Level: MH

School: KIM UNDIVIDED HIGH SCHOOL - 4694 District: KIM REORGANIZED 88 - 1760 (1 Year¹)

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points E	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	I
Academic Growth	-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	ı
Academic Growth Gaps	-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	1
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	100.0%	(35.0 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

100.0%

(35.0 out of 35 points)

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stu	idents Tested			Participat	ion Rating	1		Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	8	8	16	-	8	8	16
Mathematics	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	8	8	16	-	8	8	16
Writing	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	8	8	16	-	8	8	16
Science	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	3	2	5	-	3	2	5
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	6	-





TOTAL

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle Scho
School: KIM UNDIVIDED HIGH SC	HOOL						District: KIM REORGANIZED	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	0	0			N<16	-		
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<16	-	- -	
Writing	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Total	0	0	%	-				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0	72.7 0.11.00	-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)				-	-			
Total	0	0	%	-				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				0.0
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20			<u>-</u>
Minority Students	0	0			N<20		<u>. </u>	
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				

Performance Indicators							L	evel: High Schoo
School: KIM UNDIVIDED HIGH SCHOO	OL						District: KIM REORGANIZE	D 88 - 1760 (1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Writing	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	701 0111165	-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0			N<20			
Writing	0	0			N<20		<u>-</u>	
-	0				11\20	-	-	
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)				-				
Total	0	0	<u></u> %	-				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				0.0
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	<u>.</u>	<u>-</u>	
Minority Students	0	0			N<20			
Students with Disabilities	0	0			N<20		<u>-</u>	
	0	0			N<20		<u>-</u>	
English Learners	0	0		-		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	-
Students needing to catch up				-	N<20	<u> </u>	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	<u></u> %	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	0	0		-	N<1	16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<1	16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Minority Students	0	0		-		16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-		16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-		16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		24	0%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	0	0		-		N<16	-	20.0
	_					=-		

Scoring Guide Level: MH

rformance Indicato	formance Indicators on the School Performance Fram				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Le	vel Framework Po
Joinnance marcato		iancod was:			nuting	FUIII	vuiue	TOTAL POSSIBLE PET LIVIN LE	ven runnework PO
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009)			1	Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile		colino)		Meets		3	(4 for each	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,	,				2	1 '	
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile		seiine).		Approaching			content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 below the	·			Does Not Meet		1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth per	rcentile and its median student growti	n percentile was:		E d .	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14	
	• below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student gro	owth percentile and its median studer	t growth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA	English	
	at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student	growth percentile and its median stud	dent growth percentile was:					1	
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds		4	1	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets		3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate	student growth percentile and its me	dian student growth percent	ile was:				subgroups in 3	
	at or above 70.				Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3	1	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching		2	1	
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet		1	1	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate	e: The school's graduation rate/di	saggregated graduation	rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			I	Exceeds	4	1	1	
	at or above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3	0.75	1	
	at or above 65% but below 80%.				Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.				Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:							16	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			I	Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness		10 basalina)			Meets		3	indicator)	33
inkioite keauliles	at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009) at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009)				Approaching		2	indicator)	
	above 10%. above 10%. above 10%.	3-10 baseline).					1	-	
		Calamada ACT as massaita assus			Does Not Meet		1	1	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average	Colorado ACT composite score w	as:	-				-	
	• at or above 22.				Exceeds		4	4	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-				Meets		3	_	
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-	10 baseline).			Approaching		2	_	
	• below 17.				Does Not Meet		1		
Points for each pe	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	/pe assign	ment				
Cut	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point	: The school	earned	of the to	tal Framework points eligi	ble.
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds			bove 60%			į i i i i i	Performance
	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework		bove 47% - belo	w 60%			Improvement
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points		bove 33% - belo				Priority Improvem
	at of above 37.3/0 DCIOW 02.3/0		1 011163			, 4,,0		-	Turnaround
	helow 37 5%	Does Not Meet		I • below	33%				lumaronno
	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below	33%				Turnarounu

	Plan description	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of
mprovement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five
riority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the
urnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

4

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

5



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 1760 - 4694

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

<u>This School's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	92.3	100	N<16	N<16

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

6

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 1760 - 4694