above 45. • below 30. # the school reads the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: • at or above 60. • below 30. # the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: • at or above 60. • below 30. # below 30. # the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: • at or above 70. # below 45 but at or above 45. • below 45. • below 45. • below 45. • below 45. • below 30. # to r above 80%. # at or above 90%. • ator above 90%. • at or above 90%. • at or a</td><td>Social Guide Reti The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). below the 50th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). below the 50th percentile but at or above the 51th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). Approa below the 50th percentile but at or above the 51th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). Approa below the 50th percentile but at or above 45. Meet below 40b but at or above 45. Meet below 30b but at or above 45. Approa</td><td>In school area and a school area area and a school area and a school area area area area area area area are</td><td>signor guide stands are servered as of stands is soring proficient or advanced was:</td><td>Return generative of a lise score in a proficient or advanced use: Ration generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline)</td><td>charp Desire Point Voite Total Possible per KMH use • at above the 50th precentite of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). Meets 3 16 • below the 50th precentite of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). Meets 3 16 4 for each • below the 50th precentite of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). Deps NM Meet 2 1 0 • below the 50th precentite of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). Deps NM Meet 2 1 1 • below the 50th precentite of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). Deps NM Meet 2 1 1 • below the 50th precentite of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). Deps NM Meet 2 1 1 • below the 50th at or above 63. - Agreenable, 3 1 1 1 1 • below the 50th at or above 53. - - Carrier 2 3 1 1 • below 40. - - - - - 2 3 1 • below 40. - - - - - 2 3</td></t<>	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: • at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). • below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 • below the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). / fite school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student gro • at or above 60. • below do but at or above 45. • below 30. // fite school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth at or above 70. • below 70.	Scoring Guide The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: • at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). • below the 90th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). • below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). * to above 60. • below 60 but at or above 45. • below 70 but at or above 53. • below 70. • below 70. • below 70. • below 60. • below 70. • below	In scool's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: • at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). • below the 50th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). • below the 50th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). • below the 50th percentile but at or above the 51th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). • below the 50th percentile but at or above the 51th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). • below the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). • below 40 but at or above 45. • below 40 but at or above 40. • below 70 but at or above 45. • below 30 but at or above 45. • below 45 but at or above 45. • below 30. # the school reads the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: • at or above 60. • below 30. # the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: • at or above 60. • below 30. # below 30. # the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: • at or above 70. # below 45 but at or above 45. • below 45. • below 45. • below 45. • below 45. • below 30. # to r above 80%. # at or above 90%. • ator above 90%. • at or above 90%. • at or a	Social Guide Reti The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). below the 50th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). below the 50th percentile but at or above the 51th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). Approa below the 50th percentile but at or above the 51th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). Approa below the 50th percentile but at or above 45. Meet below 40b but at or above 45. Meet below 30b but at or above 45. Approa	In school area and a school area area and a school area and a school area area area area area area area are	signor guide stands are servered as of stands is soring proficient or advanced was:	Return generative of a lise score in a proficient or advanced use: Ration generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline). Return generative of all score is (using 2009-10 baseline)	charp Desire Point Voite Total Possible per KMH use • at above the 50th precentite of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). Meets 3 16 • below the 50th precentite of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). Meets 3 16 4 for each • below the 50th precentite of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). Deps NM Meet 2 1 0 • below the 50th precentite of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). Deps NM Meet 2 1 1 • below the 50th precentite of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). Deps NM Meet 2 1 1 • below the 50th precentite of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). Deps NM Meet 2 1 1 • below the 50th at or above 63. - Agreenable, 3 1 1 1 1 • below the 50th at or above 53. - - Carrier 2 3 1 1 • below 40. - - - - - 2 3 1 • below 40. - - - - - 2 3

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math				Writing		Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Pero	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 [.]	10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing		Science		
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps


The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	91.4	91.3	94.1	N<16

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

0						
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year	
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16	
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16		
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16			
	2011	N<16				
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16	

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			