District: JEFFERSON COUNTY R-1 - 1420 (3 Year¹)

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(11.3 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	64.3%	(22.5 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	66.7%	(10.0 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	71.9%	(25.2 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		69.0%	(69.0 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stud	dents Tested			Participo	tion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	97.3%	97.3%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	2206	2206	-	-	2268	2268
Mathematics	-	-	97.7%	97.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	2218	2218	-	-	2271	2271
Writing	-	-	97.1%	97.1%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	2202	2202	-	-	2268	2268
Science	-	-	96.2%	96.2%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1080	1080	-	-	1123	1123
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.2%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	1022	-	-	-	1030	-





Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
School: STANDLEY LAKE HIGH SCHOO)L						District: JEFFERSON COUNTY	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	2155	73.92	55	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	2165	45.17	78	
Writing	3	4	1	Meets	2153	56.67	64	1
Science	3	4		Meets	1056	54.83	59	1
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	2061	49	14	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	2069	52	72	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	2058	56	45	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1	2		Approaching	74	54	66	No
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	14	20	70%	Meets		Growth Fercentile	Growth Fercenthe	Growth:
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	70%		444	40	26	Voc
	<u>3</u> 3	4		Meets	444 645		19	Yes
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	177			Yes
	4	4		Approaching	177	60		No
English Learners	2	4		Exceeds	502		22 75	Yes
Students needing to catch up	12		C00/	Approaching	502	45	/5	No
Mathematics		20	60%	Approaching	454			NI -
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	451	51	90	No No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	647	52	81	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	175	52	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	179	56	79	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4	700/	Meets	865	56	99	No
Writing	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	444	54	64	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	645	59	53	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	177	49	99	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	177	60	54	Yes
Students needing to catch up Total	3 40	4 60	66.7%	Meets Meets	844	55	90	No
						N	Rate/Score	Evention
	Points Earned 3	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	4.	N 488/1102/ 751 /362	,	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2.5	4	62.5%	Meets	12	+00/11U2/ /31 /302	84.3/88.3/ 88.7 /86.7%	80%
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1	02.5%	Meets		302/216/ 146 /61	69.5/76.4/ 78.8 /77%	80%
Minority Students	0.75	<u>1</u> 1		Approaching Meets		372/ 253 /165/77	80.4/ 84.6 /83.6/79.2%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.75	<u>1</u> 1				121/82/67/ 40		80%
	0.75			Meets			74.4/84.1/86.6/ 87.5 % 71.7/ 77.8 /77.3/-%	
English Learners Dropout Rate	3	1 4		Approaching Meets		46/ 36 /22/N<16 5325	1.2%	80% 3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	<u>3</u> 3	4		Meets		1022	21	20.1
·			71 00/			1022	21	20.1
Total	11.5	16	71.9%	Meets				

Scoring Guide Level: H

formance Indicat	orScorina Guide				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	elFramework Poi
<i>,</i>	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advar	nced was:							
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-:				Exceeds	Ι	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile		seline).		Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	· · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	/	Approaching		2	content area)	
7.cmevement	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba.)				oes Not Meet		1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percent		h percentile was:		oco mocimico.	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.		<i>F</i>		Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			A	Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			De	oes Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grow	yth percentile and its median studen	nt arowth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA	English	
Crown	• at or above 70.	ter percentile and its incuran stade.	it growin percentile wasi	Т	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			4	Approaching	2	1	_ proneiency)	
	• below 40.				oes Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student q	rowth nercentile and its median stu	dent arowth nercentile was:		oes woe wice		0.5		
	• at or above 60.	Towar percentine and its incuran sea	acine grower percentine wasi		Exceeds	Ī	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets		3	1	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			1	Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.				oes Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate si	tudent arowth nercentile and its me	dian student arowth nercent		oco mocimico.	1	_	subgroups in 3	15
Growth Gaps	• at or above 70.	tadent growth percentile and its me	aidii stadent growth percent	inc was.	Exceeds	l I	4	subject areas)	15
	below 70 but at or above 55.			-	Meets		3	3dbjeet areas)	
	below 55 but at or above 40. below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching		2	1	
	• below 40.				oes Not Meet		1	1	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate/d	isaaareaated araduation		oes (voe (vice)	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.	The school's graduation rate, as	suggregated graduation	Tate was.	Exceeds	4	1	-	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3	0.75	-	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.				Approaching	2	0.73	-	
	• below 65%.				oes Not Meet	1	0.25	-	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:			יט	des Not Meet		0.23	16	
	·				E	ı	4		25
ostsecondary and		01 11 1			Exceeds		•	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readines	i i i				Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-	10 baseline).			Approaching		2	_	
	• above 10%.			Do	oes Not Meet	L	1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average C	Colorado ACT composite score w	ras:						
	• at or above 22.				Exceeds		4	4	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10)				Meets		3	4	
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10)) baseline).			Approaching		2	4	
	• below 17.			De	oes Not Meet		1		
-Points for each p	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	ype assignn	nent				
Cu	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	nis Indicator.		Cut Point:	The school	earned	of the t	otal Framework points eligib	e.
	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or abo					Performance
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework		ove 47% - belo	ow 60%			Improvement
· -	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points		ove 33% - belo			p	riority Improveme
_	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	7 0	• below 33					Turnaround
	DCIOW 37.370	DOCS NOT WICEL		20.0.7 30					-uuuu

Cut-Points for each	n performan	ce indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	ype assignment					
	Cut Point: Th	ne school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.		Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	at or abov	e 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance				
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%		Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement				
	 at or abov 	e 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement				
	• below 37.5	5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround				
School plan type a	ssignments									
		Plan description								
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Perf	ormance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of						
Improvement Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years befo	re the District or Institute is required to restructure or clos	e the school. The five				
Priority Improvem	ent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Prio	rity Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the						
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Turi	naround Plan.	school is notified that it is r	equired to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnarou	nd Plan.				

3

SPF 2012 - 1420 - 8209

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00	
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 1420 - 8209

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	84.1	86.7	86.7	86.7
Anticipated Year	2009	84.5	89.3	90.5	
of Graduation	2010	82.1	88.8		
	2011	86.4			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	72.6	77	77	77
Anticipated Year	2009	69.3	77	80	
of Graduation	2010	66.2	75		
	2011	70.5			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	75.6	79.2	79.2	79.2
Anticipated Year	2009	81.3	87.5	87.5	
of Graduation	2010	75.8	86.4		
	2011	87			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	86.8	87.2	87.5	87.5
Anticipated Year	2009	62.1	82.1	85.2	
of Graduation	2010	48	N<16		
	2011	93.1			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	81.3	81.3	81.3	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	84.1	86.7	86.7	86.7
Anticipated Year	2009	84.5	89.3	90.5	
of Graduation	2010	82.1	88.8		
	2011	86.4			
	Aggregated	84.3	88.3	88.7	86.7

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	72.6	77	77	77
Anticipated Year	2009	69.3	77	80	
of Graduation	2010	66.2	75		
	2011	70.5			
	Aggregated	69.5	76.4	78.8	77

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	75.6	79.2	79.2	79.2
Anticipated Year	2009	81.3	87.5	87.5	
of Graduation	2010	75.8	86.4		
	2011	87			
	Aggregated	80.4	84.6	83.6	79.2

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	86.8	87.2	87.5	87.5
Anticipated Year	2009	62.1	82.1	85.2	
of Graduation	2010	48	N<16		
	2011	93.1			
	Aggregated	74.4	84.1	86.6	87.5

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	81.3	81.3	81.3	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	71.7	77.8	77.3	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 1420 - 8209