School: ALAMEDA HIGH SCHOOL - 0108 District: JEFFERSON COUNTY R-1 - 1420 (3 Year')

Improvement

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	50.0%	(17.5 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	48.3%	(7.2 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	48.4%	(16.9 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		45.4%	(45.4 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
	% of Students Tested					Participo	ation Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	97.1%	97.1%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1191	1191	-	-	1226	1226
Mathematics	-	-	98.4%	98.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1206	1206	-	-	1226	1226
Writing	-	-	97.0%	97.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1189	1189	-	-	1226	1226
Science	-	-	97.7%	97.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	589	589	-	-	603	603
Colorado ACT	-	-	97.9%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	460	-	-	-	470	-





						Lev	el: High School
					Di	strict: JEFFERSON COUNTY R	R-1 - 1420 (3 Year)
Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
1	4		Does Not Meet	1089	45.45	8	
1	4		Does Not Meet	1102	13.25	14	
1	4		Does Not Meet	1089	22.13	8	
1	4		Does Not Meet	541	19.96	7	
4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
						Median Adeauate Growth	Made Adequate
Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
2	4		Approaching	1007	46	52	No
2	4		Approaching			99	No
2	4					87	No
1	2	1				69	No
		50%					
			7.lpp.ou.o8				
							Made Adequate
Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
10	20	50%	Approaching				
2	4		Approaching	749	47	59	No
2	4		Approaching	810	46	59	No
2	4		Approaching	85	49	94	No
2	4		Approaching	471	48	65	No
2	4		Approaching	543	46	79	No
9	20	45%	Approaching				
2	4		Approaching	759	42	99	No
2	4		Approaching	823	40	99	No
1	4		Does Not Meet	89	39	99	No
2	4		Approaching	479	40	99	No
2	4		Approaching	805	41	99	No
10	20	50%	Approaching				
2	4		Approaching	745	42	90	No
2	4		Approaching	806	43	89	No
2	4		Approaching	86	50	99	No
2	4		Approaching	468	44	91	No
2	4		Approaching	737	45	94	No
29	60	48.3%	Approaching				
Points Earned	Points Fliaible	% Points	Ratina		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		-		•	80%
		43.8%			.55, .56, 556, 175	00.11,7.01,171,1.070	3370
		.5.070			53/ 306 /202/101	67.8/ 75.2 /74.3/71.3%	80%
							80%
							80%
							80%
							3.9%
		1					20.1
•	-						-0.1
	1 1 1 1 1 4 Points Earned 2 2 2 1 7 Points Earned 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 4 1 4 1 4 4 16 Points Eligible 2 4	1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 4 16 25% Points Earned Points Eligible % Points 2 4 2 2 4 50% Points Earned Points Eligible % Points 10 20 50% 2 4 2 2 4 2 2 4 2 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 <t< td=""><td>1 4 Does Not Meet 1 6 Does Not Meet 1 7 Does Not Meet 1 7 Does Not Meet 1 8 Approaching 2 4 Approaching 2 4 Approaching 3 Approaching 4 Approaching 5 Approaching 5 Approaching 6 Approaching 7 Approaching 7 Approaching 8 Approaching 9 Approaching 9 Approaching 1 Approaching 1 Approaching 1 Approaching 1 Approaching 2 Approaching 3 Approaching 4 Approaching 4 Approaching 5 Approaching 6 Approaching 6 Approaching 6 Approaching 6 Approaching 7 Does Not Meet 6 Does Not Meet 7 Approaching 8 Approaching 9 Does Not Meet 9 Approaching 9 Does Not Meet 9 Approaching 9 Does Not Meet 9 Approaching 9 Approaching</td><td>1 4 Does Not Meet 1102 1 4 Does Not Meet 1102 1 4 Does Not Meet 541 1 4 Does Not Meet 541 4 16 25% Does Not Meet Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N 2 4 Approaching 1007 2 4 Approaching 1002 1 2 Approaching 340 7 14 50% Approaching 340 10 20 50% Approaching 340 10 20 50% Approaching 340 10 20 50% Approaching 340 2 4 Approaching 749 2 4 Approaching 749 2 4 Approaching 749 2 4 Approaching 85 2 4 Approaching</td><td> Points Earned</td><td> Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Roting N</td></t<>	1 4 Does Not Meet 1 6 Does Not Meet 1 7 Does Not Meet 1 7 Does Not Meet 1 8 Approaching 2 4 Approaching 2 4 Approaching 3 Approaching 4 Approaching 5 Approaching 5 Approaching 6 Approaching 7 Approaching 7 Approaching 8 Approaching 9 Approaching 9 Approaching 1 Approaching 1 Approaching 1 Approaching 1 Approaching 2 Approaching 3 Approaching 4 Approaching 4 Approaching 5 Approaching 6 Approaching 6 Approaching 6 Approaching 6 Approaching 7 Does Not Meet 6 Does Not Meet 7 Approaching 8 Approaching 9 Does Not Meet 9 Approaching 9 Does Not Meet 9 Approaching 9 Does Not Meet 9 Approaching	1 4 Does Not Meet 1102 1 4 Does Not Meet 1102 1 4 Does Not Meet 541 1 4 Does Not Meet 541 4 16 25% Does Not Meet Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N 2 4 Approaching 1007 2 4 Approaching 1002 1 2 Approaching 340 7 14 50% Approaching 340 10 20 50% Approaching 340 10 20 50% Approaching 340 10 20 50% Approaching 340 2 4 Approaching 749 2 4 Approaching 749 2 4 Approaching 749 2 4 Approaching 85 2 4 Approaching	Points Earned	Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Roting N

Scoring Guide Level: H

rformance Indicato				Rating		t Value	Total Possible per EMH Level		
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or adve	anced was:			-		·		
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009)			Exceeds	T	4	16		
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile		paseline).	Meets	1	3	(4 for each	15	
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	entile of all schools (using 2009-10 b	paseline).	Approaching		2	content area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 b)	aseline).	,	Does Not Mee	et	1	1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth per		rth percentile was:		TCAP	CELA			
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	4	2	14		
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each		
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area		
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.5	and 2 for	35	
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student gro	wth percentile and its median stude	ent growth percentile was:		TCAP	CELA	English		
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	4	2	language		
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)		
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1	1		
	• below 40.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.5			
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student	growth percentile and its median st	udent growth percentile was:						
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds		4			
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60		
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Mee	et	1	(4 for each of 5		
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate	student growth percentile and its m	nedian student growth percent	ile was:			subgroups in 3	15	
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds		4	subject areas)		
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3			
	• below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2			
	• below 40.			Does Not Mee	et	1			
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate	: The school's graduation rate/	disaggregated graduation	rate was:	Overall	Disaggr.			
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1			
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75			
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5			
	• below 65%.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.25			
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						16		
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35	
orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-	10 baseline).		Meets		3	indicator)		
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009)	-10 baseline).		Approaching		2			
	• above 10%.			Does Not Mee	et	1			
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average	Colorado ACT composite score	was:	<u>.</u>					
	• at or above 22.	•		Exceeds	I	4	1		
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-1	LO baseline).		Meets		3	1		
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-1)	LO baseline).		Approaching		2			
	• below 17.			Does Not Mee	et	1			
Points for each no	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan t	no accionment					
_		this Indicator	cut-rollits for plant		carned	of the t	atal Framawark points aligible		
	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on that or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	earneu	. or the to	otal Framework points eligible	Performance	
			Total Framowerk		low 60%				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - be			De	Improvement	
•	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - be • below 33%	IUW 4/70		Pr	iority Improveme	

Growth; Gaps	· ·		Meets	Total Framework	 at or above 47% - below 60% 	Improvement			
	• at or abo	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching Points		• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37	.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround			
School plan type a	ssignments								
		Plan description							
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Per	formance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of					
Improvement Plan	1	The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years befo	re the District or Institute is required to restructure or	close the school. The five			
Priority Improvem	ent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Price	rity Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which					
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Tur	naround Plan.	school is notified that it is re	equired to implement a Priority Improvement or Turna	around Plan.			
		•							

3

SPF 2012 - 1420 - 0108

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading Math			Writing			Science					
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00	
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 1420 - 0108

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	64.1	70	70.9	71.5
Anticipated Year	2009	64.4	73.8	77.4	
of Graduation	2010	70.6	81.6		
	2011	74.2			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	61.9	69.6	70.6	71.3
Anticipated Year	2009	62.5	74.3	78	
of Graduation	2010	71.2	81.6		
	2011	75.2			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	57.7	65.2	66.1	66.7
Anticipated Year	2009	56.7	68.9	73	
of Graduation	2010	71.1	82.9		
	2011	73.8			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	36.4	48.3	50	51.7
Anticipated Year	2009	45	58.8	70.6	
of Graduation	2010	72.7	84.2		
	2011	52.6			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	67.9	73.1	76.9	76.9
Anticipated Year	2009	60	76	80.8	
of Graduation	2010	59.5	75.7		
	2011	69.7			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	64.1	70	70.9	71.5
Anticipated Year	2009	64.4	73.8	77.4	
of Graduation	2010	70.6	81.6		
	2011	74.2			
	Aggregated	68.1	74.9	74	71.5

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	61.9	69.6	70.6	71.3
Anticipated Year	2009	62.5	74.3	78	
of Graduation	2010	71.2	81.6		
	2011	75.2			
	Aggregated	67.8	75.2	74.3	71.3

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	57.7	65.2	66.1	66.7
Anticipated Year	2009	56.7	68.9	73	
of Graduation	2010	71.1	82.9		
	2011	73.8			
	Aggregated	65	72.3	69.2	66.7

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	36.4	48.3	50	51.7
Anticipated Year	2009	45	58.8	70.6	
of Graduation	2010	72.7	84.2		
	2011	52.6			
	Aggregated	50	61.5	57.8	51.7

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	67.9	73.1	76.9	76.9
Anticipated Year	2009	60	76	80.8	
of Graduation	2010	59.5	75.7		
	2011	69.7			
	Aggregated	63.9	75	78.8	76.9

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 1420 - 0108