School: ARVADA HIGH SCHOOL - 0370 District: JEFFERSON COUNTY R-1 - 1420 (3 Year¹)

Improvement

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	43.8%	(6.6 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	57.1%	(20.0 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	51.7%	(7.8 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	54.7%	(19.1 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		53.5%	(53.5 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Ra	tes															
% of Students Tested Particip						articipation Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	96.5%	96.5%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1567	1567	-	-	1623	1623
Mathematics	-	-	97.0%	97.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1579	1579	-	-	1628	1628
Writing	-	-	96.2%	96.2%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1563	1563	-	-	1624	1624
Science	-	-	94.8%	94.8%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	762	762	-	-	804	804
Colorado ACT	-	-	93.8%	-	-	-	Does Not Meet	-	-	-	683	-	-	-	728	-





Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
School: ARVADA HIGH SCHOOL						[District: JEFFERSON COUNTY I	R-1 - 1420 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	1450	52	13	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1460	22.05	29	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1448	35.29	22	
Science	2	4		Approaching	706	32.86	20	
Total	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	1355	45	35	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1375	54	98	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1355	51	79	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1	2		Approaching	217	54	66	No
	8	14	57.1%		217	34		110
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	804	44	50	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	612	45	49	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	174	36	96	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	268	48	68	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	621	41	81	No
Mathematics	12	20	60%	Approaching	<u></u>	·	-	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	0070	Approaching	821	50	99	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	621	55	99	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	175	44	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	271	58	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	945	52	99	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching	545		33	110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	3070	Approaching	805	48	87	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	612	53	86	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	174		99	No
English Learners	2	4			268	53	95	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching Approaching	857		95	No
Total	31	60	51.7%	Approaching	637	40	95	NO
Postance dam, and Montrees Postinger	Dainta Farmand	Deinte Flimible				A/	Data /Saava	Francostantia a
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N 272/4044/670/250	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1.75	4	42 00/	Approaching	12	272/ 1011 /670/359	76.3/ 79.7 /79.1/79.1%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.75	4	43.8%	Approaching		74/522/225/460	72.4/77.4/76.6/76.004	000/
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching		574/ 522 /325/160	73.4/ 77.4 /76.6/76.9%	80%
Minority Students	0.5	1		Approaching		509/386/ 234 /120	73.5/76.7/ 76.9 /74.2%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		161/ 128 /87/44	56.5/ 60.2 /59.8/54.5%	80%
English Learners	0.5	1		Approaching		109/ 85 /56/30	64.2/ 74.1 /73.2/73.3%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		4473	2.6%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		683	18.2	20.1
Total	8.75	16	54.7%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide Level: H

rformance Indicate	orScoring Guide				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Lev	el Framework Poin
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advar	nced was:						·	
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-:				Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile.	tile of all schools (using 2009-10 bas	eline).		Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile.	tile of all schools (using 2009-10 bas	eline).		Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 bar	seline).		D	oes Not Meet		1	1	
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perce	entile and its median student growth	percentile was:	•		TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			D	oes Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grow	th percentile and its median studen	t growth percentile was:	•		TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.			D	oes Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median stud	lent growth percentile was:						
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds		4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets		3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			D	oes Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate si	udent growth percentile and its med	lian student growth percent	tile was:				subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching		2		
	• below 40.			D	oes Not Meet		1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate/dis	saggregated graduation	rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.				Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.				Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			D	oes Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:							16	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.				Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readines	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-1	O baseline).			Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-	10 baseline).			Approaching		2	1	
	• above 10%.			D	oes Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average C	olorado ACT composite score w	as:	<u>'</u>					
	• at or above 22.	•		1	Exceeds		4	1	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10)	baseline).			Meets		3	7	
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10)	baseline).			Approaching		2		
	• below 17.	·		D	oes Not Meet		1		
t-Points for each r	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	vne assignr	ment				
	It Point: The school earned of the points eligible on the	nis Indicator	cut-roints for plainty			arnod	of the t	otal Framework points eligib	lo.
	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or ab		Janneu	. or the ti	otal Framework points eligib	Performance
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework		ove 47% - belo	ow 60%			Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 67.5%	Approaching	Points		ove 33% - belo				Priority Improvemen
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 3					Turnaround

Cut-Points for eac	h performan	ce indicator		Cut-Points for plan type assignment					
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	at or abov	re 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance			
Growth; Gaps	at or abov	re 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching				• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.	5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround			
School plan type a	ssignments								
		Plan description							
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Peri	formance Plan.	A school may not implemen	nt a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longe	er than a combined total of			
Improvement Plan	1	The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years befo	re the District or Institute is required to restructure or close	the school. The five			
Priority Improvem	prity Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the								
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Turi	naround Plan.	school is notified that it is r	equired to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaroun	nd Plan.			

3

SPF 2012 - 1420 - 0370

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00	
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 1420 - 0370

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

<u>This School's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	73.4	77.8	78.9	79.1
Anticipated Year	2009	74.1	77.4	79.4	
of Graduation	2010	81.5	84		
	2011	76.6			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	69.1	74.7	76.9	76.9
Anticipated Year	2009	70	74.6	76.4	
of Graduation	2010	79.9	82.2		
	2011	73.6			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	65	73.3	74.2	74.2
Anticipated Year	2009	73.9	77.1	79.8	
of Graduation	2010	77.3	79.1		
	2011	76.9			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	44.2	52.4	54.5	54.5
Anticipated Year	2009	58.7	62.2	65.1	
of Graduation	2010	59.1	65.9		
	2011	67.9			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	54.8	70	73.3	73.3
Anticipated Year	2009	56.7	67.9	73.1	
of Graduation	2010	85.2	85.2		
	2011	61.9			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	73.4	77.8	78.9	79.1
Anticipated Year	2009	74.1	77.4	79.4	
of Graduation	2010	81.5	84		
	2011	76.6			
	Aggregated	76.3	79.7	79.1	79.1

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	69.1	74.7	76.9	76.9
Anticipated Year	2009	70	74.6	76.4	
of Graduation	2010	79.9	82.2		
	2011	73.6			
	Aggregated	73.4	77.4	76.6	76.9

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	65	73.3	74.2	74.2
Anticipated Year	2009	73.9	77.1	79.8	
of Graduation	2010	77.3	79.1		
	2011	76.9			
	Aggregated	73.5	76.7	76.9	74.2

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	44.2	52.4	54.5	54.5
Anticipated Year	2009	58.7	62.2	65.1	
of Graduation	2010	59.1	65.9		
	2011	67.9			
	Aggregated	56.5	60.2	59.8	54.5

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	54.8	70	73.3	73.3
Anticipated Year	2009	56.7	67.9	73.1	
of Graduation	2010	85.2	85.2		
	2011	61.9			
	Aggregated	64.2	74.1	73.2	73.3

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 1420 - 0370