Level: MH

School: P.R.E.P. (POSITIVE REFOCUS EDUCATION PROGRAM) - 7163 District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (3 Year')

AEC: Turnaround (See Alternative Education Campus SPF) (Revised)

Will enter Year 3* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Does Not Meet	29.2%	(10.2 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	31.7%	(11.1 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Does Not Meet 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		28.9%	(28.9 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Ra	ates																
		% of Stud	dents Tested	1		Participation Rating				Students Tested					Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	
Reading	-	87.5%	84.8%	86.2%	-	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	-	147	134	281	-	168	158	326	
Mathematics	-	91.3%	90.8%	91.1%	-	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	-	147	139	286	-	161	153	314	
Writing	-	91.7%	87.3%	89.5%	-	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	-	154	137	291	-	168	157	325	
Science	-	90.7%	94.4%	91.9%	-	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	-	68	34	102	-	75	36	111	
Colorado ACT	-	-	95.7%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	22	-	-	-	23	-	





^{*} on July 1, 2013

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle Schoo
School: P.R.E.P. (POSITIVE REFOC	US EDUCATION	I PROGRAM)					District: DENVER COUNT	ГҮ 1 - 0880 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	41	17.07	0	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	41	12.2	1	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	42	11.9	0	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	25	4	0	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	35	17	66	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	38	18	97	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	37	12	87	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	31	17	69	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	33	17	69	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	27	17	80	No
Mathematics	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	32	18	97	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	36	18	97	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	33	21	98	No
Writing	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	32	15	87	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	35	12	87	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	33	17	88	No
Total	9	36	25%	Does Not Meet				

2

Performance Indicators								vel: High Schoo
School: P.R.E.P. (POSITIVE REFOCUS							District: DENVER COUNTY	1 - 0880 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	44	11.36	0	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	42	0	0	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	43	6.98	0	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	<u>-</u>	
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	16	93	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	22	99	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	20	49	99	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
•	0	0	%	- Nating		Growth reference	Growth reference	Growth
Reading			70	<u>-</u>	N - 20			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0	-	-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20		<u>-</u>	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0	<u></u> %	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		<u>-</u>	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>		-
Total	0	0	<u></u> %	-				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4		Does Not Meet		82/ 121 /118/45	0/ 0.8 /0/0%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	0.75	3	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		57/ 79 /73/30	0/ 1.3 /0/0%	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		73/ 112 /113/42	0/ 0.9 /0/0%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		I<16/ 21 /20/N<16	-/0/0/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<1	6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching		1061	5.7%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4		Does Not Meet		22	13.7	20.1
Total	4.75	15	31.7%	Does Not Meet				

3

Scoring Guide Level: MH

scoring Guide for Per	formance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report								
Performance Indicato	orScoring Guide				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	el Framework Poir	
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advar	nced was:								
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-1	LO baseline).			Exceeds		4	16		
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percent	tile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseli	ne).		Meets		3	(4 for each	15	
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percent	tile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseli	ne).		Approaching		2	content area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 bases)	seline).			Does Not Meet		1	1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perce	entile and its median student growth pe	ercentile was:			TCAP	CELA			
	at or above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14		
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each		
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area		
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35	
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grow	rth percentile and its median student g	rowth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA	English		
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language		
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)		
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2	1	1		
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median studen	t growth percentile was:							
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds		4			
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets		3			
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60		
Academic	• below 30.			[Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5		
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate st	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:								
•	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	subject areas)					
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3	1 ' '		
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching		2	1		
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet		1	1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's araduation rate/disa	gareaated araduation	rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.			
	• at or above 90%.		<u> </u>		Exceeds	4	1	1		
	at or above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3	0.75	1		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.				Approaching	2	0.5	1		
	• below 65%.				Does Not Meet	1	0.25	1		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:			•				16		
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			1	Exceeds	l	4	(4 for each sub-	35	
Workforce Readines) haseline)			Meets		3	indicator)		
Workforce Reduines.	at or below the state average but above 17% (asing 2009-: at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-:	•			Approaching		2			
	above 10%.	to baseline).		-	Does Not Meet		1	†		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average C	olorado ACT composita scora was		<u> </u>	DOCS NOT WICE		1	1		
	• at or above 22.	olorado ACT composite score was.		1	Exceeds	1	4	4		
	• at or above 22. • at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10)	hasolino)			Meets		3	+		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10				Approaching		2	-		
		baselille).			THE SECOND	 	1	+		
	• below 17.				Does Not Meet		1			
	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty				6.1			
	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th					earned	of the to	otal Framework points eligib		
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Tatal Frances	• at or ab		CO0/			Performance	
	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework		pove 47% - belo				Improvement	
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points		oove 33% - belo	ow 47%		Р	riority Improvemen	
•	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 3	33%				Turnaround	

cut-rollits for eac	Periorinan	ee mareator		cut-rollits for planty	, pe assignment				
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.		Cut Point: The school earned of the total Frames	work points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or abov	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance			
Growth; Gaps	• at or abov	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
	• at or abov	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.	5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround			
School plan type a	ssignments								
		Plan description							
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Per	formance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of					
Improvement Plan)	The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five					
Priority Improvem	ent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Price	rity Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years co	ommences on July 1 during the summer immediately follow	ring the fall in which the			
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Tur	naround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.					

4

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

5



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 0880 - 7163

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	0	0
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	0	0	
of Graduation	2010	0	2		
	2011	0			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	0	0
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	0	0	
of Graduation	2010	0	2.9		
	2011	0			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	0	0
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	0	0	
of Graduation	2010	0	2.3		
	2011	0			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	0	0
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	0	0	
of Graduation	2010	0	2		
	2011	0			
	Aggregated	0	0.8	0	0

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	0	0
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	0	0	
of Graduation	2010	0	2.9		
	2011	0			
	Aggregated	0	1.3	0	0

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	0	0
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	0	0	
of Graduation	2010	0	2.3		
	2011	0			
	Aggregated	0	0.9	0	0

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	0	0	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

6

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 0880 - 7163