School: POUDRE HIGH SCHOOL - 7124 District: POUDRE R-1 - 1550 (3 Year')

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(11.3 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	60.7%	(21.2 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	43.3%	(6.5 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	75.0%	(26.3 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
Test Participation	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

65.3%

(65.3 out of 100 points)

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rate	S															
	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	97.3%	97.3%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	2718	2718	-	-	2794	2794
Mathematics	-	-	97.0%	97.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	2708	2708	-	-	2793	2793
Writing	-	-	97.4%	97.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	2721	2721	-	-	2794	2794
Science	-	-	95.9%	95.9%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1302	1302	-	-	1357	1357
Colorado ACT	-	-	95.9%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	1174	-	-	-	1224	-





TOTAL

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: High Schoo
School: POUDRE HIGH SCHOOL							District: POUDRE R	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	1 1330 (3 1 cu.
Reading	3	4	701011115	Meets	2599	73.72	54	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	2592	43.4	76	_
Writing	3	4		Meets	2601	58.59	69	
Science	3	4	-	Meets	1261	58.52	68	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets	1201	30.32		
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4	701 0111165	Meets	2380	48	8	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	2377	40	66	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	2380	44	27	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	190	63	69	No
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching	190			110
				<u> </u>				
Acadomic Growth Gans	Doints Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Datina	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Academic Growth Gaps Reading	Points Earned 13	20	65%	Rating	N N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growins
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	03/6	Meets Meets	750	47	34	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	630	51	25	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4	-	Approaching	196	41	91	No
English Learners	3	4	-	Meets	357	54	34	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4	-	Approaching	536	44	76	No
Mathematics	5	20	25%	Does Not Meet	330		70	NO
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	23/6	Does Not Meet	754	37	97	No
Minority Students	<u>1</u>	4		Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	628	38	88	No
Students with Disabilities	<u>1</u>	4		Does Not Meet	198	31	99	No
English Learners	1	4	-	Does Not Meet	356	37	94	No
Students needing to catch up	<u>_</u> 1	4	-	Does Not Meet	1024	38	99	No
Writing	8	20	40%	Approaching	1024	36	33	NO
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	40/0	Does Not Meet		39	74	No
Minority Students	2	4			630	45	59	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Approaching Does Not Meet	196	32	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	358	45	72	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	800	41	90	No
Total	26	60	43.3%	Approaching	555		30	110
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Farned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4	/0 F UIIIL3	Meets	20	18/ 1564 /1098/545	81.6/ 84.7 /84.6/84.2%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2	4	50%	Approaching		10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10,	01.0/04.0/04.0/04.2/0	0070
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1	3070	Approaching		528/ 467 /338/166	60.8/ 66.6 /65.4/65.7%	80%
Minority Students	0.5	1		Approaching		506/ 391 /266/132	68.2/ 75.4 /74.8/74.2%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching		201/ 158 /104/50	65.7/ 74.1 /73.1/74%	80%
English Learners	0.5	1		Approaching		207/158/112/ 58	59.9/70.3/73.2/ 75.9 %	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		6447	1.9%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	4	4		Exceeds		1174	22.1	20.1
Total	12	16	75%	Meets		****	£2.1	20.1
Iotal	12	10	13/0	IVICELS				

Scoring Guide Level: H

	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	WORK REPORT						
rformance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Po
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advan							
	 at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-1 	•		Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percent 	tile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseli	ne).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	 below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percent 	tile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseli	ne).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 bas	seline).		Does Not Mee		1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perce	ntile and its median student growth pe	rcentile was:		TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	4	2	14	
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 			Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Mee	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grow	th percentile and its median student gi	owth percentile was:		TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	• below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.			Does Not Mee	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its median studen	t growth percentile was:					
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds		4		
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 			Meets		3		
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Mee		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate st	udent growth percentile and its media	student growth percent	ile was:	•		subgroups in 3	15
·	• at or above 70.	-		Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3	1	
	• below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2		
	• below 40.			Does Not Mee		1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate/disag	areaated araduation	rate was.	Overall	Disaggr.		
-	• at or above 90%.	g,	,gg g	Exceeds	4	1		
·	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Mee	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						16	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds	Ι	4	(4 for each sub-	35
rkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10)) hasalina)		Meets	!	3	indicator)	
i kioite keauilless	at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10) at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Approaching		2	- indicator)	
	• above 10%.	to baseline).		Does Not Mee	 	1	-	
		alarada ACT sampasita ssara was		Does Not Mee		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Co	biordao ACT composite score was:		1 - 1	1		_	
	• at or above 22.	L. P. A		Exceeds		4	4	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10			Meets		3	_	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10	baseline).		Approaching	 	2	_	
	• below 17.			Does Not Mee		1		
Points for each per	formance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	pe assignment				
Cut I	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.		Cut Point: The school	earned	of the to	otal Framework points eligible	e.
	t or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%			,	Performance
		Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - bel				Improvement

Cut-Points for eac	h performan	ce indicator		Cut-Points for plan type assignment					
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	• at or abov	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60% Perform				
Growth; Gaps	at or abov	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
	at or abov	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.	5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround			
School plan type a	ssignments								
		Plan description							
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Peri	formance Plan.	A school may not implemen	nt a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer	r than a combined total of			
Improvement Plai	า	The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years befo	re the District or Institute is required to restructure or close	the school. The five			
Priority Improvem	riority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the								
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Turi	naround Plan.	school is notified that it is r	equired to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround	d Plan.			

3

SPF 2012 - 1550 - 7124

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 1550 - 7124

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

<u>This School's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	80.3	83.6	83.8	84.2
Anticipated Year	2009	82	85.1	85.5	
of Graduation	2010	82.1	85.5		
	2011	82			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	57.1	64.2	65.3	65.7
Anticipated Year	2009	57.8	64.7	65.5	
of Graduation	2010	63.8	72.1		
	2011	66.2			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	65.4	71.8	72.6	74.2
Anticipated Year	2009	69.3	77.1	77.1	
of Graduation	2010	72.2	77.5		
	2011	65.4			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	70	77.1	74	74
Anticipated Year	2009	64.9	70.9	72.2	
of Graduation	2010	66.1	74.5		
	2011	60.5			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	61.7	70.7	75.9	75.9
Anticipated Year	2009	55	69.1	70.4	
of Graduation	2010	60.9	71.1		
	2011	63.4			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

<u>This School's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	80.3	83.6	83.8	84.2
Anticipated Year	2009	82	85.1	85.5	
of Graduation	2010	82.1	85.5		
	2011	82			
	Aggregated	81.6	84.7	84.6	84.2

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	57.1	64.2	65.3	65.7
Anticipated Year	2009	57.8	64.7	65.5	
of Graduation	2010	63.8	72.1		
	2011	66.2			
	Aggregated	60.8	66.6	65.4	65.7

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	65.4	71.8	72.6	74.2
Anticipated Year	2009	69.3	77.1	77.1	
of Graduation	2010	72.2	77.5		
	2011	65.4			
	Aggregated	68.2	75.4	74.8	74.2

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	70	77.1	74	74
Anticipated Year	2009	64.9	70.9	72.2	
of Graduation	2010	66.1	74.5		
	2011	60.5			
	Aggregated	65.7	74.1	73.1	74

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	61.7	70.7	75.9	75.9
Anticipated Year	2009	55	69.1	70.4	
of Graduation	2010	60.9	71.1		
	2011	63.4			
	Aggregated	59.9	70.3	73.2	75.9

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 1550 - 7124