Level: H

School: MEEKER HIGH SCHOOL - 5762 District: MEEKER RE1 - 2710 (1 Year')

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	56.3%	(8.4 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	58.3%	(20.4 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	58.3%	(8.7 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	83.3%	(29.2 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		66.7%	(66.7 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Students Tested			Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	99.0%	99.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	99	99	-	-	100	100
Mathematics	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	100	100	-	-	100	100
Writing	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	100	100	-	-	100	100
Science	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	59	59	-	-	59	59
Colorado ACT	-	-	97.7%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	43	-	-	-	44	-





School: MEEKER HIGH SCHOOLAcademic AchievementPoints EarnedPoints Eligible% PointsRatingNReading24Approaching96Mathematics24Approaching97Writing34Meets97Science24Approaching57Total91656.3%Approaching	Level: High School
Reading 2 4 Approaching 96 Mathematics 2 4 Approaching 97 Writing 3 4 Meets 97 Science 2 4 Approaching 57 Total 9 16 56.3% Approaching Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N	District: MEEKER RE1 - 2710 (1 Year
Mathematics 2 4 Approaching 97 Writing 3 4 Meets 97 Science 2 4 Approaching 57 Total 9 16 56.3% Approaching Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N	% Proficient/Advanced School's Percentile
Writing 3 4 Meets 97 Science 2 4 Approaching 57 Total 9 16 56.3% Approaching Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N	66.67 32
Science 2 4 Approaching 57 Total 9 16 56.3% Approaching Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N	26.8 36
Total 9 16 56.3% Approaching Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N	51.55 52
Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N	40.35 30
	Median Adequate Growth Made Adequate
	Median Growth Percentile Percentile Growth?
Reading 2 4 Approaching 88	41 13 Yes
Mathematics 3 4 Meets 89	58 97 No
Writing 2 4 Approaching 89	41 61 No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro) 0 0 - N<20	0
Total 7 12 58.3% Approaching	
Subgroot Academic Growth Gaps Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N	oup Subgroup Median Subgroup Median Adequate Made Adequate Growth Percentile Growth Percentile Growth?
	Growth Percentile Growth Percentile Growth:
Reading 2 4 50% Approaching	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0 0 - N<20	
Minority Students 0 0 - N<20	
Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20	
English Learners 0 0 − N<20	
Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 23	41 72 No
Mathematics 3 4 75% Meets	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0 0 - N<20	
Minority Students 0 0 - N<20	
Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20	
English Learners 0 0 - N<20	
Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 54	56 99 No
Writing 2 4 50% Approaching	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0 0 - N<20	
Minority Students 0 0 - N<20	
Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20	0
English Learners 0 0 - N<20	
Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 39	45 89 No
Total 7 12 58.3% Approaching	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating	N Rate/Score Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr 4 4 Exceeds	38/ 46 /50/40 97.4/ 100 /94/97.5% 80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate 0 0 % -	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0 0 -	N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16 -/-/-% 80%
Minority Students 0 0 -	N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16 -/-/-% 80%
Students with Disabilities 0 0 -	N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16 -/-/-% 80%
English Learners 0 0 -	N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16 -/-/-% 80%
Dropout Rate 4 4 Exceeds	217 0% 3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score 2 4 Approaching	43 19.6 20.0
Total 10 12 83.3% Meets	

Scoring Guide Level: H

erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	el Framework Poir
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advan	aced was:					,	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-1			Exceeds	T	4	16	+
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile.		eline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile.		•	Approaching		2	content area)	
7 terrievenient	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 bas)			Does Not Me	ot .	1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perce	•	percentile was:	DOCS HOT INC	TCAP	CELA		+
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Me	et 1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grow	th percentile and its median student	arowth percentile was:		TCAP	CELA	English	
0.01.011	• at or above 70.		9	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	• below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1	_ proneiency)	
	• below 40.			Does Not Me	et 1	0.5	1	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student gr	rowth percentile and its median stude	ent arowth percentile was:	DOCS HOT INC				+
	• at or above 60.		9. от ат регосите и аст	Exceeds	Т	4	1	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3	7	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic				Does Not Me	et	1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate st	udent arowth percentile and its medi	an student arowth percent.				subgroups in 3	15
Crown Cups	• at or above 70.	adent growin percentile and its mea	an stadent growth percent	Exceeds	T	4	subject areas)	1
	• below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	1	3		
	• below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2	†	
	• below 40.			Does Not Me	ot .	1	†	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's araduation rate/dis	agareaated araduation		Overall	Disaggr.		+
	• at or above 90%.	The selloor's graduation rate, als	aggregated graduation	Exceeds	4	1	1	
	• at or above 90%.			Meets	3	0.75	-	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.75	1	
	• below 65%.			Does Not Me	et 1	0.25	-	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:			DOES NOT INC	- q -	0.23	16	
					T	4	-	35
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	21 11 2		Exceeds	+	-	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Meets	-	3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-1)	LO baseline).		Approaching		2	4	
	• above 10%.			Does Not Me	et	1	-	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Co	olorado ACT composite score wa	s:				_	
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds	<u> </u>	4	1	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10)	<u> </u>		Meets		3	1	
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10)	baseline).		Approaching		2	4	
	• below 17.			Does Not Me	et	1		
t-Points for each pe	rformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	pe assignment				
	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.			earned	. of the to	otal Framework points eligib	le.
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%			and the second s	Performance
	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - be	low 60%			Improvement
	at or above 02.5% - below 67.5%	Approaching	Points	at or above 32% - be				Priority Improveme

Cut-Points for eac	h performan	ce indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	ype assignment				
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	• at or abov	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance			
Growth; Gaps	at or abov	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
	at or abov	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5%		Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround			
School plan type a	ssignments								
		Plan description							
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Peri	formance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of					
Improvement Plai	า	The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years befo	re the District or Institute is required to restructure or close	the school. The five			
Priority Improvem	ity Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the								
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan. school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.							

3

SPF 2012 - 2710 - 5762

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50	
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math			Writing		Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 2710 - 5762

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	97.5	97.5	97.5	97.5
Anticipated Year	2009	92	94	94	
of Graduation	2010	97.8	100		
	2011	97.4			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	97.5	97.5	97.5	97.5
Anticipated Year	2009	92	94	94	
of Graduation	2010	97.8	100		
	2011	97.4			
	Aggregated	96	97.1	95.6	97.5

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	89.5	93.1	90	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	85.7	N<16	N<16	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 2710 - 5762