School Performance Framework 2012

School: VINELAND MIDDLE SCHOOL - 9134

District: PUEBLO COUNTY 70 - 2700 (3 Year¹)

Level: N

Dorfo	rmance
FCIIU	mance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Tes
Performance	at or above 59%	
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	то
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	
Turnaround	below 37%	² Scł

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	56.3%	(14.1 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	60.7%	(30.4 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	55.0%	(13.8 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		58.3%	(58.3 out of 100 points)	

chools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

Framework points are calculated using the percentage ³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Improving

Academic

Achievement

SCHOOLVIew.org

	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	100.0%	-	100.0%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	727	-	727	-	727	-	727
Mathematics	-	100.0%	-	100.0%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	728	-	728	-	728	-	728
Writing	-	99.9%	-	99.9%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	725	-	725	-	726	-	726
Science	-	100.0%	-	100.0%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	241	-	241	-	241	-	241
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Final plan type based on: 1 Year SPF report.

Performance Indicators								el: Middle Schoo
School: VINELAND MIDDLE SCHO	OL						District: PUEBLO COUNT	Y 70 - 2700 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	682	69.21	45	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	682	50.44	47	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	680	53.09	37	
Science	3	4		Meets	228	52.63	58	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	649	51	34	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	649	49	69	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	649	47	51	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	49	54	51	Yes
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N .	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	381	50	38	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	286	51	40	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	65	36	71	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	78	47	44	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	223	53	65	No
Mathematics	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	381	49	72	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	286	49	75	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	65	47	94	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	78	45	83	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	296	57	86	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	381	46	56	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	286	46	58	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	65	42	86	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	78	45	64	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	283	46	80	No
Total	33	60	55%	Approaching				

coring Guide										Level: N			
coring Guide for	Performance	e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report										
erformance Indi	cator Scoring	Guide	·			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Lev	elFramework Poin			
	The scho	ol's percentage of students scoring proficient or adv	vanced was:			5							
		above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200				Exceeds	I .	4	16				
Academic	• belo	w the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th perc	entile of all schools (using 2009-10) baseline).		Meets	Meets 3		(4 for each	25			
Achievemen	t • belo	w the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th perc	entile of all schools (using 2009-10	0 baseline).		Approaching		2	content area)				
	• belo	w the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 l	paseline).	Does Not Meet		1	1 1						
		nool meets the median adequate student growth pe	•	owth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA					
	• at or	above 60.		·		Exceeds	4	2	14				
	• belo	w 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each				
	• belo	w 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area				
Academic	• belo	w 30.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50			
Growth	If the sch	nool does not meet the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its median stu	as:		TCAP	CELA	English					
	• at or	above 70.	•		1	Exceeds	4	2	language				
	• belo	w 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)					
	• belo	w 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2	1							
	• belo	w 40.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	-				
	If the stu	dent subgroup meets the median adequate student	growth percentile and its median	student growth percentile	e was:								
	• at or	above 60.			Exceeds	4							
	• belo	w 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3						
	• belo	w 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60				
Academic	• belo	w 30.				Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3				
Growth Gaps	s If the stu	dent subgroup does not meet the median adequate	student growth percentile and its	median student growth p	percentile was:					25			
-	• at or	above 70.				Exceeds	eds 4		subject areas)				
	• belo	w 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3	1				
	• belo	w 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2	-					
	• belo	w 40.				Does Not Meet		1	-				
-Points for eac	ch performan	ice indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assign	ment							
	Cut Point: Tl	ne school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: T	he school ear	rned	of the	total Framework points elig	ible.			
chievement;	 at or abov 	e 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or abov	/e 59%				Performance			
rowth; Gaps	 at or abov 	e 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or abov	/e 47% - below	59%			Improvement			
	 at or abov 	e 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or abov	/e 37% - below	47%			Priority Improvemen			
below 37.5% Does Not Meet					• below 379	%			Turnaround				
ool plan type	assignments												
		Plan description											
formance Plar	ו <u>ו</u>	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	rformance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of									
provement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an li	mprovement Plan.	five consecutive years be	efore the Distri	ct or Institute is	s require	ed to res	structure or close the school. The	e five			
ority Improver	nent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pr	iority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years	commences c	n July 1 during	the sum	nmer im	mediately following the fall in w	hich the			
									· · · · ·				

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math			Writing					
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)												
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading		Math				Writing		Science		
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.