School Performance Framework 2012

School: MIAMI/YODER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 5850

Improvement

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Ie
Performance	at or above 59%	
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	тс
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	
Turnaround	below 37%	² Sc

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	62.5%	(15.6 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Does Not Meet	33.3%	(16.7 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	I
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

TOTAL	43.1%	(32.3 out of 75 points)							
² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.									

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1)

meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple

levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Improving

Academic

Achievement

SCHOOLVIew.org

Test Participation Rates

	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating					Studen	ts Tested		Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	98.0%	-	-	98.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	49	-	-	49	50	-	-	50
Mathematics	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	50	-	-	50	50	-	-	50
Writing	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	50	-	-	50	50	-	-	50
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	23	-	-	23	23	-	-	23
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Level: E

District: MIAMI/YODER 60 JT - 1130 (1 Year¹)

Performance Indicators								ementary Schoo
School: MIAMI/YODER ELEMENT	ARY SCHOOL						District: MIAMI/YODER 6	0 JT - 1130 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	37	59.46	26	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	39	71.79	51	
Writing	3	4		Meets	38	60.53	62	
Science	2	4		Approaching	19	47.37	49	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	1	4	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Does Not Meet	25	21	30	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	27	34	58	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	26	40	44	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	_	-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		_	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				

coring Guide										Level:		
coring Guide for	Performanc	e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report									
erformance Indi	cator Scoring	ı Guide				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	el Framework Poir		
-	The scho	ool's percentage of students scoring proficient or ad	vanced was:			. –			- -			
	• at o	r above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200	9-10 baseline).			Exceeds		4	16			
Academic	• belo	w the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th perc	centile of all schools (using 2009-1	10 baseline).		Meets	3		(4 for each	25		
Achievemen	t • belo	w the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	centile of all schools (using 2009-1	10 baseline).		Approaching		2	content area)			
	• belo	w the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10	baseline).	Does Not Meet		1						
	If the sci	hool meets the median adequate student growth pe	rcentile and its median student g		TCAP	CELA						
	• at o	r above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14			
	• belo	w 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each			
	• belo	w 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area			
Academic	• belo	w 30.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50		
Growth	If the sci	hool does not meet the median adequate student gr	rowth percentile and its median st	tudent growth percentile w	vas:		TCAP	CELA	English			
	• at o	r above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language			
	• belo	w 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)					
	• belo	w 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2	1				
	• belo	w 40.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5				
	If the stu	Ident subgroup meets the median adequate studen										
	• at o	r above 60.		Exceeds		4						
	• belo	w 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3							
	• belo	w 45 but at or above 30.		Approaching				2	60			
Academic	• belo	w 30.			Does Not Meet 1				(4 for each of 5			
Growth Gaps	s If the stu	ident subgroup does not meet the median adequate	e student growth percentile and it	s median student growth p	percentile was				subgroups in 3			
	• at o	r above 70.			Exceeds 4		4	subject areas)				
	• belo	w 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3					
	• belo	w 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2					
	• belo	w 40.				Does Not Meet		1				
-Points for eac	ch performa	nce indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assign	ment						
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: 1	he school ea	rned	of the	total Framework points elig	ible.		
chievement;	 at or above 	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		at or abo	ve 59%				Performance		
rowth; Gaps	• at or abov	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	at or abo	ve 47% - below	59%			Improvement		
	 at or above 	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	at or abo	ve 37% - below	47%			Priority Improveme		
below 37.5% Does Not Meet					below 37	%				Turnaround		
ool plan type	assignments											
		Plan description										
formance Plar	1	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	erformance Plan.	A school may not implem	nent a Priority	Improvement a	and/or T	urnarou	nd Plan for longer than a combir	ed total of		
provement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an I	mprovement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five								
ority Improver	nent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pr	iority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years	commences of	on July 1 during	the sum	nmer im	mediately following the fall in wh	ich the		
			consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the									

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math			Writing					
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Pere	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008-	-10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading		Math			Writing					
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.