District: EAGLE COUNTY RE 50 - 0910 (3 Year1)

School: RED CANYON HIGH SCHOOL - 0205

AEC: Priority Improvement

AEC: Priority Improvement (See Alternative Education Campus SPF) (Revised)

Will enter Year 1* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Framework Points Earned
at or above 60%
at or above 47% - below 60%
at or above 33% - below 47%
below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

				•
Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(8.8 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Does Not Meet	33.3%	(5.0 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	34.4%	(12.0 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		29.6%	(29.6 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
% of Students Tested						Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	98.7%	98.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	74	74	-	-	75	75
Mathematics	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	75	75	-	-	75	75
Writing	-	-	98.7%	98.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	74	74	-	-	75	75
Science	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	50	50	-	-	50	50
Colorado ACT	-	-	96.6%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	84	-	-	-	87	-





^{*} on July 1, 2013

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: High Schoo
School: RED CANYON HIGH SCHOOL							District: EAGLE COUNTY RE	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	48	25	1	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	49	4.08	3	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	48	10.42	1	1
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	33	6.06	1	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	34	33	62	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	35	20	99	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	34	29	95	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	_
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	23	40	80	No
Mathematics	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	0	0	-	_	N<20	-	-	
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	30	22	99	No
Writing	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet	30		33	.,,
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>		
Minority Students	0	0			N<20			
Students with Disabilities	0	0		<u> </u>	N<20			
English Learners	0	0			N<20			
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	27	28	97	No
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet			3,	110
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	4		Approaching		191/149/ 104 /52	47.6/60.4/ 69.2 /67.3%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.5	4	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching		89/76/49/ 26	41.6/52.6/67.3/ 73.1 %	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		98/76/52/ 26	33.7/48.7/59.6/ 61.5 %	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching	1	.8/ 16 /N<16/N<16	50/ 68.8 /-/-%	80%
English Learners	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		49/41/ 29 /N<16	26.5/43.9/ 55.2 /-%	80%
Dropout Rate	1	4		Does Not Meet		462	13.4%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4		Does Not Meet		84	15.2	20.1

Scoring Guide Level: H

rformance Indicato	rScorina Guide			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Lev	el Framework Poi
jermanee mareate	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advan	nced was:		110.0119	1		Total resolute per situit ses	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-1			Exceeds	T	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percent	,	ine).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percen		•	Approaching		2	content area)	1
Acinevement	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 base)		inc).	Does Not Me	h	1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perce	•	ercentile was	DOES NOT ME	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.	mine and its median stadent growth pe	ercentile was.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Me	et 1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grow	th nercentile and its median student a	rowth nercentile was:	B des not me	TCAP	CELA	English	
Glowth	• at or above 70.	th percentile and its median stadent gi	TOWER PETCETTINE Was.	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40. below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1.5	- proficiency)	
	• below 40.			Does Not Me	et 1	0.5	-	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student gr	rowth percentile and its median studen	at arowth nercentile was:	DOCS NOT WIC	- 4 -	0.5		
	• at or above 60.	owen percentile una les median staden	it growin percentile was.	Exceeds	T	4	†	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3	†	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Me	ot .	1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate st	udent arouth percentile and its media	n student arowth nercent	<u> </u>	- 1	_	subgroups in 3	15
Growth Gups	• at or above 70.	adent growth percentile and its media	ii student growth percent	Exceeds	I	4	subject areas)	15
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3	_ subject areas,	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	+	2	1	
	• below 40.			Does Not Me	ot .	1	†	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate/disa	agregated graduation		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.	The school's graduation rate, alsag	ggregatea graduation	Exceeds	4	1	1	
	• at or above 90%.			Meets	3	0.75	-	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.75	-	
	• below 65%.			- ''		0.25	-	
	• DEIOW 0376.			Does Not Me	s+l 1		_	
	Dronout Pata: The school's dronout rate was:			Does Not Me	et 1	0.23	16	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:				et 1		16	25
-	• at or below 1%.	Dharalina)		Exceeds	et 1	4	(4 for each sub-	35
-	at or below 1%. at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Exceeds Meets	et 1	4 3	-	35
	at or below 1%. at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10). at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Exceeds Meets Approaching		4 3 2	(4 for each sub-	35
-	at or below 1%. at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10). at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10). above 10%.	10 baseline).		Exceeds Meets		4 3	(4 for each sub-	35
	at or below 1%. at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10). at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10). above 10%. Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado.	10 baseline).	:	Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me	et	4 3 2 1	(4 for each sub-	35
	at or below 1%. at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10). at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10). above 10%. Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado at or above 22.	10 baseline). olorado ACT composite score was:		Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me	et	4 3 2 1 4	(4 for each sub-	35
	at or below 1%. at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10) at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10) above 10%. Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado at or above 22. at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10)	10 baseline). olorado ACT composite score was: baseline).	:	Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me Exceeds Meets	et	4 3 2 1 4 3	(4 for each sub-	35
	at or below 1%. at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10) at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10) above 10%. Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado at or above 22. at or above 22. at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10) at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10)	10 baseline). olorado ACT composite score was: baseline).	:	Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me Exceeds Meets Approaching	et	4 3 2 1 4 3 2	(4 for each sub-	35
rkforce Readiness	at or below 1%. at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10) at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10) above 10%. Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado at or above 22. at or above 22. at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10) at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10) below 17.	10 baseline). olorado ACT composite score was: baseline).	:	Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me Exceeds Meets	et	4 3 2 1 4 3	(4 for each sub-	35
rkforce Readiness	at or below 1%. at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10) at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10) above 10%. Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado at or above 22. at or above 22. at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10) at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10)	10 baseline). olorado ACT composite score was: baseline).	Cut-Points for plan ty	Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me	et	4 3 2 1 4 3 2	(4 for each sub-	35
rkforce Readiness	at or below 1%. at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10) at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10) above 10%. Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado at or above 22. at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10) at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10) below 17. erformance indicator	10 baseline). olorado ACT composite score was: baseline). baseline).		Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me vype assignment	et	4 3 2 1 4 3 2 1	(4 for each sub- indicator)	
rkforce Readiness Points for each pe	at or below 1%. at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10) at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10) above 10%. Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado at or above 22. at or above 22. at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10) at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10) below 17.	10 baseline). olorado ACT composite score was: baseline). baseline).		Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me vype assignment	et	4 3 2 1 4 3 2 1	(4 for each sub-	
Points for each pe	at or below 1%. at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10) at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10) above 10%. Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado at or above 22. at or above 41% but below 22 (using 2009-10) at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10) below 17. erformance indicator t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on the at or above 87.5%	10 baseline). olorado ACT composite score was: baseline). baseline). sis Indicator. Exceeds	Cut-Points for plan ty	Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me Vpe assignment Cut Point: The schoo • at or above 60%	et earned	4 3 2 1 4 3 2 1	(4 for each sub- indicator)	ole. Performance
Cut chievement; frowth; Gaps	at or below 1%. at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10) at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10) above 10%. Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado at or above 22. at or above 22. at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10) below 17. erformance indicator t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on the	10 baseline). olorado ACT composite score was: baseline). baseline).		Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Me vpe assignment Cut Point: The schoo	et et et learned	4 3 2 1 4 3 2 1	(4 for each sub- indicator)	ole.

	Cut Point. II	ne school earned of the points eligible on th	iis iiiuicator.	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	at or abov	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance			
Growth; Gaps	at or abov	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
	at or abov	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.	5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround			
School plan type a	ssignments								
		Plan description							
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Per	formance Plan.	A school may not implemen	nt a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for Ion	nger than a combined total of			
Improvement Plan	า	The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years befo	re the District or Institute is required to restructure or clo	ose the school. The five			
Priority Improvem	iority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the								
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Tur	naround Plan.	school is notified that it is r	required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaro	ound Plan.			

3

SPF 2012 - 0910 - 0205

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

		Reading		Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 0910 - 0205

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	40.4	51	66	67.3
Anticipated Year	2009	58.5	70.4	72.2	
of Graduation	2010	48.8	58.7		
	2011	41.7			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	45	54.2	69.2	73.1
Anticipated Year	2009	52.4	58.3	65.2	
of Graduation	2010	34.8	46.4		
	2011	36			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	31.8	41.7	60	61.5
Anticipated Year	2009	44	57.7	59.3	
of Graduation	2010	27.3	46.2		
	2011	31			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

	4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
2009	N<16	N<16	50	
2010	N<16	N<16		
2011	N<16			
	2009 2010	2008 N<16 2009 N<16 2010 N<16	2008 N<16	2008 N<16

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	40.4	51	66	67.3
Anticipated Year	2009	58.5	70.4	72.2	
of Graduation	2010	48.8	58.7		
	2011	41.7			
	Aggregated	47.6	60.4	69.2	67.3

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	45	54.2	69.2	73.1
Anticipated Year	2009	52.4	58.3	65.2	
of Graduation	2010	34.8	46.4		
	2011	36			
	Aggregated	41.6	52.6	67.3	73.1

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	31.8	41.7	60	61.5
Anticipated Year	2009	44	57.7	59.3	
of Graduation	2010	27.3	46.2		
	2011	31			
	Aggregated	33.7	48.7	59.6	61.5

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	50	68.8	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	50	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	26.5	43.9	55.2	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 0910 - 0205