School: BAYFIELD HIGH SCHOOL - 0640 District: BAYFIELD 10 JT-R - 1530 (1 Year')

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(11.3 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	(23.3 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	66.7%	(10.0 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	92.3%	(32.3 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		76.9%	(76.9 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Ra	tes															
% of Students Tested						Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	96.8%	96.8%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	184	184	-	-	190	190
Mathematics	-	-	97.4%	97.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	186	186	-	-	191	191
Writing	-	-	97.9%	97.9%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	186	186	-	-	190	190
Science	-	-	96.6%	96.6%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	84	84	-	-	87	87
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.1%	_	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	109	-	-	-	110	-





Common C	Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
Reading									
Reading 3		Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced		
Writing 3	Reading	3			Meets	179		75	1
Science 3	Mathematics	3	4		Meets	180	36.67	57	'
Total 12 16 75% Meets Meets Median Growth Median Adequate Growth Median Reading 3	Writing	3	4		Meets	181	60.22	74	
Reading 3	Science	3	4		Meets	83	62.65	75	
Reading 3	Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Reading 3	Academic Growth	Points Farned	Points Fliaihle	% Points	Ratina	N	Median Growth Percentile		Made Adequate Growth?
Mathematics 2				701011115					Yes
Writing 3								<u>*</u>	No
Total S									Yes
Total		_			-			-	-
Points Earner Points Eligible Points Rating Subgroup Median Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Growth Growth Percentille Gr				66.7%	Meets	IVAZO			
Reading Points Eligible Points Eligible Points Reading Points Points Reading Points Read						C. I	C	Colombia Marking Adams to	0.0-1-0-1
Prec Reading 9 12 75% Meets	A and amin County County	Dainta Faunad	Dainta Flinible	0/ Doints	Doubles or				Made Adequate
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3	•					N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Minority Students				75%					
Students with Disabilities					Meets				Yes
English Learners 0 0 0 - N<20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Meets		55	13	Yes
Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 26 58 63 N Mathematics 7 12 58.3% Approaching 34 49 93 N Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 37 52 83 N Minority Students 0 0 - N<20	Students with Disabilities	_			-	N<20	-	-	-
## Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching See Approaching See Approaching See Approaching See Approaching See Approaching See See					-			<u>-</u>	-
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2	Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	26	58	63	No
Minority Students	Mathematics	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 -	Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	34	49	93	No
English Learners		2	4		Approaching	37	52	83	No
Students needing to catch up 3	Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
### Principle Sample Sampl	English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 34 52 51 Y Minority Students 3 4 Meets 38 54 43 Y Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N 20 - </td <td>Students needing to catch up</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td>Meets</td> <td>75</td> <td>59</td> <td>99</td> <td>No</td>	Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	75	59	99	No
Minority Students 3 4 Meets 38 54 43 Y Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 - - - - N<20 - - - - N 20 - - - N 20 - - - N 20 - - - N Rating M Rate/Score Expect Expect Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr 4 4 Exceeds 98/108/104/122 93.9/94.4/94.2/100% 80 Disaggregated Graduation Rate: 1 1 100% Exceeds 98/108/104/122 93.9/94.4/94.2/100% 80 Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 1 1 100% Exceeds 20/23/N<16/17	Writing	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 - - - N 20 - - N 20 - - N 20 - N N R 95 N N R N S N S N S N S N S N N R N N R N	Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	34	52	51	Yes
English Learners 0 0 0 - N<20	Minority Students	3	4		Meets	38	54	43	Yes
Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 41 54 95 N Total 24 36 66.7% Meets Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Rate/Score Expect Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr 4 4 Exceeds 98/108/104/122 93.9/94.4/94.2/100% 81 Disaggregated Graduation Rate 1 1 100% Exceeds 20/23/N<16/17	Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Rate/Score Expect	Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	41	54	95	No
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr 4 4 Exceeds 98/108/104/122 93.9/94.4/94.2/100% 86 Disaggregated Graduation Rate 1 1 100% Exceeds Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 1 1 1 Exceeds 20/23/N<16/17		24	36	66.7%	Meets				
Disaggregated Graduation Rate 1 1 100% Exceeds Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 1 1 Exceeds 20/23/N<16/17				% Points				•	Expectation
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 1 1 Exceeds 20/23/N<16/17 95/91.3/-/100% 8 Minority Students 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16							98/108/104/ 122	93.9/94.4/94.2/ 100 %	80%
Minority Students 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16 -/-/-/-% 86 Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16				100%					
Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16 -/-/-% 80 English Learners 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-								80%
English Learners 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16 -/-/-% 80 Dropout Rate 4 4 Exceeds 494 0.6% 3. Colorado ACT Composite Score 3 4 Meets 109 20 20					-		<u> </u>		80%
Dropout Rate 4 4 Exceeds 494 0.6% 3. Colorado ACT Composite Score 3 4 Meets 109 20 20					-				80%
Colorado ACT Composite Score 3 4 Meets 109 20 20						N<1			80%
	· · ·				Exceeds				3.6%
Total 12 13 92.3% Exceeds							109	20	20.0
	Total	12	13	92.3%	Exceeds				

Scoring Guide Level: H

rformance Indicato	rScorina Guide			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Lev	el Framework Poi
jermanee mareate	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advan	nced was:		110.0119	1		Total resolute per simil ses	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-1			Exceeds	T	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percent	,	ine).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percen		•	Approaching	2		content area)	1
Acinevement	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 base)		inc).	Does Not Me	h	1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perce	•	ercentile was	DOES NOT ME	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.	mine and its median stadent growth pe	ercentile was.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Me	et 1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grow	th nercentile and its median student a	rowth nercentile was:	B des not me	TCAP	CELA	English	
Glowth	• at or above 70.	th percentile and its median stadent gi	TOWER PETCETTINE Was.	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40. below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1.5	- proficiency)	
	• below 40.			Does Not Me	et 1	0.5	-	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student gr	rowth nercentile and its median studen	at arowth nercentile was:	DOCS NOT WIC	- 4 -	0.5		
	• at or above 60.	owen percentile una les median staden	it growin percentile was.	Exceeds	T	4	†	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3	†	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Me	ot .	1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate st	udent arouth percentile and its media	n student arowth nercent	<u> </u>	- 1	_	subgroups in 3	15
Growth Gups	• at or above 70.	adent growth percentile and its media	ii student growth percent	Exceeds	I	4	subject areas)	15
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3	_ subject areas,	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	+	2	1	
	• below 40.			Does Not Me	ot .	1	†	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate/disa	agregated graduation		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.	The school's graduation rate, alsag	ggregatea graduation	Exceeds	4	1	1	
	• at or above 90%.			Meets	3	0.75	-	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.75	-	
	• below 65%.			- ''	_	0.25	-	
	• DEIOW 0376.			Does Not Me	s+l 1		_	
	Dronout Pata: The school's dronout rate was:			Does Not Me	et 1	0.23	16	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:				et 1		16	25
-	• at or below 1%.	Dharalina)		Exceeds	et 1	4	(4 for each sub-	35
-	at or below 1%. at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Exceeds Meets	et 1	4 3	-	35
	at or below 1%. at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10). at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Exceeds Meets Approaching		4 3 2	(4 for each sub-	35
-	at or below 1%. at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10). at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10). above 10%.	10 baseline).		Exceeds Meets		4 3	(4 for each sub-	35
	at or below 1%. at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10). at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10). above 10%. Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado.	10 baseline).	:	Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me	et	4 3 2 1	(4 for each sub-	35
	at or below 1%. at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10). at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10). above 10%. Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado at or above 22.	10 baseline). olorado ACT composite score was:		Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me	et	4 3 2 1 4	(4 for each sub-	35
	at or below 1%. at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10) at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10) above 10%. Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado at or above 22. at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10)	10 baseline). olorado ACT composite score was: baseline).	:	Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me Exceeds Meets	et	4 3 2 1 4 3	(4 for each sub-	35
	at or below 1%. at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10) at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10) above 10%. Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado at or above 22. at or above 22. at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10) at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10)	10 baseline). olorado ACT composite score was: baseline).	:	Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me Exceeds Meets Approaching	et	4 3 2 1 4 3 2	(4 for each sub-	35
rkforce Readiness	at or below 1%. at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10) at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10) above 10%. Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado at or above 22. at or above 22. at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10) at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10) below 17.	10 baseline). olorado ACT composite score was: baseline).	:	Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me Exceeds Meets	et	4 3 2 1 4 3	(4 for each sub-	35
rkforce Readiness	at or below 1%. at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10) at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10) above 10%. Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado at or above 22. at or above 22. at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10) at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10)	10 baseline). olorado ACT composite score was: baseline).	Cut-Points for plan ty	Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me	et	4 3 2 1 4 3 2	(4 for each sub-	35
rkforce Readiness	at or below 1%. at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10) at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10) above 10%. Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado at or above 22. at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10) at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10) below 17. erformance indicator	10 baseline). olorado ACT composite score was: baseline). baseline).		Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me vype assignment	et	4 3 2 1 4 3 2 1	(4 for each sub- indicator)	
rkforce Readiness Points for each pe	at or below 1%. at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10) at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10) above 10%. Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado at or above 22. at or above 22. at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10) at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10) below 17.	10 baseline). olorado ACT composite score was: baseline). baseline).		Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me vype assignment	et	4 3 2 1 4 3 2 1	(4 for each sub-	
Points for each pe	at or below 1%. at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10) at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10) above 10%. Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado at or above 22. at or above 41% but below 22 (using 2009-10) at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10) below 17. erformance indicator t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on the at or above 87.5%	10 baseline). olorado ACT composite score was: baseline). baseline). sis Indicator. Exceeds	Cut-Points for plan ty	Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me Vpe assignment Cut Point: The schoo • at or above 60%	et earned	4 3 2 1 4 3 2 1	(4 for each sub- indicator)	ole. Performance
Cut chievement; frowth; Gaps	at or below 1%. at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10) at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10) above 10%. Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado at or above 22. at or above 22. at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10) below 17. erformance indicator t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on the	10 baseline). olorado ACT composite score was: baseline). baseline).		Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me vpe assignment Cut Point: The schoo	et et et learned	4 3 2 1 4 3 2 1	(4 for each sub- indicator)	ole.

	Colorac	do ACT Composite Score: The school's average C	olorado ACT composite score	was:				
	• at o	r above 22.			Exceeds	4		
	• at o	r above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10	baseline).		Meets	3		
	• at o	r above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10	baseline).		Approaching	2		
	• belo	ow 17.			Does Not Mee	t 1		
Cut-Points for eac	ch performa	nce indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	ype assignment			
	Cut Point: 1	The school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.		Cut Point: The school	earned of the to	otal Framework points eli	gible.
Achievement;	• at or abo	ove 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%			Performance
Growth; Gaps	• at or abo	ove 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - bel	ow 60%		Improvement
•	• at or abo	ove 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - bel	ow 47%		Priority Improvement
	• below 37	7.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%			Turnaround
chool plan type a	assignments							
		Plan description						
erformance Plan	า	The school is required to adopt and implement a Per	formance Plan.	A school may not impleme	nt a Priority Improvement	and/or Turnaround F	Plan for longer than a combine	d total of
mprovement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years befo	ore the District or Institute	is required to restruc	cture or close the school. The	ive
riority Improven	ment Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Price	ority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years co	ommences on July 1 durin	g the summer immed	liately following the fall in whi	ch the
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Tur	naround Plan.	school is notified that it is r	required to implement a P	riority Improvement	or Turnaround Plan.	

3

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading		Math		Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 1530 - 0640

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	94.5	99.2	100	100
Anticipated Year	2009	93.4	92.5	94.2	
of Graduation	2010	88.5	94.4		
	2011	93.9			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	100	100	100	100
Anticipated Year	2009	81.3	81.3	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	83.3	91.3		
	2011	95			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	81.3	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	94.5	99.2	100	100
Anticipated Year	2009	93.4	92.5	94.2	
of Graduation	2010	88.5	94.4		
	2011	93.9			
	Aggregated	92.6	95.5	97.3	100

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	100	100	100	100
Anticipated Year	2009	81.3	81.3	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	83.3	91.3		
	2011	95			
	Aggregated	89.6	91.1	93.8	100

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	81.3	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	83.7	97	100	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	77.8	85.7	94.4	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

	, 00				
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 1530 - 0640