

Performance

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²		
Academic Achievement	Meets	68.8%	(17.2 out of 25 points)	<div style="width: 68.8%; height: 10px; background-color: black;"></div>
Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	(33.4 out of 50 points)	<div style="width: 66.7%; height: 10px; background-color: black;"></div>
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	61.5%	(15.4 out of 25 points)	<div style="width: 61.5%; height: 10px; background-color: black;"></div>
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		66.0%	(66.0 out of 100 points)	<div style="width: 66.0%; height: 10px; background-color: black;"></div>

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.1%	98.8%	-	98.9%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	662	553	-	1215	668	560	-	1228
Mathematics	99.3%	99.1%	-	99.2%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	663	555	-	1218	668	560	-	1228
Writing	98.8%	98.8%	-	98.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	660	553	-	1213	668	560	-	1228
Science	98.6%	98.6%	-	98.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	218	142	-	360	221	144	-	365
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹ Data in this report is based on results from: 2009-10,2010-11,2011-12

Performance Indicators

Level: Elementary School

School: ROCKY MOUNTAIN CLASSICAL ACADEMY

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	636	76.73	62
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	635	79.53	72
Writing	2	4		Approaching	633	53.87	47
Science	3	4		Meets	211	47.39	52
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	359	40	27	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	359	49	42	Yes
Writing	2	4		Approaching	357	39	38	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	43	38	29	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	120	42	30	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	33	36	71	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	79	40	58	No
Mathematics	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	43	51	58	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	120	47	47	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	33	46	69	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	68	49	71	No
Writing	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	43	21	49	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	119	40	40	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	33	45	81	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	159	35	59	No
Total	22	48	45.8%	Approaching				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Performance Indicators

Level: Middle School

School: ROCKY MOUNTAIN CLASSICAL ACADEMY

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	548	79.01	72
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	550	59.82	69
Writing	3	4		Meets	548	70.26	78
Science	2	4		Approaching	140	43.57	39
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	499	55	26	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	501	52	63	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	496	64	40	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	54	63	33	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	158	56	31	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	44	50	73	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	119	62	62	Yes
Mathematics	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	54	64	78	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	158	47	68	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	46	50	94	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	172	55	86	No
Writing	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	53	71	52	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	158	68	48	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	46	59	84	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	162	59	75	No
Total	37	48	77.1%	Meets				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Points		
Academic Achievement	<i>The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:</i>						
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds	4	16 (4 for each content area)	25		
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets	3				
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching	2				
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet	1				
Academic Growth	<i>If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:</i>			TCAP	CELA	14 (4 for each content area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	2	1		
	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	1	0.5		
	<i>If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:</i>			TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	4	2		
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	3	1.5		
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	2	1		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	<i>If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:</i>					60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4				
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3				
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2				
	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1				
	<i>If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:</i>						
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4				
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3				
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2				
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1				

Cut-Points for each performance indicator			Cut-Points for plan type assignment		
	Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.			Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total Framework points eligible.	
Achievement; Growth; Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%	Performance
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching		• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround

School plan type assignments		
	Plan description	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELEPro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELEPro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.