Level: F

School: LIFE SKILLS CENTER OF COLORADO SPRINGS - 5146 District: COLORADO SPRINGS 11 - 1010 (1 Year')

## AEC: Improvement (See Alternative Education Campus SPF) (Revised)

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	helow 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Rating/Plan	% of Points L	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
-	-	( 0.0 out of 0 points )	I
-	-	( 0.0 out of 0 points )	ı
-	-	( 0.0 out of 0 points )	ı
Does Not Meet	25.0%	( 8.8 out of 35 points )	
s Not Meet 95% Participation	Rate		
	Does Not Meet		- ( 0.0 out of 0 points )  - ( 0.0 out of 0 points )  - ( 0.0 out of 0 points )  Does Not Meet 25.0% ( 8.8 out of 35 points )

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

25.1%

( 8.8 out of 35 points )

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rat	tes															
% of Students Tested Participation Rating										Student	ts Tested			Total S	tudents	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	75.0%	75.0%	-	-	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	-	-	15	15	-	-	20	20
Mathematics	-	-	85.0%	85.0%	-	-	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	-	-	17	17	-	-	20	20
Writing	-	-	75.0%	75.0%	-	-	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	-	-	15	15	-	-	20	20
Science	-	-	72.2%	72.2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	13	-	-	18	18
Colorado ACT	-	-	71.4%	-	-	-	Does Not Meet	-	-	-	60	-	-	-	84	-





**TOTAL** 

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: High Scho
School: LIFE SKILLS CENTER OF COLOI	RADO SPRINGS					D	istrict: COLORADO SPRINGS	11 - 1010 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Writing	0	0		=	N<16	-	-	
Science	0	0		=	N<16	-	-	
<sup>-</sup> otal	0	0	%	-				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequat
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Writing	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	=	=	
Total	0	0	<u></u> %					
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	, , ,	-	N<20		-	
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	<del>-</del>	-	
Students with Disabilities	0	0			N<20		-	
English Learners	0	0			N<20			
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20			
Mathematics	0	0	<u></u> %		11120			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		<u>-</u>	N<20		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Minority Students	0	0			N<20			
Students with Disabilities	0	0			N<20			
English Learners	0	0			N<20			_
Students needing to catch up	0	0			N<20			
Writing	0	0	<u></u> %		11120			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	/6	<u> </u>	N<20		<u>-</u>	
Minority Students	0	0		<u> </u>	N<20	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	<del></del>
Students with Disabilities	0	0		<u>-</u>	N<20	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>	<del></del>
English Learners	0	0			N<20			
Students needing to catch up	0	0		<u> </u>	N<20	<u>-</u>	<del>-</del>	
Total	0	0		-	11\20	<del>-</del>	-	-
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4		Does Not Meet	1	89/194/ <b>236</b> /308	10.6/13.4/ <b>24.2</b> /15.3%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	0.75	3	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		133/ <b>101</b> /87/ <b>101</b>	10.5/14.9/17.2/ <b>19.8</b> %	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	1	22/123/ <b>133</b> /181	8.2/13.8/ <b>24.1</b> /15.5%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		29/23/42/ <b>40</b>	3.4/26.1/26.2/ <b>32.5</b> %	80%
English Learners	0	0		<del>-</del>	N<1	6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	1	4		Does Not Meet		796	12.3%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4	_	Does Not Meet		60	14.7	20.0
Total	3.75	15	25%	Does Not Meet				

Scoring Guide Level: H

erformance Indicat	or Scoring Guide				Rating	Doint	Value	Total Possible per EMH Le	vel Framework Doi
a jorniunce maicut	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advar	and was			Kuting	Politi	vuiue	TOTAL POSSIBLE PET EIVIN LE	veiriumework Po
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-1)				Exceeds	Г	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile.		aline)	- t	Meets		3	(4 for each	
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 50th percent     below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percent		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Approaching		2	content area)	
Acmevement	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 base)		sinie).		oes Not Meet		1	- Content area)	
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perce	·	nercentile was:	Di	des Not Meet	TCAP	CELA		_
	• at or above 60.	entile una les median stadent growth	percentile was.	Г	Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			4	Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.				oes Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grow	uth nercentile and its median student	arowth percentile was:		oes not meet	TCAP	CELA	English	
Growth	• at or above 70.	van percentile und its median stadent	growin percentile was.		Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			- t	Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2	1	_ proficiency)	
	• below 40.				oes Not Meet	1	0.5	1	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student gi	rowth percentile and its median stude	ent arowth percentile was:	,	oes (voe wieee		0.5		_
	• at or above 60.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	and grant and paragrams are a	T	Exceeds	Ι	4	1	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets		3	7	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			<i>A</i>	Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.				oes Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate st	tudent arowth percentile and its med	ian student arowth nercent			1	_	subgroups in 3	
Cross Caps	• at or above 70.	audent growth percentile and its mea	an stadent growen percent	1	Exceeds	I	4	subject areas)	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.			1	Meets		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.			4	Approaching		2	†	
	• below 40.				oes Not Meet		1	1	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate/dis	aggregated graduation			Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.				Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3	0.75	1	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Į.	Approaching	2	0.5	1	
	• below 65%.			Do	oes Not Meet	1	0.25	1	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:			•				16	
ostsecondary and					Exceeds	l	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readines		O baseline).			Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		4	Approaching		2	-	
	• above 10%.	,		Do	oes Not Meet		1	1	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average C	olorado ACT composite score wa	ıs:				_		
	• at or above 22.	ordinate in the composite score in a	<u>.                                    </u>	Т	Exceeds	I	4	1	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10)	) haseline)		1	Meets		3	†	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10	-		4	Approaching		2	†	
	• below 17.	,			oes Not Meet		1	7	
Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty						
		ais Indicator	Cut-Points for plan ty			oarnod	of the to	atal Framowork points alia	iblo
	It Point: The school earned of the points eligible on the at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or abo		earned	or the to	otal Framework points elig	Performance
	• at or above 87.5% • at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%		Total Framework		ove 60% ove 47% - belo	ων 60%			Improvement
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% • at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Meets	Points		ove 47% - belo ove 33% - belo				Priority Improvement
	at ut abuve 37.3% - beluw 02.3%	Approaching	ruiits	l saroranc	7 v C 33 /0 - DEIC	J V V + 7 / O			Thomas improvement

Cut-Points for each	n performan	ce indicator		Cut-Points for plan type assignment						
	Cut Point: Th	ne school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.		Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	at or abov	e 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance				
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% Meets		Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement					
	<ul> <li>at or abov</li> </ul>	e 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement				
	• below 37.5	5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround				
School plan type a	ssignments									
		Plan description								
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Perf	ormance Plan.	A school may not implemen	nt a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for long	er than a combined total of				
Improvement Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years befo	re the District or Institute is required to restructure or clos	e the school. The five				
<b>Priority Improvem</b>	ent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Prio	rity Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the						
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Turr	naround Plan.	school is notified that it is r	equired to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnarou	nd Plan.				

3

SPF 2012 - 1010 - 5146

### 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

## Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

#### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

#### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 1010 - 5146

#### **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

# This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	6.9	12.8	14.9	15.3
Anticipated Year	2009	13.8	19.4	24.2	
of Graduation	2010	7.3	13.4		
	2011	10.6			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	8.1	17.9	20.4	19.8
Anticipated Year	2009	7.4	13.9	17.2	
of Graduation	2010	6.3	14.9		
	2011	10.5			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	7.4	12.4	15.1	15.5
Anticipated Year	2009	12.3	19.3	24.1	
of Graduation	2010	7	13.8		
	2011	8.2			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	12.9	26.8	30.2	32.5
Anticipated Year	2009	16.7	22.5	26.2	
of Graduation	2010	7.7	26.1		
	2011	3.4			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

# This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	6.9	12.8	14.9	15.3
Anticipated Year	2009	13.8	19.4	24.2	
of Graduation	2010	7.3	13.4		
	2011	10.6			
	Aggregated	9.5	15.2	18.9	15.3

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	8.1	17.9	20.4	19.8
Anticipated Year	2009	7.4	13.9	17.2	
of Graduation	2010	6.3	14.9		
	2011	10.5			
	Aggregated	8.5	15.7	18.9	19.8

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	7.4	12.4	15.1	15.5
Anticipated Year	2009	12.3	19.3	24.1	
of Graduation	2010	7	13.8		
	2011	8.2			
	Aggregated	8.6	15	18.8	15.5

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	12.9	26.8	30.2	32.5
Anticipated Year	2009	16.7	22.5	26.2	
of Graduation	2010	7.7	26.1		
	2011	3.4			
	Aggregated	10.7	25	28.2	32.5

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
<b>Anticipated Year</b>	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	2.6	9.1	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 1010 - 5146