School: ONLINE HIGH SCHOOL - 6509 District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (1 Year')

## **Priority Improvement**

Will enter Year 1\* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	( 3.8 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	-	-	( 0.0 out of 0 points )	ı
Academic Growth Gaps	-	-	( 0.0 out of 0 points )	ı
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	39.3%	( 13.8 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

35.2%

( 17.6 out of 50 points )

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rate	es															
		% of Stu	idents Tested			Particip	ation Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	tudents	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	31	31	-	-	31	31
Mathematics	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	31	31	-	-	31	31
Writing	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	31	31	-	-	31	31
Science	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	20	20	-	-	20	20
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	30	-





TOTAL

<sup>\*</sup> on July 1, 2013

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: High Schoo
School: ONLINE HIGH SCHOOL							District: DENVER COUNTY	' 1 - 0880 (1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	17	47.06	8	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	17	0	0	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	17	29.41	13	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	<del>-</del>	-	
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0		-	N<20	<del>-</del>	-	-
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	<del>-</del>	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	<u>-</u>
Students with Disabilities	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	
English Learners	0	0			N<20	<u>-</u>	_	<del>-</del>
Students needing to catch up	0	0			N<20	<u>-</u>	-	<del>-</del>
Mathematics	0	0		-	25			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		<u>.</u>	N<20	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>.</u>
Minority Students	0	0			N<20			
Students with Disabilities	0	0			N<20			
English Learners	0	0			N<20			
Students needing to catch up	0	0			N<20			
Writing	0	0	<u></u> %	-	14-20	-	-	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		<u> </u>	N<20		<u>-</u>	
	0	0		<u> </u>	N<20 N<20	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0	0		<u> </u>	N<20 N<20	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	
English Learners	0	0		<u> </u>	N<20	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	
	0	0			N<20	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>
Students needing to catch up  Total	0	0		-	IN<2U		-	-
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4	70 1 011163	Does Not Meet		41/ <b>47</b> /79/52	29.3/ <b>48.9</b> /39.2/34.6%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	0.5	2	25%	Does Not Meet		, ., ., ., .,	25.5/ 40.5/ 55.2/ 54.0/0	3070
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		23/ <b>18</b> /33/19	30.4/ <b>50</b> /21.2/26.3%	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		30/ <b>26</b> /34/24	23.3/ <b>46.2</b> /32.4/25%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	0		-	N-1	6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0				6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	1	4		Does Not Meet	14/1	194	12.9%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	3	4		Meets		30	20	20.0
Total	5.5	14	39.3%	iriceta		30	۷.	20.0

Scoring Guide Level: H

	formance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	Work Report			Dartina	Deini	Malus	Total Bossible was 500111	al Francisco d' Sci
erformance Indicato					Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Le	vel Framework Po
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advar					,		1.0	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-1				Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile.		•		Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percent		eline).		Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 base)	•		Do	oes Not Meet		1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perce	entile and its median student growth	percentile was:			TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Do	oes Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grow	th percentile and its median student	growth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Α	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.				oes Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student gi	rowth percentile and its median stude	ent growth percentile was:					1	
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds		4	1	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets		3	1	
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Do	oes Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate st	tudent growth percentile and its med	an student growth percent	tile was:				subgroups in 3	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Δ	Approaching		2		
	• below 40.			Do	oes Not Meet		1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate/dis	aggregated graduation	rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.				Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3	0.75	]	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Д	Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Do	oes Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:							16	
ostsecondary and	at or below 1%.			Ĭ	Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readines	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10	O baseline).			Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-:			Δ	Approaching		2	1	
	• above 10%.				oes Not Meet		1	1	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average C	olorado ACT composite score wa	S:				_	1	
	• at or above 22.	ordinado i los composites con e ma	<u>.                                    </u>	1	Exceeds	l	4	1	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10)	) haseline)			Meets		3	†	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10)     at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10)			Δ	Approaching		2	†	
	• below 17.	buseline).			oes Not Meet		1	†	
	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty						
	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th					earned	of the to	otal Framework points eligi	
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or abo					Performance
	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework		ove 47% - belo				Improvement
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points		ove 33% - belo	ow 47%			Priority Improveme
	helow 37 5%	Does Not Meet		<ul> <li>below 33</li> </ul>	3%				Turnaround

		cc indicator		eat Forms for plan type assignment						
	Cut Point: Th	he school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.		Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eli	igible.				
Achievement;	at or abov	re 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance				
Growth; Gaps	at or abov	re 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement				
	at or abov	re 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement				
	• below 37.5	5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround				
School plan type a	ssignments									
		Plan description								
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Peri	ormance Plan.	A school may not implemen	nt a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combin	ed total of				
Improvement Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years befo	re the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The	five				
<b>Priority Improvem</b>	ent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Prio	ommences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in wh	ich the						
Turnaround Plan	rnaround Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan. school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.									

3

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

## Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

#### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math			Writing			Science	
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00	
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45	

#### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 0880 - 6509

### **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

# This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	30.8	32	32.1	34.6
Anticipated Year	2009	35.2	38	39.2	
of Graduation	2010	42.6	48.9		
	2011	29.3			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	25	22.2	26.3
Anticipated Year	2009	19.2	18.8	21.2	
of Graduation	2010	50	50		
	2011	30.4			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	23.8	21.7	25
Anticipated Year	2009	27.6	29.4	32.4	
of Graduation	2010	40.7	46.2		
	2011	23.3			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

# <u>This School's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	30.8	32	32.1	34.6
Anticipated Year	2009	35.2	38	39.2	
of Graduation	2010	42.6	48.9		
	2011	29.3			
	Aggregated	34.8	39.2	36.4	34.6

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	25	22.2	26.3
Anticipated Year	2009	19.2	18.8	21.2	
of Graduation	2010	50	50		
	2011	30.4			
	Aggregated	29.9	28.8	21.6	26.3

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	23.8	21.7	25
Anticipated Year	2009	27.6	29.4	32.4	
of Graduation	2010	40.7	46.2		
	2011	23.3			
	Aggregated	28.7	33.3	28.1	25

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	11.1	23.1	17.6	N<16

### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 0880 - 6509