School: LEGEND HIGH SCHOOL - 4271 District: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 - 0900 (1 Year³)

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(11.3 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	58.3%	(20.4 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	56.3%	(8.4 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	87.5%	(30.6 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		70.7%	(70.7 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
	% of Students Tested							Participation Rating		Students Tested				Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	98.4%	98.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	923	923	-	-	938	938
Mathematics	-	-	98.9%	98.9%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	928	928	-	-	938	938
Writing	-	-	98.6%	98.6%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	925	925	-	-	938	938
Science	-	-	98.7%	98.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	456	456	-	-	462	462
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.9%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	441	-	-	-	446	-





					Le	vel: High Schoo
					District: DOUGLAS COUNTY R	E 1 - 0900 (1 Year
e % Points	% Point	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	·
		Meets	907	81.7	78	
1	1	Meets	910	40.33	67	
		Meets	907	65.82	84	
		Meets	447	61.52	72	
75%	75%	Meets				
,					Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
e % Points	% Point	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
727 011100	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Meets	860	48	11	Yes
		Does Not Meet	863	35	68	No
		Meets	860	54	35	Yes
	-	ivicets	N<20		-	- Tes
58.3%	FQ 20/	Annuashina	14<20		-	-
	56.3 %	Approaching				
			Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
e % Points	% Point	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
68.8%	68.8%	Meets				
		Meets	66	47	20	Yes
		Meets	164	49	15	Yes
		Approaching	60	44	80	No
		-	N<20	-	-	-
,		Meets	176	55	65	No
37.5%	37.5%	Approaching				
		Does Not Meet	67	38	79	No
		Approaching	167	42	81	No
,		Does Not Meet	59	29	99	No
		-	N<20	- -	- -	<u> </u>
		Approaching	376	42	99	No
62.5%	62.5%	Meets				
		Approaching	66	50	54	No
		Meets	164	55	45	Yes
		Approaching	60	53	98	No
		-	N<20	-	-	-
		Meets	263	56	85	No
56.3%	56.3%	Approaching				
e % Points	% Point	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
701010	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	- nating	N<1	.6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
%	%		14/1		11170	3070
		-	N<1	.6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
				.6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
				.6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
						80%
			1/<1			3.6%
	1					20.0
07 50/	07.50/			441	21.4	20.0
		87.5%	Exceeds Meets 87.5% Exceeds	Exceeds Meets	Exceeds 1450 Meets 441	Exceeds 1450 0.1% Meets 441 21.4

Scoring Guide Level: H

rformance Indicat	rformance Indicators on the School Performance Frame			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Le	vel Framework Po
ijorniance maicat	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or adva	acad was:		nutilly	FUIII	vuiue	TOTAL POSSIBLE PEL EIVIN LE	ven runiework PC
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-:			Exceeds	T	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile.	•	alina)	Meets	1	3	(4 for each	15
					-	2	-	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile		eime).	Approaching			content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba If the school mosts the modian adequate student arouth percentiles).	•	narcantila wası	Does Not Mee	TCAP	1 CELA		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perceive at or above 60.	entile and its median student growth	percentile was:	Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30.			Meets Approaching	2	1.5	╡ `	
A a a da uni a	• below 45 but at or above 50.				t 1	0.5	content area	35
Academic			.,,	Does Not Mee	_	_	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grov	rtn percentile and its median student	growth percentile was:	1	TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1	-	
	• below 40.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median stude	ent growth percentile was:	Funcada	Т	4	4	
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds		4	-	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3	-	
A d	• below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Mee	ц	1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate s	tudent growth percentile and its med	ian student growth percent		 		subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3	1	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2	4	
	• below 40.			Does Not Mee		1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate/dis	aggregated graduation		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1	_	
	at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.25	_	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						16	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readines	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-1	D baseline).		Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-	10 baseline).		Approaching		2	1	
	• above 10%.			Does Not Mee	t	1	1	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average C	olorado ACT composite score wa	ıs:				7	
	• at or above 22.	•		Exceeds	I	4		
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10)	baseline).		Meets		3	1	
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10)			Approaching		2	1	
	• below 17.	,		Does Not Mee	t	1	7	
Points for each r	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty					
		aic Indicator	Cut-Points for plant	· •	oarnod	of the te	stal Framowork points alig	iblo
	 It Point: The school earned of the points eligible on the at or above 87.5% 	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	carrieu	. or the to	otal Framework points elig	Performance
chievement		LACCEUS		at or above 0070				- CHOITIMANCE
		Moote	Total Framowork	• at or above 47% - bel	ow 60%			Improvement
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% • at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Meets Approaching	Total Framework Points	 at or above 47% - bel at or above 33% - bel 				Improvement Priority Improvement

Cut-Points for eac	h performan	ce indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	ype assignment				
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	• at or abov	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance			
Growth; Gaps	at or abov	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
	at or abov	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.	5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround			
School plan type a	ssignments								
		Plan description							
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Peri	formance Plan.	A school may not implemen	nt a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longe	r than a combined total of			
Improvement Plai	า	The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years befo	re the District or Institute is required to restructure or close	the school. The five			
Priority Improvem	iority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the								
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Turi	naround Plan.	school is notified that it is r	equired to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround	d Plan.			

3

SPF 2012 - 0900 - 4271

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 0900 - 4271

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 0900 - 4271