School Performance Framework 2012

School: IVY STOCKWELL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 4332

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on thei overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is ther matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	
Performance	at or above 59%	1
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	ł
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	
Turnaround	below 37%	4

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Test Participation Rates

	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating				Student	ts Tested		Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.6%	-	-	99.6%	Meets	-	-	Meets	507	-	-	507	509	-	-	509
Mathematics	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	511	-	-	511	511	-	-	511
Writing	99.6%	-	-	99.6%	Meets	-	-	Meets	509	-	-	509	511	-	-	511
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	190	-	-	190	190	-	-	190
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

1

¹ Data in this report is based on results from: 2009-10,2010-11,2011-12

District: THOMPSON R2-J - 1560 (3 Year¹)

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Exceeds	96.4%	(48.2 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Exceeds	93.8%	(23.5 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		90.5%	(90.5 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

SCHOOLVIew.org

Level: E

School: IVY STOCKWELL ELEMEN							District: THOMPSON R	2-1 - 1560 /2 Voo
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	2-3 - 1300 (3 162
Reading	3	4	<i>/0 PUIIILS</i>	Meets	493	82.35	76	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	495	80.2	74	
Writing	3	4		Meets	493	70.79	82	
Science	3	4		Meets	184	59.24	72	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets	101		12	
								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	333	66	28	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	337	72	51	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	335	72	38	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	32	57	52	Yes
Total	13.5	14	96.4%	Exceeds				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
· ·		-				Fercentile	Growth Percentile	Giowini
Reading	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds	70		27	Mar
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	73	67	37	Yes
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	4 3	4		Exceeds	47	63	<u> </u>	Yes
	<u> </u>	0		Meets	34 N<20	-	69	-
English Learners Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	76	- 69	62	-
·		16	07.5%		70	09	62	Yes
Mathematics	14	-	87.5%	Exceeds	74		52	M = -
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	74	71	53	Yes
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	47	60 54	58 69	Yes
	0	0		Approaching		54		No
English Learners Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	N<20 81	71	- 74	No
· ·	16	16	100%		10	/1	/4	INU
Writing	-	-	100%	Exceeds	74		12	N
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	74	70	43	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	47	63	49	Yes
Students with Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	35	75	76	No
English Learners Students needing to catch up	0 4	0 4		- Exceeds	N<20 138	- 76	62	- Yes

coring Guide										Level:
coring Guide for	Performanc	e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report				_	_		
erformance Indi	cator Scoring	g Guide				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	el Framework Poir
	The sch	ool's percentage of students scoring proficient or ad	vanced was:			. –			- -	
	• at o	r above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200	9-10 baseline).			Exceeds	4		16	
Academic	• belo	ow the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th perc	centile of all schools (using 2009-1	10 baseline).		Meets	3		(4 for each	25
Achievement	t • belo	ow the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th perc	centile of all schools (using 2009-1	Approaching		2	content area)			
	• belo	ow the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10	baseline).	Does Not Meet		1				
	If the sc	hool meets the median adequate student growth pe	rcentile and its median student g		TCAP	CELA				
	• at o	r above 60.		Exceeds	4	2	14			
	• belo	ow 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each			
	• belo	ow 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area		
Academic	• belo	ow 30.	Does Not Meet 1 0.5 and 2 for							50
Growth	If the sc	school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: TCAP CELA English or above 70. Exceeds 4 2 language			English					
	• at o	r above 70.			Exceeds	4	2	language		
	• belo	ow 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1			
	• belo	ow 40.		Does Not Meet	1	0.5				
	If the st	udent subgroup meets the median adequate studen	4							
	• at o	r above 60.		Exceeds		4				
	• belo	ow 60 but at or above 45.		Meets		3				
	• belo	ow 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2 t 1		60	
Academic	• belo	ow 30.				Does Not Meet			(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	s If the st	udent subgroup does not meet the median adequate	e student growth percentile and it	:			subgroups in 3	25		
	• at o	r above 70.		Exceeds 4			subject areas)			
	• belo	ow 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3	1		
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2				
	• belo	ow 40.		Does Not Meet		1				
Points for eac	ch performa	nce indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assign	ment				
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: T	he school ea	rned	of the	total Framework points elig	ible.
chievement;	 at or above 	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or abo	ve 59%				Performance
rowth; Gaps	• at or abov	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or abo	ve 47% - below	59%			Improvement
	 at or above 	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or abo	ve 37% - below	47%			Priority Improveme
below 37.5% Does Not Meet			below 37	%				Turnaround		
ool plan type a	assignments									
		Plan description								
formance Plar	<u>ו</u>	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	erformance Plan.	A school may not implem	nent a Priority	Improvement a	and/or T	urnarou	nd Plan for longer than a combir	ed total of
provement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an I	mprovement Plan.	five consecutive years be	efore the Distr	ict or Institute i	s require	ed to res	structure or close the school. The	five
ority Improven	nent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pr	iority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years	commences of	on July 1 during	the sum	nmer im	mediately following the fall in wh	nich the
						, .				

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)													
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math			Writing						
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50	
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	
	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)													
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading		Math			Writing						
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.