District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (3 Year1)

School: CONTEMPORARY LEARNING ACADEMY HIGH SCHOOL - 5844

AEC: Turnaround (See Alternative Education Campus SPF) (Revised)

Will enter Year 2* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Does Not Meet	35.7%	(12.5 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Does Not Meet	33.3%	(5.0 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(8.8 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Does Not Meet 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		30.1%	(30.1 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation R	lates															
% of Students Tested					Participation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	87.9%	87.9%	-	-	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	-	-	261	261	-	-	297	297
Mathematics	-	-	87.5%	87.5%	-	-	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	-	-	260	260	-	-	297	297
Writing	-	-	88.2%	88.2%	-	-	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	-	-	262	262	-	-	297	297
Science	-	-	90.0%	90.0%	-	-	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	-	-	144	144	-	-	160	160
Colorado ACT	-	-	89.8%	-	-	-	Does Not Meet	-	-	-	194	-	-	-	216	-





^{*} on July 1, 2013

Performance Indicators								el: High Schoo
School: CONTEMPORARY LEARNING	ACADEMY HIGH	SCHOOL					District: DENVER COUNTY	<mark>' 1 - 0880 (3 Yea</mark> i
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	110	20	0	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	109	4.59	3	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	112	8.04	0	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	47	2.13	0	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	41	34	77	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	41	44	99	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	43	27	97	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1	2		Approaching	23	42	72	No
Total	5	14	35.7%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	31	32	67	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	38	34	78	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	31	39	86	No
Mathematics	6	12	50%	Approaching	-			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	32	48	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	39	44	99	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	37	44	99	No
Writing	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet	3.		33	.,,
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	2370	Does Not Meet	32	27	96	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	40	27	98	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0			N<20			
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	40	37	98	No
Total	12	36	33.3%	Does Not Meet	10		30	110
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4		Does Not Meet		174 /303/213/111	2.5 /1.3/0.9/0%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet				,
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		393 /259/178/86	2 /1.2/1.1/0%	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		405 /236/164/93	2.5 /1.3/1.2/0%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		47/35/ 21 /N<16	2.1/2.9/ 4.8 /-%	80%
English Learners	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		59 /32/24/N<16	0/0/0/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	1	4		Does Not Meet		2703	12%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4		Does Not Meet		194	14.9	20.1
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				

Scoring Guide Level: H

ormance Indicate	orScoring Guide			Rating	Point	: Value	Total Possible per EMH Le	vel Framework P
ormanice marcate	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or o	advanced was:		nating	7 0111	· varac	Total i ossible per Elvili Ee	ven rame work r
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2			Exceeds	T	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile	·	naseline)	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	, ,	·	Approaching	1	2	content area)	15
Acmevement	below the 30th percentile but at of above the 13th percentile of all schools (using 2009-1)		Jasennej.	Does Not Mee		1	- content area)	
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth	·	th percentile was:	Does Not Mee	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.	percentile and its median student grow	tir percentile was.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1.5	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student	growth percentile and its median stud	ant growth parcaptila was:	DOCS NOT WICE	TCAP	CELA	English	33
Growth	• at or above 70.	growth percentile and its median studi	ent growth percentile was.	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5		
	below 70 but at or above 35. below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1.5	proficiency)	
	• below 40.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.5	-	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate stude	ant arouth parcentile and its median st	udant growth parcantila was:	Does Not Mee	<u> </u>	0.5		
	• at or above 60.	ent growth percentile and its median st	udent growth percentile was.	Exceeds	Т	4	-	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	+	3	-	
	below 45 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	+	2	60	
Academic	• below 45 but at of above 50.			Does Not Mee		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps		ata student arouth percentile and its m	andian student arouth norsen	-	· ·	1	subgroups in 3	15
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequation at or above 70.	ate student growth percentile and its in	ieuiun student growth percent	<u> </u>	T	4	- · ·	15
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Exceeds	1	3	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55. below 55 but at or above 40.			Meets Approaching	1	2	-	
	below 40. below 40.			Does Not Mee		1	-	
		ata. The school's aradication rate	disagaragatad aradustian		Overall	Disaggr.		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation R • at or above 90%.	ate. The school's graduation rate/	uisuggregatea graduation	·		-	-	
				Exceeds	3	0.75	-	
	at or above 80% but below 90%. at or above 65% but below 80%.			Meets Approaching	2	0.75	-	
				111 0	t 1	0.5	-	
	• below 65%.			Does Not Mee	<u>ч</u> т	0.25	1.5	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:			1	1	•	16	
stsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds	<u> </u>	4	(4 for each sub-	35
rkforce Readines	3 1 3	-		Meets	ļ	3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2)	009-10 baseline).		Approaching	ļ	2	4	
	• above 10%.			Does Not Mee	t	1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's avera	ge Colorado ACT composite score	was:					
	at or above 22.			Exceeds		4	_	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 20)	09-10 baseline).		Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 20)	09-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Mee	t	1		
Points for each p	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan to	pe assignment				
	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible	on this Indicator.			earned	. of the to	otal Framework points eligi	ble.
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%			- I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Performance
	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - be	low 60%			Improvement
	e at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - be				Priority Improven
	41 01 400VL 37.370 DCIOW 04.370		ronits	1 01 01 000 VC 33/0 - DC				IOITE ATTIPLOVEIL

Cut-Points for eac	h performan	ce indicator		Cut-Points for plan type assignment					
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	at or abov	re 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%				
Growth; Gaps	at or abov	re 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching				• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.	5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround			
School plan type a	ssignments								
		Plan description							
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Peri	formance Plan.	A school may not implemen	nt a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longe	er than a combined total of			
Improvement Plan	1	The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years befo	re the District or Institute is required to restructure or close	the school. The five			
Priority Improvem	ity Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the								
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Turi	naround Plan.	school is notified that it is r	equired to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaroun	nd Plan.			

3

SPF 2012 - 0880 - 5844

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 0880 - 5844

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	0	0	0	0
Anticipated Year	2009	0	0	1.9	
of Graduation	2010	0	4.3		
	2011	9.9			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	0	0	0	0
Anticipated Year	2009	0	0	2.2	
of Graduation	2010	0	3.7		
	2011	8.2			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	0	0	0	0
Anticipated Year	2009	0	0	2.7	
of Graduation	2010	0	4.3		
	2011	9.3			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	0	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	0	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	0	0	0	0
Anticipated Year	2009	0	0	1.9	
of Graduation	2010	0	4.3		
	2011	9.9			
	Aggregated	2.5	1.3	0.9	0

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	0	0	0	0
Anticipated Year	2009	0	0	2.2	
of Graduation	2010	0	3.7		
	2011	8.2			
	Aggregated	2	1.2	1.1	0

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	0	0	0	0
Anticipated Year	2009	0	0	2.7	
of Graduation	2010	0	4.3		
	2011	9.3			
	Aggregated	2.5	1.3	1.2	0

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	2.1	2.9	4.8	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	0	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	0	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	0	0	0	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 0880 - 5844