School Performance Framework 2012

School: WILLIAM (BILL) ROBERTS K-8 SCHOOL - 9623

District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (3 Year¹)

Level: EM

–	r	
Por	rnr	nance
I CII		lance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Ie
Performance	at or above 59%	
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	тс
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	
Turnaround	below 37%	² Sc

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²										
Academic Achievement	Meets	71.9%	(18.0 out of 25 points)									
Academic Growth	Exceeds	91.1%	(45.6 out of 50 points)									
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	72.5%	(18.1 out of 25 points)									
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate											

	TOTAL	81.7%	(81.7 out of 100 points)	
ć	² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indic	ator due to insu	fficient numbers of students. In t	hese cases, the points are removed from

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1)

meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple

levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Improving

Academic

Achievement


SCHOOLVIew.org

Test Participation Rates

	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating				Student			Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.7%	99.7%	-	99.7%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	734	362	-	1096	736	363	-	1099
Mathematics	99.6%	99.7%	-	99.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	731	362	-	1093	734	363	-	1097
Writing	99.2%	99.2%	-	99.2%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	729	360	-	1089	735	363	-	1098
Science	99.6%	100.0%	-	99.7%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	246	118	-	364	247	118	-	365
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.



1

Performance Indicators							Level: E	lementary Scho
School: WILLIAM (BILL) ROBERTS	K-8 SCHOOL						District: DENVER COUN	TY 1 - 0880 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	699	81.69	74	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	696	83.91	82	
Writing	3	4		Meets	693	71.86	84	
Science	3	4		Meets	230	58.26	71	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	439	59	20	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	439	60	31	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	431	60	32	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	41	59	43	Yes
Total	12.5	14	89.3%	Exceeds				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	69	47	57	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	142	52	40	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	50	41	62	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	29	60	56	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	96	57	62	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	69	36	72	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	141	51	60	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	50	34	76	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	29	65	58	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	86	48	76	No
Writing	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	69	48	67	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	138	55	58	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	50	42	71	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	29	56	62	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	151	58	62	No
Total	37	60	61.7%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators							Level	: Middle Schoo
School: WILLIAM (BILL) ROBERTS	K-8 SCHOOL						District: DENVER COUNT	Y 1 - 0880 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	341	70.38	47	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	341	60.41	70	
Writing	3	4		Meets	340	60.29	53	
Science	3	4		Meets	111	63.96	80	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	322	62	33	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	321	65	63	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	320	59	54	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	2	2		Exceeds	27	60	60	Yes
Total	13	14	92.9%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	19	20	95%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	139	68	49	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	217	63	45	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	32	61	70	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	62	67	48	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	113	65	62	Yes
Mathematics	16	20	80%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	138	61	84	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	216	62	75	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	31	61	96	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	62	72	75	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	120	65	94	No
Writing	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	137	59	72	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	215	59	67	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	31	63	86	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	60	59	68	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	137	62	80	No
Total	50	60	83.3%	Meets				

Scoring Guide										Level: EN		
¥		e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	mework Report			Dullas	0.1	14.1				
Performance Indi						Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Lev	elFramework Poin		
		ool's percentage of students scoring proficient or aa			T			-	10			
		r above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200		101 11 1		Exceeds			16			
Academic		ow the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per		Meets		3	(4 for each	25				
Achievement		ow the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per	1 0		pproaching		2	content area)				
		ow the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10			Do	es Not Meet		1				
		hool meets the median adequate student growth pe	ercentile and its median student <u>c</u>	growth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA				
		r above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14			
		ow 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each			
		ow 45 but at or above 30.			A	pproaching	2	1	content area			
Academic	• belo	ow 30.			Do	es Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50		
Growth	If the sc	hool does not meet the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median s	student growth percentile w	vas:		TCAP	CELA	English			
	• at o	r above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language			
	• belo	ow 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)			
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.			A	pproaching	2 1	1				
	• belo	ow 40.			Do	es Not Meet	1	0.5	1			
	If the st	udent subgroup meets the median adequate studen	t growth percentile and its medic	an student growth percentile	le was:							
	• at o	r above 60.	· · ·	· ·		Exceeds	4		1			
	• belo	ow 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3		1				
	• belo	ow 45 but at or above 30.			A	pproaching		2	60 (4 for each of 5			
Academic	• belo	ow 30.			Do	es Not Meet		1				
Growth Gaps	If the st	udent subgroup does not meet the median adequat	e student growth percentile and i	its median student growth p	percentile was:				subgroups in 3	25		
	-	r above 70.		······································		Exceeds	1 .	4	subject areas)			
		by 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3				
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.			A	pproaching		2				
	• belo					es Not Meet		1				
ut-Points for eac	h performa	nce indicator		Cut-Points for plan	n type assignme	ent						
		he school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.				rned	of the	total Framework points elig	jible.		
Achievement;	• at or abo	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above §	59%				Performance		
Growth; Gaps	• at or abo	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 4	47% - below	59%			Improvement		
<i>,</i> ,	• at or abo	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 3	37% - below	47%			Priority Improvemen		
	• below 37	.5%		• below 37%					Turnaround			
hool plan type :	assignments											
		Plan description										
erformance Plar		The school is required to adopt and implement a P	erformance Plan.	A school may not implem	ment a Priority Im	provement a	and/or T	urnarou	nd Plan for longer than a combi	ned total of		
nprovement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.				five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five								
Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.				consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the								
• • •		· · · · · · ·										

~ Guid

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Loval, EN

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading		Math			Writing					
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	Advanced	d by Pero	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 [.]	10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading		Math			Writing				Science	
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps


The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.