Level: EMH

School: LIBERTY COMMON CHARTER SCHOOL - 5120 District: POUDRE R-1 - 1550 (3 Year')

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Exceeds	100.0%	(15.0 out of 15 points)	
Exceeds	91.7%	(32.1 out of 35 points)	
Exceeds	95.0%	(14.3 out of 15 points)	
Exceeds	100.0%	(35.0 out of 35 points)	
Meets 95% Participation Rate			
	Exceeds Exceeds Exceeds	Exceeds 100.0% Exceeds 91.7% Exceeds 95.0% Exceeds 100.0%	Exceeds 100.0% (15.0 out of 15 points) Exceeds 91.7% (32.1 out of 35 points) Exceeds 95.0% (14.3 out of 15 points) Exceeds 100.0% (35.0 out of 35 points)

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

96.4%

(96.4 out of 100 points)

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stude	nts Tested			Participat	tion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	tudents	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%	99.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	697	724	180	1601	698	724	181	1603
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	99.4%	99.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	698	724	180	1602	698	724	181	1603
Writing	99.7%	100.0%	99.4%	99.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	695	724	180	1599	697	724	181	1602
Science	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	233	207	69	509	233	207	69	509
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	26	-	-	-	26	-





TOTAL

Performance Indicators							Level: El	ementary Scho
School: LIBERTY COMMON CHAR	TER SCHOOL						District: POUDRE	R-1 - 1550 (3 Ye
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	694	97.41	99	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	695	93.96	97	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	692	90.9	99	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	230	80.43	96	
Total	16	16	100%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	440	54	15	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	441	68	30	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	437	64	21	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Acadomic Crowth Cana	Dainte Farmed	Dointe Fliaible	0/ Doints	Destina	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		<u> </u>	N<20	-		-
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	66	66	12	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Students needing to catch up	0	0	1000/		N<20	-	<u> </u>	-
Mathematics	8	8	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	66	81	26	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0			N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	24	80	68	Yes
Writing	8	8	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	64	74	19	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	39	69	50	Yes
Total	20	20	100%	Exceeds				

Performance Indicators							Leve	l: Middle Scho
School: LIBERTY COMMON CHAR	TER SCHOOL						District: POUDRE	R-1 - 1550 (3 Ye
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	723	98.76	99	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	723	94.05	99	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	723	97.65	99	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	207	91.3	99	
Total	16	16	100%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	691	57	11	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	692	73	36	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	692	69	19	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	39	49	13	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	117	55	11	Yes
Students with Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	34	62	29	Yes
English Learners	3	4		Meets	20	56	14	Yes
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	19	20	95%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	39	70	37	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	118	74	38	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	34	67	73	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	20	70	28	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	48	78	79	No
Writing	20	20	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	39	63	20	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	118	72	20	Yes
Students with Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	34	67	45	Yes
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	20	67	23	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	22	84	51	Yes
Total	52	56	92.9%	Exceeds				

Performance Indicators							L	evel: High Schoo
School: LIBERTY COMMON CHARTER	SCHOOL							R-1 - 1550 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	177	98.31	99	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	177	80.79	99	1
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	177	94.35	99	1
Science	4	4		Exceeds	68	86.76	98	'
Total	16	16	100%	Exceeds				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	160	68	3	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	160	76	19	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	160	59	7	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20		, -	-
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds	11120			
Total			32.770	Елессия				
And a factor	Batata Farmand	Detate Elizabete	0/ 0-1-4-	D	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0			N<20	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	-
Mathematics	4	4	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		_	N<20	<u>-</u>	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	=
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	21	86	94	No
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	4	4	100%	Exceeds				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	0	0			N<	16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	0	0	%	_		-,,,,	1111	30,0
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<	16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Minority Students	0	0		_		16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0				16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0				16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	1111	136	0%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	4	4		Exceeds		26	27.5	20.1
Total	8	8	100%	Exceeds			27.5	20.1

4

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

oring dulue for re	rformance Indicators on the School Performance F	ramework Report							
rformance Indica	torScoring Guide				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	Framework Po
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or	advanced was:							
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using)	2009-10 baseline).			Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th	percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba	aseline).		Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th	percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba	aseline).		Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-	10 baseline).		(Does Not Meet		1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth	n percentile and its median student growt	th percentile was:			TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			[Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate studen	t growth percentile and its median stude	nt growth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.			[Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate stu	dent growth percentile and its median stu	ident growth percentile was:					1	
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds		4	-	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets		3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adeq	uate student growth percentile and its me	edian student growth percent	tile was:				subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3	_	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching		2	_	
	• below 40.	0. 7 1 1 1 1 /			Does Not Meet		1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation	Rate: The school's graduation rate/a	disaggregated graduation	rate was:			Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.				Exceeds	4	1	-	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3	0.75	-	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.				Approaching	2	0.5	-	
	• below 65%.				Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:							16	
ostsecondary and					Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readine	3 1 3	<u> </u>			Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using)	2009-10 baseline).			Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.			[Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's aver	age Colorado ACT composite score v	vas:]	
	• at or above 22.				Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2)	009-10 baseline).			Approaching		2		
	• below 17.				Does Not Meet		1		
-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan to	ype assign	ment				
c	ut Point: The school earned of the points eligible	on this Indicator.		Cut Point:	: The school	earned	of the to	tal Framework points eligible	e.
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or ab	oove 60%				Performance
· -	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework		oove 47% - belo	ow 60%			Improvement
· -	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or ab	oove 33% - belo	ow 47%		Pi	iority Improveme
_	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 3	33%				Turnaround
ool plan type ass									
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Plan description								
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement	a Performance Plan	A school may not implama	nt a Driority	Improvement	and/or To	rnaround r	llan for longer than a combined to	atal of
	·		· · · · ·		•			lan for longer than a combined to	ıtaı UI
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement	an improvement Pian.	Tive consecutive years before	ore the Distri	ict or institute i	is required	i to restruc	ture or close the school. The five	
ority Improveme	nt Plan The school is required to adopt and implement		1					iately following the fall in which t	

5

SPF 2012 - 1550 - 5120

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00	
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

6



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 1550 - 5120

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

7

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 1550 - 5120