Level: MH

School: NORWOOD HIGH SCHOOL - 6422 District: NORWOOD R-2J - 2840 (3 Year')

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(11.3 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	(23.3 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	61.4%	(9.2 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	75.0%	(26.3 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		70.1%	(70.1 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rate	es															
	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	100.0%	99.0%	99.6%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	170	95	265	-	170	96	266
Mathematics	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	170	96	266	-	170	96	266
Writing	-	100.0%	99.0%	99.6%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	170	95	265	-	170	96	266
Science	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	54	43	97	-	54	43	97
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	49	-	-	-	49	-





Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle Scho
School: NORWOOD HIGH SCHOO	L						District: NORWOOD	R-2J - 2840 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	166	81.33	78	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	166	51.2	48	
Writing	3	4		Meets	166	68.67	75	
Science	3	4		Meets	52	61.54	75	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	155	44	22	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	159	41	67	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	155	50	43	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	=
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	5	8	62.5%	Meets		·		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	57	50	20	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	24	53	61	No
Mathematics	4	8	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	60	43	65	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	63	43	84	No
Writing	5	8	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	57	52	40	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0	- 1	-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4	-1	Approaching	46	49	68	No
	14	24	58.3%	Approaching				

2

Reading 3	Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
Reading 3	School: NORWOOD HIGH SCHOOL							District: NORWOOD R	-2J - 2840 (3 Year
Mathematics 3	Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Writing 3	Reading	3	4		Meets	94	80.85	78	
Science 4	Mathematics	3	4		Meets	95	35.79	61	
Total 13 16 81.3% Meets Meets Median Growth Percentile Median Adequate Growth Median Growth Percentile Median Growth Percentile Median Adequate Growth Meets	Writing	3	4		Meets	94	57.45	65	
Reading	Science	4	4		Exceeds	43	72.09	90	
Reading 3	Total	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Reading 3									Made Adequate
Mathematics 3				% Points					Growth?
Writing 3			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						Yes
Figure F									No
Total 9 12 75%									Yes
Academic Growth Gaps						N<20	-	-	-
Reading Points Eligible Seponts Reading Reading Reading Seponts Reading Seponts Reading Seponts Sepont	Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
Reading	Acadomic Crowth Cons	Doints Farmed	Dointe Flinible	9/ Doints	Destina				Made Adequate Growth?
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2	•						Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growths
Minority Students			<u> </u>	50%		22			
Students with Disabilities					Approaching			20	Yes
English Learners 0 0 0 - N<20 - N<20					-			-	-
Students needing to catch up								-	-
Mathematics 6 8 75% Meets 22 64 89 Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 22 64 89 Minority Students 0 0 - N<20					-		-	-	-
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3	-					N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students 0 0 - N<20 - - Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20				75%					
Students with Disabilities					Meets		64	89	No
English Learners	-				-		-	-	-
Students needing to catch up 3					-		-	-	-
Writing 5 8 62.5% Meets Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 22 50 72 Minority Students 0 0 - N<20									-
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 22 50 72 Minority Students 0 0 - N<20 - - Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 - - English Learners 0 0 - N<20 - - Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 34 60 82 Total 13 20 65% Meets A 60 82 Fostsecondary and Workforce Readiness Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Rate/Score Exp Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr 4 4 Exceeds 99/80/65/33 85.9/92.5/92.3/90.9% Exp Disaggregated Graduation Rate 0.75 1 75% Meets 45/34/25/N<16 75.6/85.3/84/-% Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0.75 1 Meets 45/34/25/N<16 75.6/85.3/84/-% Minority Students 0					Meets	57	65	97	No
Minority Students 0 0 - N<20 - - Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20		5	8	62.5%	Meets				
Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 -					Approaching		50	72	No
English Learners 0 0 0 - N<20 N<20 N<20 -					-		-	<u>-</u>	-
Students needing to catch up 3		0			-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
Total 13 20 65% Meets			0		-				-
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Rate/Score Exp Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr 4 4 Exceeds 99/80/65/33 85.9/92.5/92.3/90.9% Disaggregated Graduation Rate 0.75 1 75% Meets Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0.75 1 Meets 45/34/25/N<16	Students needing to catch up				Meets	34	60	82	No
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr 4 4 Exceeds 99/80/65/33 85.9/92.5/92.3/90.9% Disaggregated Graduation Rate 0.75 1 75% Meets Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0.75 1 Meets 45/34/25/N<16	Total	13	20	65%	Meets				
Disaggregated Graduation Rate 0.75 1 75% Meets Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0.75 1 Meets 45/34/25/N<16				% Points					Expectation
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0.75 1 Meets 45/34/25/N<16 75.6/85.3/84/-% Minority Students 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16							99/ 80 /65/33	85.9/ 92.5 /92.3/90.9%	80%
Minority Students 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16 -/-/-% Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16				75%		,			
Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16 -/-/-% English Learners 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16									80%
English Learners 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16 -/-/-% Dropout Rate 3 4 Meets 261 2.3% Colorado ACT Composite Score 2 4 Approaching 49 19.7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		80%
Dropout Rate 3 4 Meets 261 2.3% Colorado ACT Composite Score 2 4 Approaching 49 19.7					-				80%
Colorado ACT Composite Score 2 4 Approaching 49 19.7					-	N<1			80%
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								3.9%
Total 9.75 13 75% Meets							49	19.7	20.1
	Total	9.75	13	75%	Meets				

Scoring Guide Level: MH

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.

Improvement Plan

Turnaround Plan

Priority Improvement Plan

The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanation above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10) below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile but at or above the 50th percent	0 baseline).		Exceeds	i I	4	16	
below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percent			Exceeds	1	4	1.0	
·				1	4	16	
	ile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseli	ne).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
 below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percent 	ile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseli	ne).	Approaching		2	content area)	
below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 base)	eline).		Does Not Mee	t	1	1	
If the school meets the median adequate student growth percei	ntile and its median student growth pe	ercentile was:		TCAP	CELA		
• at or above 60.			Exceeds	4	2	14	
below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	
• below 30.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.5	and 2 for	35
If the school does not meet the median adequate student grow	th percentile and its median student gr	rowth percentile was:	•	TCAP	CELA	English	
	,	·	Exceeds	4	2	-	
				3			
				2			
				t 1	+		
	owth percentile and its median studen	t growth percentile was:		1 -			
• at or above 60.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	· y · · · p · · · · · · · · ·	Exceeds	T	4	1	
below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3		
below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
			- 11	t	1	- 	
	ident growth percentile and its median	n student arowth nercen		1		⊣ '	15
	adent grown percentile and its media.	rotadent growen percent		T T	Δ	- · ·	
						-	
			- 11	+		1	
	The school's araduation rate/disac	nareaated araduation		1			
	ine series, s gradadion race, alsag	ggregatea graduation					
						-	
						-	
						_	
			DOCS NOT WICE	4 +	0.23	16	
			Evenede	ı	4	7	25
	1			+		⊢ `	35
						indicator)	
	U baseline).		- 11				
			Does Not Mee	t t	1		
	olorado ACT composite score was:						
						_	
	baseline).					_	
• below 17.			Does Not Mee	t	1		
rformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan t	ype assignment				
Point: The school earned of the points eligible on the	is Indicator.		Cut Point: The school	earned	. of the to	otal Framework points eligibl	e.
· · · · ·						,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Performance
		Total Framework		ow 60%			Improvement
						P	riority Improvemen
		, 0,,,,,					Turnaround
nments	DOCS NOT IVICE		20.0 35/0				arannar dana
Plan description							
P	 below 60 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30. below 30. if the school does not meet the median adequate student grow at or above 70. below 70 but at or above 55. below 55 but at or above 40. below 55 but at or above 40. below 60. below 60 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 45. below 30. if the student subgroup meets the median adequate student grows at or above 60. below 30. if the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate strain at or above 70. below 70 but at or above 55. below 55 but at or above 40. below 55 but at or above 40. below 40. Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: 10 at or above 90%. at or above 80% but below 90%. at or above 65% but below 80%. below 65%. Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was: at or below 1%. at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10). at or above 22. at or above 10%. Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10). at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10). below 17. formance indicator Point: The school earned of the points eligible on the tor above 87.5% tor above 62.5% - below 87.5% tor above 37.5% - below 87.5% 	below 60 but at or above 45. below 30. below 30. below 30. below 30. below 30. below 30. below 70. below 70. below 70 but at or above 55. below 70 but at or above 40. below 55 but at or above 40. below 50 but at or above 40. below 60 but at or above 40. below 60 but at or above 45. below 60 but at or above 45. below 30. below 55 but at or above 55. below 40. below 40. below 50 but at or above 55. below 30. below 30. below 30. below 30. below 30. below 50 but at or above 55. below 55 but at or above 55. below 55 but at or above 60. below 40. below 40. below 40. below 40. below 40. below 50 but but at or above 40. below 40. below 40. below 50 but at or above 40. below 40. below 40. below 55 but at or above 40. below 55 but at or above 40. below 60. below 60. below 60. below 40. below 40. cor above 60% but below 80%. below 60. below 60%. below 60%. below 60%. below 60%. below 60%. cor	below 60 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30. below 45 but at or above 30. if the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:	below 60 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30. below 45 but at or above 30. below 30. below 30. below 30. below 30. below 30. below 70. st or above 70. below 70 but at or above 55. below 70 but at or above 40. below 55 but at or above 40. below 65 but at or above 40. below 60 but at or above 40. below 70 but at or above 40. below 70 but at or above 50. below 70 but at or above 50. below 70 but at or above 50. below 70 but at or above 40. below 55 but at or above 40. below 55 but at or above 40. below 55 but at or above 40. below 65% but below 90%. at or above 65% but below 90%. at or above 65% but below 90%. at or above 65% but below 80%. at or	below 60 but at or above 45.	below 45 but at or above 30. Approaching 2 1	below 60 but at or above 45. below 30. Approaching 2 1 1. ** at or above 30. ** below 30. ** below 30. ** below 30. ** below 50. ** at or above 70. ** below 70 but at or above 45. ** below 70 but at or above 40. ** below 40. *

4

SPF 2012 - 2840 - 6422

five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading		Math			Writing				Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading		Math		Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

5



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 2840 - 6422

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	84.8	90.9	90.9	90.9
Anticipated Year	2009	87.9	93.8	93.8	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	77.8			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	84.8	90.9	90.9	90.9
Anticipated Year	2009	87.9	93.8	93.8	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	77.8			
	Aggregated	85.9	92.5	92.3	90.9

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	75.6	85.3	84	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

6

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 2840 - 6422