Level: MH

District: CRIPPLE CREEK-VICTOR RE-1 - 3010 (3 Year<sup>1</sup>)

School: CRIPPLE CREEK-VICTOR JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL - 2024

## **Performance**

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	( 7.5 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	62.5%	( 21.9 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	60.9%	( 9.1 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	55.4%	( 19.4 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		57.9%	( 57.9 out of 100 points )	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

<b>Test Participation Rate</b>	es															
		Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students						
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	97.9%	99.5%	98.7%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	185	189	374	-	189	190	379
Mathematics	-	99.5%	99.0%	99.2%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	188	191	379	-	189	193	382
Writing	-	97.4%	98.9%	98.2%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	184	188	372	-	189	190	379
Science	-	97.9%	98.9%	98.3%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	92	86	178	-	94	87	181
Colorado ACT	-	-	96.8%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	90	-	-	-	93	-





Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle Schoo
School: CRIPPLE CREEK-VICTOR.	JUNIOR-SENIOR	HIGH SCHOOL				Dist	trict: CRIPPLE CREEK-VICTOR F	RE-1 - 3010 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	172	55.23	20	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	175	30.86	15	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	172	40.7	18	
Science	2	4		Approaching	90	31.11	21	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	145	46	34	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	150	43	81	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	145	35	59	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro	)			-				
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	5	8	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	72	46	38	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	47	46	63	No
Mathematics	4	8	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	74	46	86	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0	-	-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	101	44	93	No
Writing	2	8	25%	<b>Does Not Meet</b>				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	72	35	63	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	<del>-</del>	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	67	31	78	No
Total	11	24	45.8%	Approaching				

2

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
School: CRIPPLE CREEK-VICTOR JUNIO	OR-SENIOR HIG	H SCHOOL				Distr	ict: CRIPPLE CREEK-VICTOR R	E-1 - 3010 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	175	67.43	38	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	176	25	37	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	174	33.33	19	
Science	2	4		Approaching	82	42.68	35	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	149	63	29	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	152	66	99	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	149	49	73	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)				-		-		
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	77	65	25	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	21	61	29	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	=	=	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	<del>-</del>	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	58	67	71	No
Mathematics	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	79	66	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	22	47	99	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	20	51	99	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	107	68	99	No
Writing	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	77	42	71	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	21	52	87	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	<del>-</del>	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	<del>-</del>	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	84	56	92	No
Total .	28	40	70%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	4		Approaching		<b>184</b> /142/89/49	<b>76.1/76.1/</b> 70.8/69.4%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	0.75	2	37.5%	Approaching			7 7	3070
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching		109/ <b>79</b> /51/30	78/ <b>79.7</b> /76.5/70%	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		<b>31</b> /22/16/N<16	<b>51.6</b> /40.9/37.5/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.23	0		-		.6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		<u>-</u>		.6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	14/1	598	2.8%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		90	18.7	20.1
	7.75	14		ppi oddinig			10.7	20.1

3

Scoring Guide Level: MH

jorniance marcato	rScoring Guide			Rating	Point	t Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Poir	
•	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or adve	anced was:					·		
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009)			Exceeds	T	4	16		
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile		aseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15	
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	entile of all schools (using 2009-10 b	aseline).	Approaching		2	content area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 b)	aseline).	·	Does Not Mee	t	1	1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth per		th percentile was:		TCAP	CELA			
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	4	2	14		
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each		
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area		
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.5	and 2 for	35	
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student gro	wth percentile and its median stude	ent growth percentile was:		TCAP	CELA	English		
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	4	2	language		
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)		
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1	1		
	• below 40.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.5			
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student	growth percentile and its median st	udent growth percentile was:						
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds		4			
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60		
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Mee	t	1	(4 for each of 5		
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate			subgroups in 3	15				
	• at or above 70.		4	subject areas)					
	below 70 but at or above 55.		3						
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching		2					
	• below 40.			Does Not Mee	t	1			
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate	: The school's graduation rate/	disaggregated graduation	rate was:	Overall	Disaggr.			
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1			
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75			
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5			
	• below 65%.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.25			
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						16		
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35	
orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-	10 baseline).		Meets		3	indicator)		
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009)	-10 baseline).		Approaching		2			
	• above 10%.			Does Not Mee	t	1			
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average	Colorado ACT composite score	was:	<u>.</u>					
	• at or above 22.	•		Exceeds	1	4	1		
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-1	LO baseline).		Meets		3	1		
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-1)	LO baseline).		Approaching		2			
	• below 17.			Does Not Mee	t	1			
Points for each no	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan t	no assignment					
_	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator	cut-rollits for plant		oarnad	of the t	otal Framework points eligible		
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	earneu	. or the to	otal Plainework points eligible	Performance	
			Total Framework	• at or above 60%	OW 60%			Improvement	
· -	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Meets					D		
• a	at Of above 37.5% - Delow 67.5%	Approaching	Points	<ul> <li>at or above 33% - be</li> </ul>	UW 4/%	Pr	Priority Improvem Turnaround		

cat i omits for cath				cat i omits for plant						
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.		Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework poi	nts eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or abov	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance				
Growth; Gaps	• at or abov	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement				
	at or abov	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement				
	• below 37.	.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround				
School plan type as	ssignments									
		Plan description								
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Perf	ormance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of						
Improvement Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five						
Priority Improveme	ent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Prio	rity Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years of	ommences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fal	l in which the				
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Turi	naround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.						

4

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

## Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

#### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

5



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 3010 - 2024

## **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

# <u>This School's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	66	68	69.4	69.4
Anticipated Year	2009	66.7	72.5	72.5	
of Graduation	2010	84.6	86.5		
	2011	87.5			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	70	70	70	70
<b>Anticipated Year</b>	2009	78.3	85.7	85.7	
of Graduation	2010	82.1	85.7		
	2011	82.1			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

## This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	66	68	69.4	69.4
Anticipated Year	2009	66.7	72.5	72.5	
of Graduation	2010	84.6	86.5		
	2011	87.5			
	Aggregated	76.1	76.1	70.8	69.4

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	70	70	70	70
Anticipated Year	2009	78.3	85.7	85.7	
of Graduation	2010	82.1	85.7		
	2011	82.1			
	Aggregated	78	79.7	76.5	70

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	51.6	40.9	37.5	N<16

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

### **English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)**

6

		Awaar	Even	6 year	7 4004
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 3010 - 2024