School: AKRON HIGH SCHOOL - 0090 District: AKRON R-1 - 3030 (3 Year')

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	56.3%	(8.4 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	58.3%	(20.4 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	66.7%	(10.0 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	82.1%	(28.7 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		67.5%	(67.5 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rate	s															
	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating			Students Tested					Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	168	168	-	-	168	168
Mathematics	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	168	168	-	-	168	168
Writing	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	168	168	-	-	168	168
Science	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	86	86	-	-	86	86
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	87	-	-	-	87	-





Reading Mathematics Writing Science Total Academic Growth Points Reading Mathematics Writing English Language Proficiency (CELApro) Total Academic Growth Gaps Points Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	Earned 3 2 2 2 9 Earned 3 2 2 7	Points Eligible 4 4 4 16 Points Eligible 4 4 4	56.3%	Rating Meets Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Rating	N 166 166 166 85	% Proficient/Advanced 72.89 27.71 49.4 49.41	District: AKRON R School's Percentile 52 42 49 48	R-1 - 3030 (3 Year)
Reading Mathematics Writing Science Total Academic Growth Points Reading Mathematics Writing English Language Proficiency (CELApro) Total Academic Growth Gaps Points Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students situdents Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	3 2 2 2 9 Earned 3 2 2	4 4 4 4 16 Points Eligible 4	56.3%	Meets Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching	166 166 166	72.89 27.71 49.4	52 42 49	
Mathematics Writing Science Total Academic Growth Points Reading Mathematics Writing English Language Proficiency (CELApro) Total Academic Growth Gaps Points Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	2 2 2 9 Earned 3 2 2	4 4 4 4 16 Points Eligible 4	56.3%	Meets Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching	166 166	72.89 27.71 49.4	42 49	
Mathematics Writing Science Total Academic Growth Points Reading Mathematics Writing English Language Proficiency (CELApro) Total Academic Growth Gaps Points Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up	2 2 9 Earned 3 2 2	4 4 16 Points Eligible 4 4		Approaching Approaching Approaching	166	49.4	49	
Science Total Academic Growth Points Reading Mathematics Writing English Language Proficiency (CELApro) Total Academic Growth Gaps Points Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities Students with Disabilities	2 9 Earned 3 2 2	4 16 Points Eligible 4 4		Approaching Approaching Approaching				
Academic Growth Points Reading Mathematics Writing English Language Proficiency (CELApro) Total Academic Growth Gaps Points Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up	Earned 3 2 2	Points Eligible 4 4		Approaching	85	49.41	48	
Academic Growth Points Reading Mathematics Writing English Language Proficiency (CELApro) Total Academic Growth Gaps Points Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	Earned 3 2	Points Eligible 4 4						
Reading Mathematics Writing English Language Proficiency (CELApro) Total Academic Growth Gaps Points Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	3 2 2	4 4	% Points	Patina				
Reading Mathematics Writing English Language Proficiency (CELApro) Total Academic Growth Gaps Points Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	3 2 2	4 4	% Points	Datina			Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Mathematics Writing English Language Proficiency (CELApro) Total Academic Growth Gaps Points Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	2	4 4		nutilly	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Mathematics Writing English Language Proficiency (CELApro) Total Academic Growth Gaps Points Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	2			Meets	158	50	23	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro) Total Academic Growth Gaps Points Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities		4		Approaching	158	48	93	No
Academic Growth Gaps Points Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	7			Approaching	158	54	57	No
Academic Growth Gaps Points Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	7			-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities		12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	8	12	66.7%	Meets			0.01.01.1.01.00.101.0	
Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	48	45	33	Yes
Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	22	75	30	Yes
English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	- -		-
Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	=	-	_
Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	46	38	69	No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	48	49	95	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	22	42	97	No
	0	0		-	N<20	- -		-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	=	-	_
	2	4		Approaching	100	48	99	No
	LO	12	83.3%	Meets				
3	3	4		Meets	48	60	75	No
	4	4		Exceeds	22	61	57	Yes
•	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
	0	0		-	N<20	=	-	_
	3	4		Meets	79	57	88	No
ÿ .	24	36	66.7%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Points	Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
	4	4	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Exceeds		139/ 104 /76/36	90.6/ 91.3 /88.2/88.9%	80%
	5	2	75%	Meets			35.5,22.2,35.2,65.37	
	.75	1	. 5,7	Meets		61/ 45 /33/16	85.2/ 88.9 /84.8/81.3%	80%
	0	0		-	N<1	6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.75	1		Meets		/N<16/N<16/N<16	81.3/-/-%	80%
	0	0		-		6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
		4		Exceeds	1471	404	1%	3.9%
·	4	4		Approaching		87	19.6	20.1
Total 12	<u>4</u> 2	14	82.1%	Meets				

Scoring Guide Level: H

erformance Indicato	rScoring Guide				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Le	velFramework Poir
,	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advan	nced was:							
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-1)				Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percen	•	ne).		Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percen	, ,	,		Approaching		2	content area)	
Acmevement	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 base)				Does Not Meet		1	- content area,	
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perce	•	ercentile was:		Does Not Wice	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.	There are no meaning stadent growth pe	recitine was		Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grow	th nercentile and its median student a	rowth nercentile was:			TCAP	CELA	English	
G.O.T.	• at or above 70.	en percentine and its incaran stadent gr	owen percentile was		Exceeds	4	2	language	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	• below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2	1	proficiency	
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	-	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student gr	rowth percentile and its median studen	t arowth nercentile was:		Does Not Wice				
	• at or above 60.	onen percentire una no meanan seauen	e growen percentile wast		Exceeds		4	1	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets		3	1	
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate st	udent growth percentile and its media	n student arowth percent	tile was:				subgroups in 3	15
С. С. Т. Т. С. Р.	• at or above 70.	g	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching		2	1	
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet		1	1	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's araduation rate/disac	gareaated araduation	rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.	g,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Exceeds	4	1	7	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3	0.75	-	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.				Approaching	2	0.5	1	
	• below 65%.				Does Not Meet	1	0.25	1	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:							16	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.				Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness) haseline)			Meets		3	indicator)	
orkioice neadiness	at or below the state average but above 1% (dsing 2009-16) at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-17)	-			Approaching		2	- Indicator)	
	• above 10%.	to baseline).			Does Not Meet		1	-	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Co	olorado ACT composita scora was:			Does Not Meet		1		
	• at or above 22.	bibliado Act composite score was.			Exceeds	l	4	4	
	• at or above 22. • at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10	hasolinal			Meets		3	-	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10						2	-	
	• below 17.	baseline).			Approaching Does Not Meet		1	-	
							1		
	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan t	7					
	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th					earned	. of the to	otal Framework points elig	
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds			bove 60%				Performance
	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework		bove 47% - belo				Improvement
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points		bove 33% - beld	ow 47%			Priority Improvemen
•	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below	33%				Turnaround

Cut-Points for eac	h performan	ce indicator		ut-Points for each performance indicator Cut-Points for plan type assignment							
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.							
Achievement;	• at or abov	or above 87.5% Exceeds or above 62.5% - below 87.5% Meets			• at or above 60% Perform						
Growth; Gaps	at or abov			Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement					
	at or abov	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement					
	• below 37.5% Does Not Meet • below 33% Turnarour										
School plan type a	ssignments										
		Plan description									
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Peri	formance Plan.	A school may not implemen	nt a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer	than a combined total of					
Improvement Plai	า	The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years befo	re the District or Institute is required to restructure or close t	he school. The five					
Priority Improvem	mprovement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the										
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Turi	naround Plan.	school is notified that it is r	equired to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround	Plan.					

3

SPF 2012 - 3030 - 0090

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math			Writing			Science	
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00	
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 3030 - 0090

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	83.8	88.9	88.9	88.9
Anticipated Year	2009	87.5	87.5	87.5	
of Graduation	2010	100	100		
	2011	94.1			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	70.6	81.3	81.3	81.3
Anticipated Year	2009	88.2	88.2	88.2	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

<16 N<16	
11110	N<16
<16 N<16	
<16	
	<16 N<16

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	83.8	88.9	88.9	88.9
Anticipated Year	2009	87.5	87.5	87.5	
of Graduation	2010	100	100		
	2011	94.1			
	Aggregated	90.6	91.3	88.2	88.9

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	70.6	81.3	81.3	81.3
Anticipated Year	2009	88.2	88.2	88.2	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	85.2	88.9	84.8	81.3

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	81.3	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 3030 - 0090