School Performance Framework 2012

School: CHERRY VALLEY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 1578

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Test Participation ³
Performance	at or above 59%	
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	TOTAL
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	
Turnaround	below 37%	² Schools may not be eli

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²									
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)								
Academic Growth	Meets	83.3%	(41.7 out of 50 points)								
Academic Growth Gaps	-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	I							
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									

TOTAL 80.7% (60.5 out of 75 points) ²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

mproving

Academic

Achievement

SCHOOLVIew.org

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating			Students Tested					Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	31	-	-	31	31	-	-	31
Mathematics	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	31	-	-	31	31	-	-	31
Writing	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	31	-	-	31	31	-	-	31
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	5	5	-	-	5
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Meets 95% Participation Rate

District: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 - 0900 (1 Year¹)

Level: E

Performance Indicators Level: Elementary School										
School: CHERRY VALLEY ELEMEN	TARY SCHOOL						District: DOUGLAS COUNTY I			
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	<u>, , ,</u>		
Reading	3	4		Meets	30	83.33	78			
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	30	83.33	78			
Writing	3	4		Meets	30	73.33	86			
Science	0	0		-	N<16		_			
Total	9	12	75%	Meets						
								Made Adequate		
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?		
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	21	64	28	Yes		
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	21	53	47	Yes		
Writing	3	4		Meets	21	53	39	Yes		
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)				-						
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets						
		,			Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate		
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?		
Reading	0	0	%	-						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-				
Minority Students	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	-		
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	- -	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	- -	-	-		
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-		
Mathematics	0	0	%	-						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	- -	- -	-		
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-		
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-		
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-		
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-		
Writing	0	0	%	-						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20		_	-		
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-		
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-		
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-		
Total	0	0	%	-						

2

coring Guide										Level:		
coring Guide for	Performanc	e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report									
erformance Indi	cator Scoring	ı Guide				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	el Framework Poir		
-	The scho	ool's percentage of students scoring proficient or ad	vanced was:			. –			- -			
	• at o	r above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200	9-10 baseline).			Exceeds		4	16			
Academic	• belo	w the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th perc	centile of all schools (using 2009-1	10 baseline).		Meets	3		(4 for each	25		
Achievemen	t • belo	w the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	centile of all schools (using 2009-1	Approaching	2		content area)					
	• belo	w the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10	baseline).	Does Not Meet		1						
	If the sci	hool meets the median adequate student growth pe	rcentile and its median student g		TCAP CELA							
	• at o	r above 60.		Exceeds	4	2	14					
	• belo	w 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each					
	• belo	w 45 but at or above 30.		Approaching	2	1	content area					
Academic	• belo	w 30.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50		
Growth	If the sci	hool does not meet the median adequate student gr	rowth percentile and its median st	tudent growth percentile w	vas:		TCAP	CELA	English			
	• at o	r above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language			
	• belo	w 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)			
	• belo	w 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1					
	• belo	w 40.	1	0.5								
	If the stu	Ident subgroup meets the median adequate studen										
	• at o	r above 60.		Exceeds		4						
	• belo	w 60 but at or above 45.		Meets		3						
	• belo	w 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60				
Academic	• belo	w 30.				Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5			
Growth Gaps	s If the stu	ident subgroup does not meet the median adequate	e student growth percentile and it	:			subgroups in 3					
	• at o	r above 70.			Exceeds 4			subject areas)				
	• belo	w 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3					
	• belo	w 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2					
	• belo	w 40.			Does Not Meet		1					
-Points for eac	ch performa	nce indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assign	ment						
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: 1	he school ea	rned	of the	total Framework points elig	ible.		
chievement;	 at or above 	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		at or abo	ve 59%				Performance		
rowth; Gaps	• at or abov	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	at or abo	ve 47% - below	59%			Improvement		
• at or al		ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	at or abo	ve 37% - below	47%			Priority Improveme		
	below 37.5% Does Not Meet			below 37	%				Turnaround			
ool plan type	assignments											
		Plan description										
formance Plar	1	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	erformance Plan.	A school may not implem	nent a Priority	Improvement a	and/or T	urnarou	nd Plan for longer than a combir	ed total of		
provement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an I	mprovement Plan.	five consecutive years be	efore the Distr	ict or Institute i	s require	ed to res	structure or close the school. The	five		
ority Improver	nent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pr	iority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years	commences of	on July 1 during	the sum	nmer im	mediately following the fall in wh	ich the		

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math			Writing					
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Perc	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008-	10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading		Math			Writing					
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

. .

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

45.36

72.65

48.72

71.26

50.00

71.45

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.