School Performance Framework 2012

School: GUST ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 3704

District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (3 Year¹)

Level: E

Dort	orma	nra
ГСП		

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Ie
Performance	at or above 59%	
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	т
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	
Turnaround	below 37%	² S

% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible² Rating/Plan Performance Indicators Academic Achievement Approaching 37.5% (9.4 out of 25 points) **Academic Growth** Meets 78.6% (39.3 out of 50 points) Approaching Academic Growth Gaps 61.7% (15.4 out of 25 points) **Test Participation³ Meets 95% Participation Rate**

<u>~</u> 6										
6	TOTAL	64.1%	(64.1 out of 100 points)							
6										
6	² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from									

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1)

meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple

levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

mproving

Academic

Achievement

Test Participation Rates

% of Students Tested				Participation Rating					Studen	ts Tested		Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.8%	-	-	99.8%	Meets	-	-	Meets	638	-	-	638	639	-	-	639
Mathematics	99.8%	-	-	99.8%	Meets	-	-	Meets	639	-	-	639	640	-	-	640
Writing	99.8%	-	-	99.8%	Meets	-	-	Meets	639	-	-	639	640	-	-	640
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	222	-	-	222	222	-	-	222
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

Performance Indicators							Level: E	lementary Scho
School: GUST ELEMENTARY SCHO	DOL						District: DENVER COUN	TY 1 - 0880 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	596	49.16	14	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	595	63.36	35	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	595	35.8	18	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	209	20.1	14	
Total	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	348	52	51	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	378	61	61	Yes
Writing	2	4		Approaching	350	50	60	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	2	2		Exceeds	494	62	40	Yes
Total	11	14	78.6%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	33/0	Approaching	294	52	53	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	312	52	53	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	54	39	86	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	189	53	53	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	198	56	74	No
Mathematics	16	20	80%	Meets			· ·	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	321	60	62	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	342	61	62	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	56	48	85	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	216	69	60	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	160	72	82	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	296	49	62	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	314	49	61	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	54	36	88	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	190	55	62	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	236	53	73	No
Total	37	60	61.7%	Approaching			-	-

Scoring Guide										Level: E						
Scoring Guide for	Performanc	e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report				_									
Performance Indi	catorScorin	g Guide				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	Framework Point						
	The sch	ool's percentage of students scoring proficient or adv	vanced was:													
		r above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200		Exceeds	· · ·	4	16									
Academic	• belo	ow the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th perc	centile of all schools (using 2009-1	Meets		3	(4 for each	25								
Achievement	t • belo	ow the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th perc	centile of all schools (using 2009-1	Approaching		2	content area)									
	• belo	ow the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10	baseline).	Does Not Meet	et 1											
	If the sc	hool meets the median adequate student growth pe	rcentile and its median student gr	rowth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA								
	• at o	r above 60.		Exceeds	4	2	14									
	• belo	ow 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each									
	• belo	ow 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area							
Academic	• belo	ow 30.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50						
Growth	If the sc	hool does not meet the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its median st	udent growth percentile w	as:		TCAP	CELA	English							
	• at o	r above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language							
	• belo	ow 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)							
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1									
	• belo	ow 40.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5								
	If the st	udent subgroup meets the median adequate studen	4													
	• at o	r above 60.		Exceeds	· ·	4										
	• belo	ow 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3 2											
	• belo	ow 45 but at or above 30.		Approaching			60									
Academic	• belo	ow 30.				Does Not Meet	t 1		(4 for each of 5							
Growth Gaps	s If the st	udent subgroup does not meet the median adequate	e student growth percentile and its	:			subgroups in 3	25								
	• at o	r above 70.		Exceeds 4		4	subject areas)									
	• belo	ow 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3]								
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2									
	• belo	ow 40.		Does Not Meet		1										
t-Points for eac	ch performa	nce indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assign	ment										
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: 1	The school ea	rned	of the	total Framework points eligi	ble.						
Achievement;	• at or abo	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		 at or abo 	ve 59%				Performance						
Growth; Gaps	• at or abo	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	at or abo	ve 47% - below	59%			Improvement						
• at or at		ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	at or abo	at or above 37% - below 47%			I	Priority Improvement						
• below 37.5%		.5%	Does Not Meet		below 37	%				Turnaround						
hool plan type a	assignments															
		Plan description														
erformance Plar	ı	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	erformance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of												
nprovement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an I	mprovement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five												
riority Improven		The school is required to adopt and implement a Pr					•									
						consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the										

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math			Writing					
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Pero	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 [.]	-10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

45.36

72.65

48.72

71.26

50.00

71.45

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.