#### School Performance Framework 2012

#### School: DOVE CREEK HIGH SCHOOL - 2216

## Improvement

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Postse
Performance	at or above 60%	
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%	Test P
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%	
Turnaround	below 33%	τοτα

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

				`
Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	46.9%	(7.0 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	50.0%	( 17.5 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	41.7%	( 6.3 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	73.1%	( 25.6 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		56.4%	( 56.4 out of 100 points )	
<sup>2</sup> Schools may not be eligible for all possible points and the points eligible, so scores are not negative		numbers of s	students. In these cases, the points	are removed from both the points ear

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area science in all or all but one content area school levels

#### **Test Participation Rates**

		% of Stu	dents Tested			Participo	ation Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	tudents	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	125	122	247	-	125	122	247
Mathematics	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	125	122	247	-	125	122	247
Writing	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	125	122	247	-	125	122	247
Science	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	63	58	121	-	63	58	121
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	44	-	-	-	44	-

(elementary, middle and high school grades).



SCHOOLVIeW.org

District: DOLORES COUNTY RE NO.2 - 0890 (3 Year<sup>1</sup>)

Level: MH

Performance Indicators							Leve	l: Middle Schoo
School: DOVE CREEK HIGH SCH	HOOL					Di	strict: DOLORES COUNTY RE N	O.2 - 0890 (3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	124	60.48	27	-
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	125	29.6	14	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	124	43.55	22	
Science	2	4		Approaching	63	33.33	25	
Total	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
	2	4	70 FUIIIIS		112	36	33	
Reading Mathematics	1	4		Approaching Does Not Meet	112	30	33 76	Yes No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	114	40	59	No
English Language Proficiency (CELAp	=	4		Approaching	115	40		NO
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
10181	J	12	41.776	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	8	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	60	42	40	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	30	37	66	No
Mathematics	2	8	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	61	35	78	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	61	35	90	No
Writing	4	8	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	61	40	66	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	54	42	80	No
Total	9	24	37.5%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								vel: High Schoo
School: DOVE CREEK HIGH SCHOOL							rict: DOLORES COUNTY RE NO	0.2 - 0890 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	119	68.91	41	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	120	18.33	22	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	119	46.22	41	
Science	2	4		Approaching	57	45.61	41	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4	701 011113	Meets	113	51	20	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	113	40	96	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	112	50	53	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	۷۲			Approaching	115			NO
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
		1 1			Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	8	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	33	31	28	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	34	48	69	No
Mathematics	3	8	37.5%	Approaching	-			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	33	45	97	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	73	37	99	No
Writing	4	8	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	33	35	70	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		_	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		_	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	52	63	94	No
Total	11	24	45.8%	Approaching	-			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets		85/ <b>67</b> /48/22	82.4/ <b>86.6</b> /83.3/77.3%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	0.5	1	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching	2	23/ <b>17</b> /N<16/N<16	73.9/ <b>76.5</b> /-/-%	80%
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<1	16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<1	16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<1	16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		274	0%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		44	17.8	20.1
Total	9.5	13	73.1%	Meets				

oring Guide									Level:
oring Guide for Per	formance Indicators on the School Performance Fram	ework Report							
rformance Indicato	orScoring Guide				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Lev	el <mark>Framework P</mark> o
-	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or adv	anced was:							
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009	-10 baseline).			Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile	entile of all schools (using 2009-10 b	oaseline).		Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	entile of all schools (using 2009-10 b	baseline).		Approaching		2	content area)	
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 b	aseline).			Does Not Meet		1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth per	centile and its median student grow	th percentile was:			TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student gro	owth percentile and its median stude	ent growth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.	· ·			Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	-	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student	growth percentile and its median st	udent growth percentile was	:		•			
	• at or above 60.		<u> </u>		Exceeds	1	4	1	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets		3	-	
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate	student arowth percentile and its m	nedian student arowth nercer	ntile was				subgroups in 3	15
crowin cups	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	1	4	subject areas)	10
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching		2	-	
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet		1	-	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate	: The school's graduation rate/	disagaragated graduatio	n rata u		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.				Exceeds	4	1 1	-	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3	0.75	-	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.					2	0.75	-	
	• below 65%.				Approaching	1	0.5	-	
					Does Not Meet	<u> </u>	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:					1	-	16	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.				Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readines				Meets		3	indicator)		
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009)	9-10 baseline).			Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.				Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average	Colorado ACT composite score	was:						
	• at or above 22.				Exceeds		4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-	10 baseline).			Meets		3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-	10 baseline).			Approaching		2		
	• below 17.				Does Not Meet		1		
-Points for each n	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type as	signment			•	·
		this Indicator			-	aarmad	of the t	atal Francessark nainta aliaik	le.
	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on			_		earned	. of the to	otal Framework points eligib	
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	The second second		or above 60%	c 00/			Performance
· · · · ·	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework		or above 47% - belo				Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points		or above 33% - belo	ow 47%			Priority Improver
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• be	elow 33%				Turnaround
ool plan type assi	gnments								
	Plan description								
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Po	erformance Plan.	A school may not impleme	ent a Pri	iority Improvement	and/or Tu	rnaround	Plan for longer than a combined t	total of
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an	mprovement Plan.	- · ·					cture or close the school. The five	
			- '			•		diately following the fall in which	
ority Improvemen									

## Reference

### 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

## **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

#### Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing		Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Pere	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 <sup>.</sup>	10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

#### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps


The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

#### Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

#### This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	80	85	77.3	77.3
Anticipated Year	2009	88.5	85.2	88.5	
of Graduation	2010	90.5	90		
	2011	66.7			

#### State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

# This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	80	85	77.3	77.3
Anticipated Year	2009	88.5	85.2	88.5	
of Graduation	2010	90.5	90		
	2011	66.7			
	Aggregated	82.4	86.6	83.3	77.3

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	73.9	76.5	N<16	N<16

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year		
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16		
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16			
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16				
	2011	N<16					
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16		

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			