School Performance Framework 2012

School: MOUNTAIN VALLEY SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL - 6146

Level: H

District: MOUNTAIN VALLEY RE 1 - 2790 (1 Year¹)

-	r -		
Per	orm	nan	CD
гсп		an	LC

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	I
Academic Growth	-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	I
Academic Growth Gaps	-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	I
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	50.0%	(17.5 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		50.0%	(17.5 out of 35 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earner and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

		% of Sti	udents Tested			Participat	ion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	tudents	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	12	-	-	12	12
Mathematics	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	12	-	-	12	12
Writing	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	12	-	-	12	12
Science	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	4	-	-	4	4
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	3	-

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
School: MOUNTAIN VALLEY SENIOR	HIGH SCHOOL					C	District: MOUNTAIN VALLEY R	E 1 - 2790 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Writing	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Total	0	0	%	-				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0	/01/01/10	-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<20	·	_	-
Writing	0	0		-	N<20	·	_	-
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)		Ū		-	11120			
Total	0	0	%	-				
Anndomic Crowth Cone	Doints Formed	Dointe Flisible	% Dointe	Dating	Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	0	0		-	N<1	6/N<16/N<16/	-/-/-%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	0	0	%	-			1112	<u> </u>
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-		6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Minority Students	0	0		-		6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-		6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<1	6/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching		42	7.1%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	0	0		-		N<16	-	20.0
Total	2	4	50%	Approaching				

oring Guide								Level			
oring Guide for Pe	rformance Indicators on the School Performance Fra	mework Report									
erformance Indicat				Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible per EMH Le	velFramework Pa			
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or ac	lyanced was:		nating		- Funde					
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200			Exceeds	T	4	16				
Academic	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per 		eline)	Meets		3	(4 for each				
Achievement	 below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per 			Approachi	NG .	2					
Achievement		· · · · · ·	enne).		-	1	content area)				
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 If the school meets the median adequate student growth p	•	porcontilo was:	Does Not M	TCAP	CELA					
	• at or above 60.	ercentile und its medium student growth	percentile wus.	Exceeds	4	2	14				
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each				
	 below 45 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30. 			Approachi		1.5	content area				
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not M	<u> </u>	0.5	and 2 for				
	If the school does not meet the median adequate student q	routh porceptile and its median student	arouth porcontilo was	DOES NOT IN	TCAP	CELA					
Growth		rowth percentile and its median student	growth percentile was:	Currenda			English				
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	4	2	language				
	below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)					
	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approachi	-	1	-					
	• below 40.	the second by a second the second the second time at a second		Does Not M	eet 1	0.5					
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate studer	it growth percentile and its median stud	ent growth percentile was:	Ĭ	<u> </u>	4	-				
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	_	4	-				
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets Approachi	_	3					
	below 45 but at or above 30.										
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not M	eet	1 (4 for each of subgroups in						
Growth Gaps		If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:									
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	_	4	subject areas)				
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	_	3	4				
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approachi	-	2	4				
	• below 40.			Does Not M	eet	1					
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Ra	te: The school's graduation rate/dis	aggregated graduation	rate was:	Overall	Disaggr.					
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1					
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75					
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.					Appro	Approachi	ng 2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not M	eet 1	0.25					
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						16				
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35			
orkforce Readine		9-10 baseline).		Meets		3	indicator)				
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 20	-		Approaching 2							
	above 10%.	55 10 basemen		Does Not M	-	1	-				
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average	e Calarada ACT composite score wa	10.	Docs Nor II		1					
	• at or above 22.	e colorado Acr composite score we	15.	Excoods	1	4	-				
	 at or above 22. at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009) 	10 basalina)		Exceeds		3	-1				
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009			Meets Approachi		2	-1				
	 below 17. 	-10 baseline).		The second	•	1	-1				
	• below 17.			Does Not M	eet	1					
t-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan t	ype assignment							
C	ut Point: The school earned of the points eligible or	n this Indicator.		Cut Point: The scho	ol earned .	. of the t	otal Framework points elig	ible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%				Performance			
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% -	elow 60%			Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% -	elow 47%			Priority Improven			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%				Turnaround			
ool plan type ass											
eer plan cype ass											
(a)	Plan description										
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a		, ,				Plan for longer than a combine				
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement ar	n Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before	ore the District or Institu	te is require	d to restru	cture or close the school. The f	ve			
ority Improveme	nt Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a	Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years c	ommences on July 1 du	ing the sum	mer immed	diately following the fall in which	h the			
						rovement					

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing		Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Pere	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 [.]	10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	80.5	83.9	82.4	N<16

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	77.8	78.3	N<16	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	100	N<16	N<16	N<16
	-				

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		• J ean abb	-0		
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			