School Performance Framework 2012

School: R-5 HIGH SCHOOL - 7236

AEC: Performance (See Alternative Education Campus SPF) (Revised)

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Ро
Performance	at or above 60%	
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%	Те
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%	_
Turnaround	below 33%	тс

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points I	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	1
Academic Growth	-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	1
Academic Growth Gaps	-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	I
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(8.8 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		25.1%	(8.8 out of 35 points)	
² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points and the points eligible, so scores are not negativel	on an indicator due to insufficient	numbers of st	udents. In these cases, the points are	removed from both the points ear

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

		% of Stu	idents Tested			Participo	ation Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	tudents	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	96.6%	96.6%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	28	28	-	-	29	29
Mathematics	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	29	29	-	-	29	29
Writing	-	-	96.6%	96.6%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	28	28	-	-	29	29
Science	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	27	27	-	-	27	27
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	64	-	-	-	64	-

1

SCHOOLVIEW.org

Level:

District: MESA COUNTY VALLEY 51 - 2000 (1 Year³)

Performance Indicators							Lev	vel: High Schoo
School: R-5 HIGH SCHOOL						Dist	rict: MESA COUNTY VALLEY	51 - 2000 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	0	0		-	N<16	_	-	
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Writing	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Total	0	0	%	-				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Mathematics	0	0		_	N<20	-	_	_
Writing	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	_
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	
Total	0	0	%	-				
		•						
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	,,,	<u> </u>	N<20	-	-	
Minority Students	0	0			N<20		-	_
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20			
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20			_
Students needing to catch up	0	0			N<20			_
Mathematics	0	0	%	-	11<20	-	-	-
	-	-	70	•	NL -20			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4		Does Not Meet	4	205/219/ 217 /195	27.3/37/ 47 /37.9%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		94/94/ 105 /97	25.5/33/ 41.9 /37.1%	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		43/54/ 59 /43	27.9/27.8/ 49.2 /41.9%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		16/ 19 /26/ 19	12.5/36.8/30.8/ 42.1 %	80%
English Learners	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	N<	16/ 18 /N<16/N<16	-/ 16.7 /-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	1	4		Does Not Meet		574	27.9%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4		Does Not Meet		64	15.9	20.0
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

coring Guide								Level:
oring Guide for Pe	rformance Indicators on the School Performance Fra	mework Report						
erformance Indicat		mework Report		Rating	Doin	t Value	Total Possible per EMH Le	al Framowork Do
		hanced was		Kuting	Full	l vuiue		reifiumework For
	 The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or ac at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200 			Excoods		4	16	
Academic	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per 		colino)	Exceeds Meets	_	3	(4 for each	
			,			-		
Achievement	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per	· · · · ·	iseline).	Approachi	•	2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10	•	1	Does Not N		1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth pe	ercentile and its median student growt	n percentile was:	Eveneda	TCAP	CELA	14	
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds		2	14	
	• below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
• · · · • · · • ·	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approachi	<u> </u>	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not N	_	0.5	and 2 for	
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median studer	nt growth percentile was:	<u> </u>	TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approachi	-	1	_	
	• below 40.			Does Not N	eet 1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate studen	nt growth percentile and its median stu	dent growth percentile was:	1			-	
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds		4	_	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3	_	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approachi	<u> </u>	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not N	eet	1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequat	the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:						
	• at or above 70.	ove 70.				4	subject areas)	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3			
	• below 55 but at or above 40.			Approachi	ng	2		
	• below 40.			Does Not N	eet	1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate	te: The school's graduation rate/d	isaggregated graduation	rate was:	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.	<u> </u>		Exceeds	4	1	1	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75	-	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approachi		0.5	-	
	• below 65%.			Does Not N	<u> </u>	0.25	-	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:					0.20	16	
Postsecondary and				Exceeds	1	4	(4 for each sub-	35
-		0.10 hasalina)						35
orkforce Readines	0 10			Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 200	09-10 baseline).		Approachi	<u> </u>	2	-	
	• above 10%.	0 1 1 107		Does Not N	eet	1	-	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average	e Colorado ACT composite score w	/as:	r .			-	
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4	_	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009)	-		Meets		3	_	
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009)	9-10 baseline).		Approachi	<u> </u>	2	_	
	• below 17.			Does Not N	eet	1		
t-Points for each p	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan t	vpe assignment				
	It Point: The school earned of the points eligible or	n this Indicator.		Cut Point: The scho	ol earned	of the t	otal Framework points eligi	hle.
	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	orcanica			Performance
		Moots	Total Framework • at or above 47% - below 60%					
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Approaching						Priority Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• below 33%	JCIUW 4770			Priority Improvem Turnaround
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		* DEIUW 33%				rumaround
nool plan type ass								
	Plan description							
rformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a	Performance Plan.	A school may not impleme	nt a Priority Improvem	ent and/or T	urnaround	Plan for longer than a combined	total of
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement ar	n Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before	ore the District or Instit	ite is require	d to restru	cture or close the school. The fiv	e
	the second s	•	,					
ority Improvemer	t Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a	Priority Improvement Plan	consecutive school years o	ommences on July 1 du	ring the sum	mer immer	liately following the fall in which	the

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math		Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Pero	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 [.]	10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
year the performance framework reports were released		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
(2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	23.6	35.3	36.8	37.9
Anticipated Year	2009	31.7	44.9	47	
of Graduation	2010	26.2	37		
	2011	27.3			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	23.6	35.3	36.8	37.9
Anticipated Year	2009	31.7	44.9	47	
of Graduation	2010	26.2	37		
	2011	27.3			
	Aggregated	27.2	39.2	42.2	37.9

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	20.5	34.1	35.4	37.1
Anticipated Year	2009	25.6	40	41.9	
of Graduation	2010	18.4	33		
	2011	25.5			
	Aggregated	22.7	35.8	38.8	37.1

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

	4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
2008	15	33.3	35.7	41.9
2009	34	47.5	49.2	
2010	22.2	27.8		
2011	27.9			
Aggregated	25.4	36.9	43.6	41.9
	2009 2010 2011	2008 15 2009 34 2010 22.2 2011 27.9	2008 15 33.3 2009 34 47.5 2010 22.2 27.8 2011 27.9	2008 15 33.3 35.7 2009 34 47.5 49.2 2010 22.2 27.8 2011 2011 27.9 2011 27.9

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	38.9	38.9	42.1
Anticipated Year	2009	22.7	34.8	30.8	
of Graduation	2010	11.8	36.8		
	2011	12.5			
	Aggregated	18.6	36.7	34.1	42.1

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	16.7		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	21.9	33.3	47.4	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	20.5	34.1	35.4	37.1
Anticipated Year	2009	25.6	40	41.9	
of Graduation	2010	18.4	33		
	2011	25.5			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	15	33.3	35.7	41.9
Anticipated Year	2009	34	47.5	49.2	
of Graduation	2010	22.2	27.8		
	2011	27.9			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	38.9	38.9	42.1
Anticipated Year	2009	22.7	34.8	30.8	
of Graduation	2010	11.8	36.8		
	2011	12.5			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	16.7		
	2011	N<16			