School Performance Framework 2012

School: GENOA-HUGO SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL - 4162

Improvement

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

			Distri	ct. denox-nodo c113 - 1780 (1 real
Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	33.3%	(5.0 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	1
Academic Growth Gaps	-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	I
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	50.0%	(17.5 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		45.0%	(22.5 out of 50 points)	
² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points	on an indicator due to insufficient	numbers of st	udents. In these cases, the points	are removed from both the points ear

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

		% of Stu	dents Tested			Participo	ation Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	tudents	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	20	20	-	-	20	20
Mathematics	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	20	20	-	-	20	20
Writing	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	20	20	-	-	20	20
Science	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	12	-	-	12	12
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	7	-



1

Level: H

District: GENOA-HUGO C113 - 1780 (1 Year¹)

Performance Indicators								el: High Schoo
School: GENOA-HUGO SENIOR HIGH	SCHOOL						District: GENOA-HUGO C1	13 - 1780 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	19	52.63	11	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	19	15.79	14	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	19	36.84	23	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)				-				
Total	0	0	%	-				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	<u> </u>	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	-	-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		_	N<20	-	_	_
English Learners	0	0		_	N<20	-	_	_
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	70	<u> </u>	N<20		-	
Minority Students	0	0			N<20			
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-		
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20			
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20			
Total	0	0	%	-	11<20	-	-	-
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	0	0			N<1	.6/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	0	0	%	-			11170	3070
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	,.	-		6/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Minority Students	0	0		_		16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-		16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0				16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching		64	9.4%	3.6%
	-	-		Approaching			5.470	5.070
Colorado ACT Composite Score	0	0		-		N<16	_	20.0

coring Guide									Level
oring Guide for Pe	rformance Indicators on the School Performance Fra	mework Report							
erformance Indicat				Rat	ina	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Le	el Framework Pa
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or ac	lyanced was:		nat	ing	1 01110	Vulue		
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200			Exce	ods		4	16	
Academic	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per 		seline)	Me			3	(4 for each	15
	 below the 50th percentile but at or above the 50th per below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per 		,				2		15
Achievement		· · · · ·	iseline).	Approa	<u> </u>			content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 If the school mosts the median adequate student arouth a	•	h narcantila was	Does No		ТСАР	1 CELA		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth period of a tor above 60.	ercentile and its median student growt	n percentile was:	Exce		4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Me		3	1.5	(4 for each	
				Approa		2	1.5	- `	
Acadamia	below 45 but at or above 30.					1		content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does No			0.5	and 2 for	
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median studei	nt growth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.			Exce		4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Me		3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approa		2	1	_	
	• below 40.			Does No	t Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate studer	nt growth percentile and its median stu	dent growth percentile was:					-	
	• at or above 60.			Exce			4	4	
	below 60 but at or above 45.		Me			3	4		
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approa	<u> </u>		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does No	t Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequat	e student growth percentile and its me	dian student growth percen	tile was:				subgroups in 3	
	• at or above 70.				eds		4	subject areas)	
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 			Me	ets		3		
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 			Approa	ching		2		
	• below 40.			Does No	t Meet		1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Ra	te: The school's graduation rate/d	isaggregated graduation	rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exce	eds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Me	ets	3	0.75	-	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approa	ching	2	0.5	-	
	• below 65%.				t Meet	t 1 0.25		-	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						1	16	
Postsecondary and	· · · ·			Exce	she		4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readines		0 10 basalina)		Me			3		55
orkionce Readines							2	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 20	09-10 baseline).		Approa	<u> </u>		<u>2</u> 1		
	above 10%.	- Calanada ACT anno aita anno a		Does No	tivieet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's averag	e Colorddo ACT composite score w	/as:		· •		-	-	
	• at or above 22.			Exce			4	4	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009)	-		Me			3	4	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009	-10 baseline).		Approa	<u> </u>		2	4	
	• below 17.			Does No	t Meet		1		
t-Points for each p	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan t	ype assignment					
Cu	ut Point: The school earned of the points eligible or	n this Indicator.		Cut Point: The s	chool ea	arned	of the t	otal Framework points eligi	ble.
	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		at or above 60					Performance
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	at or above 47		v 60%			Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	at or above 33					Priority Improven
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	i onto	• below 33%	SCIOW				Turnaround
ool plan type assi		DOES NOT WEEL		001049 3370					- Turnar outilu
oor plan type ass									
	Plan description								
rformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a	Performance Plan.	A school may not impleme	nt a Priority Improv	ement an	nd/or Tu	rnaround	Plan for longer than a combined	total of
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement ar	n Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before	ore the District or In	stitute is i	required	l to restru	cture or close the school. The fiv	e
						•			
ority Improvemer	t Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a	Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years of	ommences on July '	during th	he sumn	ner immer	diately following the fall in which	i the

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math		Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Pere	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 [.]	10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps


The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	87.3	83.7	85.7	N<16

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	75	73.7	N<16	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	•				

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			