### School Performance Framework 2012

Level: EMH District: POUDRE R-1 - 1550 (1 Year<sup>1</sup>)

School: RIDGEVIEW CLASSICAL CHARTER SCHOOLS - 0146

# Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Meets	83.3%	( 12.5 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	72.2%	( 25.3 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	64.7%	( 9.7 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	100.0%	( 35.0 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		82.5%	( 82.5 out of 100 points )	

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

#### Test Participation Rates

	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	99.5%	98.3%	99.4%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	179	192	114	485	179	193	116	488
Mathematics	100.0%	99.5%	98.3%	99.4%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	179	192	114	485	179	193	116	488
Writing	100.0%	99.5%	98.3%	99.4%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	179	192	114	485	179	193	116	488
Science	100.0%	100.0%	97.9%	99.4%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	60	71	46	177	60	71	47	178
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	50	-	-	-	50	-

Performance Indicators							Level: E	ementary Scho
School: RIDGEVIEW CLASSICAL CH	HARTER SCHOO	ILS					District: POUDRE	R-1 - 1550 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	173	80.35	72	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	173	64.74	37	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	173	50.87	43	
Science	3	4		Meets	57	54.39	62	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	111	34	20	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	111	48	56	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	110	45	44	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	27	38	25	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	3	8	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	28	47	59	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	32	39	75	No
Writing	4	8	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	26	44	48	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	48	51	58	No
Total	9	20	45%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators							Level	: Middle Schoo
School: RIDGEVIEW CLASSICAL CH	HARTER SCHOO	OLS					District: POUDRE I	R-1 - 1550 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	192	92.71	95	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	192	65.1	74	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	192	85.42	96	
Science	3	4		Meets	71	71.83	86	
Total	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	178	54	19	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	178	59	62	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	178	58	36	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	6	8	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	35	55	26	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	39	55	21	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	- -	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	9	12	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	35	62	72	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	39	58	62	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	51	59	83	No
Writing	9	12	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	35	43	46	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	39	54	38	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	30	63	59	Yes
Total	24	32	75%	Meets				

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
School: RIDGEVIEW CLASSICAL CHAR	TER SCHOOLS						District: POUDRE I	R-1 - 1550 (1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	· · ·
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	114	89.47	93	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	114	58.77	92	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	114	80.7	98	
Science	4	4	<u>.</u>	Exceeds	46	76.09	94	
Total	16	16	100%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4	///	Meets	92	54	4	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	92	64	45	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	92	48	14	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets	11 20			
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4	75%	Meets			Giowani creentale	<u>Ciowin</u>
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	13/0	INICELS	N<20	-		_
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	25	53	- 7	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		IVIEELS	N<20		/	-
	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
English Learners Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20			-
	6	8	75%	-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	-		/5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	25	52	66	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0			N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	24	72	98	No
Writing	2	4	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	25	40	28	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up Total	0 11	0 16	68.8%	-	N<20	-	-	-
				Meets			/a	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds		41/46/ <b>43</b> /29	90.2/97.8/ <b>100</b> /86.2%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	0	0	%	-			111	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-		.6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Minority Students	0	0		-		.6/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-		.6/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<1	.6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		283	0%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	4	4		Exceeds		50	25.4	20.0
Total	12	12	100%	Exceeds				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

oring Guide										Level: E
oring Guide for Perf	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report								
rformance Indicato		work Report				Rating	Doin	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	Framework P
ijormance malcato		need was:				Kutiliy	Fom	vulue		errumework P
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanted of a school					Exceeds	1	4	16	
Academic	<ul> <li>below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percent</li> </ul>	,	haseline)			Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	<ul> <li>below the 50th percentile but at or above the 50th percent</li> <li>below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percent</li> </ul>							2		15
Achievement			baseline).			Approaching			content area)	
	<ul> <li>below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba If the school meets the median adequate student growth percent additional school meets and the school s</li></ul>		with porcontilo was			Does Not Meet	ТСАР	1 CELA		
	• at or above 60.	entile and its median student gro	win percentile was:			Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.					Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at of above 45.</li> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>					Approaching	2	1.5	content area	
Academic	• below 45 but at of above 50.					Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grow	uth perceptile and its median stu	dant growth parcontile u			Does Not Meet	ТСАР			55
Growth		vth percentile and its median stu	aent growth percentile w	vas:		Cuese de		CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.					Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.					Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	• below 55 but at or above 40.					Approaching	2	1	-	
	• below 40.	and the second its and its and its		1		Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median s	student growth percentii	ie was:		Evenede	1	4	-	
	• at or above 60.					Exceeds			-	
	below 60 but at or above 45.					Meets		3	-	
• · · · · · · · ·	below 45 but at or above 30.					Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.					Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate s	tudent growth percentile and its .	median student growth p	percent	tile was:	· · ·	1		subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.					Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.					Meets		3	4	
	below 55 but at or above 40.					Approaching		2	4	
	• below 40.		• •			Does Not Meet		1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate	/disaggregated gradu	uation	rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.					Exceeds	4	1		
	at or above 80% but below 90%.					Meets	3	0.75		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.					Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.					Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:								16	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.					Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-1	0 baseline).		Meets					indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-	10 baseline).				Approaching		2	1 '	
	• above 10%.					Does Not Meet		1	1	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average C	Colorado ACT composite score	e was:							
	• at or above 22.					Exceeds	1	4	-	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10)	) haseline)				Meets		3	-	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10					Approaching		2	-	
	below 17.					Does Not Meet		1	1	
			• · • • • •					T		
	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for	plan t						
Cut	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on the	nis Indicator.			Cut Point	: The school	earned	. of the t	otal Framework points eligibl	e.
chievement; •	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds				bove 60%				Performance
Frowth; Gaps	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framev	work	at or a	bove 47% - belo	ow 60%			Improvement
•	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points		at or a	bove 33% - belo	ow 47%		P	riority Improven
•	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet			below	33%				Turnaround
ool plan type assig	nments									
	Plan description									
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Per	formance Plan.	A school may not im	nleme	nt a Priority	Improvement	and/or Tu	rnaround	Plan for longer than a combined to	otal of
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an In		- '	•	'	•	'		cture or close the school. The five	
novement Plan		•	-						diately following the fall in which t	
ority Improvement										

# Reference

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

## Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math		Writing				Science	
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Pero	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 <sup>.</sup>	10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing		Science		
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

#### Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

#### This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	79.3	86.2	86.2	86.2
Anticipated Year	2009	84.1	100	100	
of Graduation	2010	89.1	97.8		
	2011	90.2			

#### State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

# This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	79.3	86.2	86.2	86.2
Anticipated Year	2009	84.1	100	100	
of Graduation	2010	89.1	97.8		
	2011	90.2			
	Aggregated	86.3	95.8	94.4	86.2

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	88.2	N<16	N<16	N<16

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	87.5	94.7	N<16	N<16

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		1 00	-0		
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			