School: HIGHLAND HIGH SCHOOL - 3962

Level: H

District: AULT-HIGHLAND RE-9 - 3145 (3 Year<sup>1</sup>)

# **Performance**

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

			5151110	71021 THOMES 1113 N2 3 3213 (3 1ear )
Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	56.3%	( 8.4 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Approaching	57.1%	( 20.0 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	60.0%	( 9.0 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	65.6%	( 23.0 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		60.4%	( 60.4 out of 100 points )	

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

<b>Test Participation Rate</b>	s															
% of Students Tested						Participation Rating			Students Tested					Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	99.4%	99.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	359	359	-	-	361	361
Mathematics	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	360	360	-	-	360	360
Writing	-	-	99.4%	99.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	359	359	-	-	361	361
Science	-	-	99.4%	99.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	178	178	-	-	179	179
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.9%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	173	-	-	-	175	-





Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
School: HIGHLAND HIGH SCHOOL							District: AULT-HIGHLAND R	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	(
Reading	2	4	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Approaching	337	64.69	30	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	338	34.62	59	1
Writing	2	4		Approaching	337	40.06	31	'
Science	2	4		Approaching	165	47.27	43	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	328	55	26	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	330	53	83	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	328	50	69	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1	2		Approaching	44	45	75	No
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching		13	.5	140
1000			37.170	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	0071	Meets	143	50	42	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	110	51	62	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	37	58	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	47	50	91	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	121	57	85	No
Mathematics	13	20	65%	Meets		<u> </u>		.,,,
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	00/0	Approaching	143	54	99	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	110	55	99	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	39	57	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	47	56	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	175	54	99	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching	173			140
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	30/6		143	44	84	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	110		89	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching Approaching	37	41	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	47	54	97	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	185	53	92	No
Total	36	60	60%	Approaching	103		JZ	INO
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4	,	Meets		297/217/140/ <b>64</b>	77.8/79.7/80.7/ <b>82.8</b> %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2.5	4	62.5%	Meets		,,,	, 50, 62.676	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching		148/104/ <b>70</b> /30	73.6/76/ <b>78.6</b> /76.7%	80%
Minority Students	0.75	1		Meets		103/71/ <b>45</b> /22	71.8/74.6/ <b>80</b> /77.3%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching		41/29/ <b>21</b> /N<16	53.7/65.5/ <b>76.2</b> /-%	80%
English Learners	0.75	1		Meets		27/ <b>16</b> /N<16/N<16	77.8/ <b>81.3</b> /-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		972	3.7%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		173	17.7	20.1
TO.O. Bud From Composite Store	10.5	16		Approaching		1,5	±1.1	-0.1

Scoring Guide Level: H

	formance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	Work Report			David	C	Mal	Total Bossible Season	alfuma de la
erformance Indicato					Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Lev	ei Framework Poi
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or adva								
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-	•			Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile.	ntile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba	seline).		Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba	·			Does Not Meet	1	1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perc	entile and its median student growt	h percentile was:			TCAP	CELA		
	at or above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grow	vth percentile and its median studer	nt growth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2	1	1	
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	1	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median stu	dent growth percentile was:						
	• at or above 60.	·			Exceeds		4	1	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets		3	7	
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate s	tudent arowth percentile and its me	dian student arowth percent	tile was:		•		subgroups in 3	15
C.C.I.I.I. Cupo	• at or above 70.		э разона		Exceeds	<u> </u>	4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching		2	1	
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	<del></del>	1	1	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate/d	isaaareaated araduation	rate was		Overall	<u> </u>		
	• at or above 90%.	The school's graduation rate/a	isaggregatea graduation	Tute was.	Exceeds	4	1	-	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3	0.75	-	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.				Approaching	2	0.73	-	
	• below 65%.				Does Not Meet	1	0.3	-	
					Does Not Meet		0.25	16	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:					1		16	
Postsecondary and					Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Vorkforce Readines	3 , 3				Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-	10 baseline).			Approaching	<del></del>	2		
	• above 10%.				Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average C	Colorado ACT composite score w	vas:						
	• at or above 22.				Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10)	) baseline).			Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10)	) baseline).			Approaching		2		
	• below 17.				Does Not Meet		1		
ıt-Points for each n	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	vne assigr	ment				
		nic Indicator	Cut-rollits for plair t			oarnad	of the t	otal Framework points eligib	alo.
	<ul> <li>t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on the at or above 87.5%</li> </ul>	Exceeds			bove 60%	earneu	. or the to	otal rialliework points eligit	Performance
			Total Framework		bove 47% - belo	ow 60%			Improvement
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets							
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points		bove 33% - belo	UW 4/%			Priority Improveme
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		<ul><li>below</li></ul>	33%				Turnaround

	i periorinan	pe assignment								
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.		Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	at or abov	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance				
Growth; Gaps	at or abov	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement				
	at or abov	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement				
	• below 37.	5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround				
School plan type a	ssignments									
		Plan description								
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Per	formance Plan.	A school may not implemen	nt a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combi	ned total of				
Improvement Plan	1	The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years befo	re the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The	e five				
Priority Improvem	riority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the									
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Tur	naround Plan.	school is notified that it is r	equired to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.					

3

# 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

#### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 3145 - 3962

# **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

## State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

# This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	79.4	82.5	82.8	82.8
Anticipated Year	2009	74	74.7	78.9	
of Graduation	2010	79.5	82.7		
	2011	78.5			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	73.3	76.7	76.7	76.7
Anticipated Year	2009	75	73.8	80	
of Graduation	2010	71.4	78.1		
	2011	74.4			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	77.3	77.3	77.3	77.3
Anticipated Year	2009	73.9	72	82.6	
of Graduation	2010	66.7	75		
	2011	71			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

# This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	79.4	82.5	82.8	82.8
Anticipated Year	2009	74	74.7	78.9	
of Graduation	2010	79.5	82.7		
	2011	78.5			
	Aggregated	77.8	79.7	80.7	82.8

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	73.3	76.7	76.7	76.7
Anticipated Year	2009	75	73.8	80	
of Graduation	2010	71.4	78.1		
	2011	74.4			
	Aggregated	73.6	76	78.6	76.7

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	77.3	77.3	77.3	77.3
Anticipated Year	2009	73.9	72	82.6	
of Graduation	2010	66.7	75		
	2011	71			
	Aggregated	71.8	74.6	80	77.3

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	53.7	65.5	76.2	N<16

### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	77.8	81.3	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 3145 - 3962