School: OLATHE HIGH SCHOOL - 6494

District: MONTROSE COUNTY RE-1J - 2180 (3 Year¹)

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

			District: IVIC	JN1KO3E COUNTY RE-13 - 2180 (3 Year)
Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	(7.5 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	57.1%	(20.0 out of 35 points)	
e n Academic Growth Gaps e	Approaching	56.7%	(8.5 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce R	eadiness Meets	81.3%	(28.5 out of 35 points)	
 Test Participation³ 	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		64.5%	(64.5 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rate	es .															
	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	99.3%	99.3%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	569	569	-	-	573	573
Mathematics	-	-	99.0%	99.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	566	566	-	-	572	572
Writing	-	-	99.3%	99.3%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	569	569	-	-	573	573
Science	-	-	99.6%	99.6%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	271	271	-	-	272	272
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.6%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	235	-	-	-	236	-





Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High School
School: OLATHE HIGH SCHOOL						Dis	trict: MONTROSE COUNTY RE	-1J - 2180 (3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	533	59.66	22	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	531	25.42	37	1
Writing	2	4		Approaching	533	39.77	30	1
Science	2	4		Approaching	258	45.35	40	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Adadisos Adamsos Consulto	0.00-1-0-1
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4	701011163	Meets	502	53	33	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	499	54	98	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	502	52	75	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1	2		Approaching	106	48	70	No
Total	8	14	57.1%		100	40	70	110
Total	•	14	37.1%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	294	50	56	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	251	51	61	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	45	58	98	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	159	53	78	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	214	53	86	No
Mathematics	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	292	52	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	250	53	99	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	45	42	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	159	52	99	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	328	57	99	No
Writing	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	294	50	88	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	251	54	91	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	45	66	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	159	57	96	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	290	54	96	No
Total	34	60	56.7%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds		334/ 248 /167/87	87.1/ 90.7 /89.2/89.7%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	3	4	75%	Meets			- , , ,	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets		198/ 136 /87/44	84.8/ 86.8 /86.2/86.4%	80%
Minority Students	0.75	1		Meets		132/89/ 62 /29	85.6/86.5/ 88.7 /86.2%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.75	1		Meets	3	31/ 20 /N<16/N<16	67.7/ 85 /-/-%	80%
English Learners	0.75	1		Meets	-	57/41/28/ 16	80.7/85.4/85.7/ 87.5 %	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		1274	0.7%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		235	18.1	20.1
Total	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
			02.070					

Scoring Guide Level: H

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.

Performance Plan

Improvement Plan

Turnaround Plan

Priority Improvement Plan

	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	ei Framework Po
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advan							
	 at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-1 			Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percent			Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	 below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percent 	ile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseli	ine).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	 below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 bas 			Does Not Mee		1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perce	ntile and its median student growth pe	ercentile was:		TCAP	CELA		
	at or above 60.			Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grow	th percentile and its median student g	rowth percentile was:		TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	4	2	language	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	• below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its median studer	nt growth percentile was:	<u> </u>				
	at or above 60.			Exceeds		4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Mee	t	1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate st	udent growth percentile and its media	ın student growth percent	ile was:			subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2		
	• below 40.			Does Not Mee	t	1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: 1	The school's graduation rate/disa	ggregated graduation	rate was:	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						16	
stsecondary and	at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
rkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10	baseline).		Meets		3	indicator)	
	 at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-1 	0 baseline).		Approaching		2	1	
	• above 10%.			Does Not Mee	t	1	1	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Co	olorado ACT composite score was	:	<u>.</u>	•		1	
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds	I	4	1	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10	baseline).		Meets		3	1	
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10)	baseline).		Approaching		2	1	
	• below 17.			Does Not Mee	t	1	1	
Points for each ner	formance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	ne assignment				
	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator	cut roints for plan ty		oarnod	of the te	otal Framework points eligib	lo.
,	roint. The school earned of the points eligible on th	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	earned	. טו נוופ ננ	rai Francework points eligib	Performance
Cut F	t or above 97 E9/				500/			Improvement
Cut I	t or above 87.5%		Total Eromouroul					
Cut In thievement; owth; Gaps	rt or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - be				
hievement; owth; Gaps • a • a	it or above 62.5% - below 87.5% it or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Meets Approaching	Total Framework Points	• at or above 33% - be			P	riority Improveme
hievement; owth; Gaps • a • a	nt or above 62.5% - below 87.5% or above 37.5% - below 62.5% pelow 37.5%	Meets					P	riority Improveme Turnaround

3

SPF 2012 - 2180 - 6494

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of

five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 2180 - 6494

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	82.6	89.7	89.7	89.7
Anticipated Year	2009	85.5	88.8	88.8	
of Graduation	2010	89.2	93.8		
	2011	92.1			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	80.4	84.4	86.4	86.4
Anticipated Year	2009	82.2	86	86	
of Graduation	2010	84	89.6		
	2011	91.2			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	83.3	86.2	86.2	86.2
Anticipated Year	2009	88.2	90.9	90.9	
of Graduation	2010	75	81.5		
	2011	92.5			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	87.5	87.5	87.5	87.5
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	82.6	89.7	89.7	89.7
Anticipated Year	2009	85.5	88.8	88.8	
of Graduation	2010	89.2	93.8		
	2011	92.1			
	Aggregated	87.1	90.7	89.2	89.7

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	80.4	84.4	86.4	86.4
Anticipated Year	2009	82.2	86	86	
of Graduation	2010	84	89.6		
	2011	91.2			
	Aggregated	84.8	86.8	86.2	86.4

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	83.3	86.2	86.2	86.2
Anticipated Year	2009	88.2	90.9	90.9	
of Graduation	2010	75	81.5		
	2011	92.5			
	Aggregated	85.6	86.5	88.7	86.2

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	67.7	85	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	87.5	87.5	87.5	87.5
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	80.7	85.4	85.7	87.5

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 2180 - 6494