School Performance Framework 2012

School: TREVISTA ECE-8 AT HORACE MANN - 8909

Priority Improvement (Revised)

Will enter Year 3* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Te
Performance	at or above 59%	
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	т
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	
Turnaround	below 37%	² So

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

* on July 1, 2013

Test Participation Rates

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(6.3 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	55.4%	(27.7 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	50.8%	(12.7 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		46.7%	(46.7 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Studen	ts Tested		Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.8%	99.4%	-	99.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	540	627	-	1167	541	631	-	1172
Mathematics	99.8%	99.0%	-	99.4%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	538	625	-	1163	539	631	-	1170
Writing	99.8%	99.4%	-	99.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	539	627	-	1166	540	631	-	1171
Science	100.0%	99.1%	-	99.5%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	193	224	-	417	193	226	-	419
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Level: EM



SCHOOLVIEW.org

Final plan type based on: 1 Year SPF report.

Performance Indicators								ementary Scho
School: TREVISTA ECE-8 AT HORA							District: DENVER COUNT	Y 1 - 0880 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	498	29.32	1	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	496	33.06	2	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	497	22.54	4	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	181	9.39	4	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	316	40	63	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	321	38	77	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	317	47	73	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	364	50	34	Yes
Total	6.5	14	46.4%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	293	40	63	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	299	40	63	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	52	39	88	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	162	42	71	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	227	45	74	No
Mathematics	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet	227		/ 7	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	5078	Does Not Meet	298	38	77	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	304	38	78	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	52	28	92	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	166	38	77	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	203	45	87	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching	205	15		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	- - /0	Approaching	294	47	73	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	300	47	73	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	52	37	89	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	162	47	76	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	269	50	78	No
statents needing to tattin up	۷.	60	40%	Approaching	203		10	110

Performance Indicators								I: Middle Schoo
School: TREVISTA ECE-8 AT HORA			District: DENVER COUNT	Y 1 - 0880 (3 Yea				
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	546	28.94	2	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	547	22.49	7	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	547	21.76	4	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	199	10.05	3	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	492	54	70	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	498	53	95	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	496	55	86	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	2	2		Exceeds	196	63	52	Yes
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
· ·				-		Fercentile	Growth Percentile	Growth
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	440	55	71	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	472	54	70	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	69	51	93	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	262	58	79	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	367	56	79	No
Mathematics	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	446	53	96	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	478	53	96	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	68	52	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	267	58	97	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	389	54	98	No
Writing	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	444	55	86	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	476	55	86	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	69	37	95	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	265	57	89	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	391	56	89	No
Total	37	60	61.7%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide										Level: EN			
		e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report			D. Mar		14.1	T. (.) D				
Performance Indi						Rating	Point	value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	Framework Point			
		pol's percentage of students scoring proficient or ad			r		1		10				
A J ! .		r above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200	•		Exceeds	4		16					
Academic		by the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per			Meets		3	(4 for each	25				
Achievement		ow the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per	1 0	Approaching		2	content area)						
		w the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10		Does Not Meet		1							
		hool meets the median adequate student growth pe	ercentile and its median student g	rowth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA					
		r above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14				
		ow 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each				
		ow 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area				
Academic	• belo					Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50			
Growth	If the sc	hool does not meet the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median st	tudent growth percentile w	las:		TCAP	CELA	English				
		r above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language				
		ow 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)						
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2	1							
	• belo					Does Not Meet	1	0.5					
		udent subgroup meets the median adequate studen	t growth percentile and its media	n student growth percentile	e was:		-						
		r above 60.				Exceeds 4							
	• belo	ow 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3						
	• belo	ow 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60					
Academic	• belo	ow 30.				Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5				
Growth Gaps	s If the st	udent subgroup does not meet the median adequat	e student growth percentile and it	ts median student growth p	percentile was:			subgroups in 3	25				
	• at o	r above 70.				Exceeds		4	subject areas)				
	• belo	ow 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3					
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching		2					
	• belo	ow 40.				Does Not Meet		1					
It-Points for eac	ch performa	nce indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assign	ment							
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: T	he school ea	rned	of the	total Framework points eligil	ble.			
Achievement:	• at or abo	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or abov	/e 59%				Performance			
Growth; Gaps	• at or abo	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or abov	ve 47% - below	59%			Improvement			
C. C. I. I., Cupo		ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Points	• at or abov	ve 37% - below	47%		F	riority Improvement				
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching • below 37.5% Does Not Meet				• below 379	%				Turnaround			
chool plan type a													
		Plan description											
erformance Plar		The school is required to adopt and implement a Po	erformance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of									
nprovement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an	Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five									
riority Improven		The school is required to adopt and implement a Pi		consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the									
				1		, 0			, .				

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

a Guid

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Loval, EN

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)													
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math			Writing						
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50	
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	
	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)													
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading		Math			Writing			Science			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.