School Performance Framework 2012

School: FLORENCE CRITTENTON HIGH SCHOOL - 3000

AEC: Turnaround (See Alternative Education Campus SPF) (Revised)

Will enter Year 3* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

| Plan Assignment | Framework Points Earned |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Performance | at or above 60% |
| Improvement | at or above 47% - below 60% |
| Priority Improvement | at or above 33% - below 47% |
| Turnaround | below 33% |

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

* on July 1, 2013

Performance Indicators Rating/Plan % of Points Earned out of Points Eligible² **Does Not Meet** 25.0% Academic Achievement (3.8 out of 15 points) Academic Growth (0.0 out of 0 points) 1 -Academic Growth Gaps (0.0 out of 0 points) T Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness **Does Not Meet** 25.0% (8.8 out of 35 points) Test Participation³ **Does Not Meet 95% Participation Rate** 25.2% TOTAL (12.6 out of 50 points) ²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates % of Students Tested **Participation Rating** Students Tested **Total Students** Content Area Elem Middle High Overall Elem Middle High Overall Elem Middle High Overall Elem Middle High Overall Reading -80.3% 80.3% _ **Does Not Meet Does Not Meet** -_ 49 49 -61 61 --Mathematics 83.6% 83.6% **Does Not Meet Does Not Meet** -51 51 61 61 -------Writing 49 80.3% 80.3% **Does Not Meet Does Not Meet** -49 61 61 -------Science 90.3% 90.3% **Does Not Meet** 28 28 31 31 ----**Does Not Meet** ----26 26 Colorado ACT --100.0% ---Meets -------

Final plan type based on: AEC SPF report.



SCHOOLVIEW.

Level: F

| Academic Achievement Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N % Proficient/Advanced School's Percentile Reading 1 4 Does Not Meet 26 19.23 0 Mathematics 1 4 Does Not Meet 27 0 0 Writing 1 4 Does Not Meet 26 11.54 2 Science 1 4 Does Not Meet 16 6.25 0 Total 4 16 25% Does Not Meet 16 6.25 0 Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Percentile Growth? Reading 0 0 - N N Median Growth Percentile Percentile Growth? Reading 0 0 - N N Percentile Percentile Growth? Mathematics 0 0 - N N - - - - Writing 0 0 - | Performance Indicators School: FLORENCE CRITTENTON HIGH | | | | | | | District: DENVER COUNTY | /el: High Schoo (1 - 0880 (1 Yea |
|---|--|---------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------|----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Reading Mathematics Science14Does Not Meet Part Part Part Part Part Part Part Part | | | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | % Proficient/Advanced | | |
| Writing 1 4 Does Not Meet 26 11.54 2 Total 4 16 25% Does Not Meet 16 6.23 0 Total 4 16 25% Does Not Meet 16 6.23 0 Total 4 16 25% Does Not Meet 16 6.23 0 Concord Points Earned Points Eligible Keating N Median Growth Percentile Percentile Growth Reading 0 0 - N-20 - <td>Reading</td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>Does Not Meet</td> <td>26</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> | Reading | 1 | - | | Does Not Meet | 26 | | 0 | |
| Selence 1 4 16 Does to Mater 6.25 0 Total 4 16 25% Dees to Mater 6.25 0 Cardemic Growth Points Eligible % Points Eligible % Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Median Adequate Growth Made Adequate Growth Reading 0 0 - N<20 - - - Mathematics 0 0 - N<20 - | Mathematics | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 27 | 0 | 0 | |
| Total 4 16 25% Dec: Not Nets Instrument of the state | Writing | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 26 | 11.54 | 2 | |
| Cardemic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible K Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Median Adequate Growth Made Adequate Growth Adequate Growt | | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 16 | 6.25 | 0 | |
| Academic Growth Points Earling O Points Earling Points Eligible % Points Reting N=20 · | Total | 4 | 16 | 25% | Does Not Meet | | | | |
| Teading 0 0 - Net20 - <th< td=""><td>Academic Growth</td><td>Points Farned</td><td>Points Eligible</td><td>% Points</td><td>Pating</td><td>N</td><td>Median Growth Percentile</td><td></td><td>Made Adequate</td></th<> | Academic Growth | Points Farned | Points Eligible | % Points | Pating | N | Median Growth Percentile | | Made Adequate |
| Mathematics 0 0 - N-20 - < | | | | 78 F 011123 | <u> </u> | | | | Growth: |
| Wring 0 0 N-20 N-20 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | | | | | | | |
| Inglish Language Proficiency (CELApro) 0 0 N N Constraints | | - | - | | | | | | _ |
| Total 0 % - Academic Growth Gaps Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Growth Percentile Growth Percentit< Growth Percentile Gro | | - | - | | | | | | _ |
| Academic Growth Gaps Points Eurnel Points Eligible % Points Rating N Growth Percentile Growth Percentiduotal babilities Growt Percentile< | | - | - | % | - | 11120 | | | |
| Academic Growth Gaps Points Eurnel Points Eligible % Points Rating N Growth Percentile Growth Percenteleurne Growth Percenteleurne | | | | | | Subgroup | Subgroup Median | Subgroup Median Adequate | Made Adequat |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0 0 N< | Academic Growth Gaps | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | Growth Percentile | Growth Percentile | Growth? |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0 0 . N<20 . <th< td=""><td>Reading</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>%</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<> | Reading | 0 | 0 | % | - | | | | |
| Minority Students 0 0 N<20 - N<20 - - - - - N<20 - - - - - - - - N<20 - N<20 - < | | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | _ |
| Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 - N<20 - N<20 - N<20 - - - - - N<20 - - - - - N<20 - N<20 | | 0 | 0 | | - | | _ | - | _ |
| Students needing to catch up 0 0 N<20 . < | - | | | | - | | - | - | - |
| Students needing to catch up 0 0 N<20 . < | English Learners | 0 | 0 | | - | | - | - | _ |
| Mathematics 0 0 % - Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0 0 - N<20 | | | 0 | | - | | - | - | _ |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0 0 - N<20 - <th< td=""><td></td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>%</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<> | | 0 | 0 | % | - | | | | |
| Minority Students 0 0 - N<20 - | | - | - | | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 - | | - | - | | _ | | | _ | _ |
| English Learners 0 0 - N<20 - - - - - - Students needing to catch up 0 0 0 0 - N<20 - - - - - - N<20 - | | 0 | 0 | | - | | - | - | - |
| Students needing to catch up 0 0 - N<20 - <t< td=""><td></td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td></td><td>-</td><td>N<20</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></t<> | | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| Writing 0 0 % - Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0 0 - N<20 | | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | _ | - | - |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0 0 - N<20 - <th< td=""><td></td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>%</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<> | | 0 | 0 | % | - | | | | |
| Minority Students 0 0 - N<20 - - - - - - - - Students with Disabilities 0 0 0 - N<20 - | | - | - | | - | N<20 | | - | <u> </u> |
| Students with Disabilities 0 0 N<20 - N<20 - < | U | - | - | | _ | | | _ | |
| English Learners 0 0 - N<20 - | - | | - | | _ | | | _ | |
| Students needing to catch up 0 0 - N<20 - </td <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>_</td> | | - | - | | - | | | - | _ |
| Total00%-Postsecondary and Workforce ReadinessPoints EarnedPoints Eligible% PointsRatingNRate/ScoreExpectationGraduation Rate: 4yr/Syr/Syr/Tyr14Does Not Meet85/74/61/775.9/10.8/4.9/0%80%Disaggregated Graduation Rate0.75325%Does Not Meet85/74/61/775.9/10.8/4.9/0%80%Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible0.251Does Not Meet78/66/45/566.4/13.6/6.7/1.8%80%Minority Students0.251Does Not Meet81/72/58/716.2/11.1/5.2/0%80%Students with Disabilities00-N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16 | | | 0 | | - | | - | _ | _ |
| Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr 1 4 Does Not Meet 85/74/61/77 5.9/10.8/4.9/0% 80% Disaggregated Graduation Rate 0.75 3 25% Does Not Meet 85/74/61/77 5.9/10.8/4.9/0% 80% Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0.25 1 Does Not Meet 78/66/45/56 6.4/13.6/6.7/1.8% 80% Minority Students 0.25 1 Does Not Meet 81/72/58/71 6.2/11.1/5.2/0% 80% Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16 -/-/-/% 80% English Learners 0.25 1 Does Not Meet N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16/ -/-/-/0% 80% Dropout Rate 1 4 Does Not Meet A36 20.4% 3.6% Colorado ACT Composite Score 1 4 Does Not Meet 26 15.6 20.0 | | 0 | 0 | % | - | | | | |
| Disaggregated Graduation Rate 0.75 3 25% Does Not Meet Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0.25 1 Does Not Meet 78/66/45/56 6.4/13.6/6.7/1.8% 80% Minority Students 0.25 1 Does Not Meet 81/72/58/71 6.2/11.1/5.2/0% 80% Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16 | Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | | Ν | Rate/Score | Expectation |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0.25 1 Does Not Meet 78/66/45/56 6.4/13.6/6.7/1.8% 80% Minority Students 0.25 1 Does Not Meet 81/72/58/71 6.2/11.1/5.2/0% 80% Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16 | | | 4 | | | | 85/ 74 /61/77 | 5.9/ 10.8 /4.9/0% | 80% |
| Minority Students 0.25 1 Does Not Meet 81/72/58/71 6.2/11.1/5.2/0% 80% Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16 | | | 3 | 25% | | | | | |
| Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<16/N<16/N<16 -/-/-% 80% English Learners 0.25 1 Does Not Meet N<16/N<16/N<16/18 | | | 1 | | | | | | |
| English Learners 0.25 1 Does Not Meet N<16/N<16/18 -/-/-/0% 80% Dropout Rate 1 4 Does Not Meet 436 20.4% 3.6% Colorado ACT Composite Score 1 4 Does Not Meet 26 15.6 20.0 | | 0.25 | | | Does Not Meet | | | 6.2/ 11.1 /5.2/0% | |
| Dropout Rate 1 4 Does Not Meet 436 20.4% 3.6% Colorado ACT Composite Score 1 4 Does Not Meet 26 15.6 20.0 | Students with Disabilities | | 0 | | - | N<1 | 16/N<16/N<16/N | | 80% |
| Colorado ACT Composite Score 1 4 Does Not Meet 26 15.6 20.0 | English Learners | 0.25 | 1 | | | N | | | |
| | • | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | | | 20.4% | 3.6% |
| | Colorado ACT Composite Score | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | | 26 | 15.6 | 20.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

| oring Guide | | | | | | | | Leve |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| oring Guide for Pe | rformance Indicators on the School Performance Fra | mework Report | | | | | | |
| rformance Indicat | | | | Rating | Poin | t Value | Total Possible per EMH Le | velFramework Pr |
| ijoimanee maleat | The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or ad | lvanced was: | | nating | 1 0111 | . varac | | |
| | at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200 | | | Exceeds | 1 | 4 | 16 | |
| Academic | below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per | | seline) | Meets | - | 3 | (4 for each | 15 |
| Achievement | below the 50th percentile but at or above the 50th per below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per | | | Approaching | 2 | | | 15 |
| Achievement | | · • | seine). | | | 1 | content area) | |
| | • below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 If the school meets the median adequate student growth p | • | norcontilo was: | Does Not Mee | ТСАР | CELA | | |
| | • at or above 60. | ercentile and its mealan student growth | i percentile was: | Exceeds | 1CAP | 2 | 14 | |
| | below 60 but at or above 45. | | | | 3 | 1.5 | (4 for each | |
| | | | | Meets | 2 | 1.5 | - ` | |
| A | below 45 but at or above 30. | | | Approaching | t 1 | | content area | |
| Academic | • below 30. | | | Does Not Mee | - | 0.5 | and 2 for | |
| Growth | If the school does not meet the median adequate student g | rowth percentile and its median studen | t growth percentile was: | | TCAP | CELA | English | |
| | • at or above 70. | | | Exceeds | 4 | 2 | language | |
| | • below 70 but at or above 55. | | | Meets | 3 | 1.5 | proficiency) | |
| | below 55 but at or above 40. | | | Approaching | 2 | 1 | _ | |
| | • below 40. | | | Does Not Mee | t 1 | 0.5 | | |
| | If the student subgroup meets the median adequate studer | nt growth percentile and its median stua | lent growth percentile was: | | . | | - | |
| | • at or above 60. | | | Exceeds | - | 4 | 4 | |
| | below 60 but at or above 45. | | | Meets | | 3 | 4 | |
| | below 45 but at or above 30. | | | Approaching | | 2 | 60 | |
| Academic | • below 30. | | | Does Not Mee | t | 1 | (4 for each of 5 | |
| Growth Gaps | If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequat | e student growth percentile and its mea | dian student growth percen | ile was: | | | subgroups in 3 | |
| | • at or above 70. | | | Exceeds | | 4 | subject areas) | |
| | below 70 but at or above 55. | | | Meets | | 3 | | |
| | below 55 but at or above 40. | | | Approaching | | 2 | | |
| | • below 40. | | | Does Not Mee | t | 1 | | |
| | Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Ra | te: The school's graduation rate/dis | saggregated graduation | rate was: | Overall | Disaggr. | | |
| | • at or above 90%. | | | Exceeds | 4 | 1 | 1 | |
| | • at or above 80% but below 90%. | | | Meets | 3 | 0.75 | - | |
| | • at or above 65% but below 80%. | | | Approaching | 2 | 0.5 | 1 | |
| | • below 65%. | | | Does Not Mee | t 1 | 0.25 | - | |
| | Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was: | | | | <u> </u> | | 16 | |
| ostsecondary and | | | | Exceeds | 1 | 4 | (4 for each sub- | 35 |
| - | | 0.10 hasalina) | | | - | | - | 35 |
| orkforce Readines | | | | Meets | _ | 3 | indicator) | |
| | • at or below 10% but above the state average (using 20 | 09-10 baseline). | | Approaching | - | 2 | 4 | |
| | • above 10%. | | | Does Not Mee | t | 1 | - | |
| | Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's averag | e Colorado ACT composite score w | as: | | - | | _ | |
| | • at or above 22. | | | Exceeds | | 4 | 4 | |
| | at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009) | - | | Meets | - | 3 | _ | |
| | at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009) | 9-10 baseline). | | Approaching | | 2 | _ | |
| | • below 17. | | | Does Not Mee | t | 1 | | |
| -Points for each r | erformance indicator | | Cut-Points for plan t | /pe assignment | | | | |
| - | It Point: The school earned of the points eligible or | a this Indicator | | | oarnod | of the t | otal Framework points elig | ihlo |
| - | • at or above 87.5% | | | • at or above 60% | carneu | . or the t | otar i ramework points eng | |
| | | Exceeds | Total Framework | | Low 6.0% | | | Performance |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | • at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% | Meets | Total Framework | • at or above 47% - be | | | | Improvemen |
| | • at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% | Approaching | Points | at or above 33% - be | IOW 47% | | | Priority Improver |
| | • below 37.5% | Does Not Meet | | • below 33% | | | | Turnaround |
| ool plan type ass | gnments | | | | | | | |
| | Plan description | | | | | | | |
| formance Plan | The school is required to adopt and implement a | Performance Plan. | A school may not impleme | nt a Priority Improvement | t and/or Tu | rnaround | Plan for longer than a combine | d total of |
| provement Plan | The school is required to adopt and implement a | | | | | | cture or close the school. The f | |
| | | | Jonsedanie years bell | Procise of modeluit | | | Jonoon Inc I | |
| prity Improvement | | Priority Improvement Plan | concocutivo cohool vorte - | mmoncos on July 1 durin | a tho sures | nor imm - | diately following the fall in which | h tha |

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

| | Percent of Stude | nts Profi | cient or A | dvanced | d by Pero | centile Cu | it-Points | - 1-year | (2009-10 |) baselin | e) | | |
|---|------------------|-----------|------------|---------|-----------|------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------------------|---------|---------|-------|
| The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's | | | Reading | | | Math | | | Writing | | Science | | |
| proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or | | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High |
| advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes | N of Schools | 1008 | 479 | 327 | 1007 | 480 | 327 | 1007 | 480 | 327 | 912 | 407 | 286 |
| results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, | 15th percentile | 49.18 | 50.44 | 54.92 | 48.60 | 29.72 | 15.97 | 32.48 | 34.95 | 30.96 | 19.67 | 23.85 | 27.50 |
| mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and | 50th percentile | 71.65 | 71.43 | 73.33 | 70.89 | 52.48 | 33.52 | 53.52 | 57.77 | 50.00 | 47.53 | 48.00 | 50.00 |
| Escritura. | 90th percentile | 89.10 | 88.24 | 87.23 | 89.34 | 75.00 | 54.79 | 76.83 | 79.67 | 72.24 | 75.96 | 75.11 | 72.41 |
| | Percent of Stude | nts Profi | cient or A | dvanced | d by Pero | centile Cu | t-Points | - 3-year | aggrega | te (2008 [.] | 10 base | line) | |
| All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first | | | Reading | | | Math | | | Writing | | | Science | |
| year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports). | | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High |
| | N of Schools | 1032 | 507 | 362 | 1032 | 507 | 361 | 1032 | 507 | 362 | 972 | 469 | 347 |
| | 15th percentile | 50.00 | 50.56 | 53.34 | 48.73 | 29.69 | 13.49 | 32.56 | 36.84 | 30.00 | 20.46 | 25.00 | 27.93 |

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

45.36

72.65

48.72

71.26

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

| | N of Students | Mean Rate |
|------------------|---------------|-----------|
| 1-year (2009) | 416,953 | 3.6 |
| 3-year (2007-09) | 1,238,096 | 3.9 |

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2008 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 |
| Anticipated Year | 2009 | 0 | 0 | 4.9 | |
| of Graduation | 2010 | 2.6 | 10.8 | | |
| | 2011 | 5.9 | | | |

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

| | N of Students | Average Score |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|
| 1-year (2010) | 51,438 | 20.0 |
| 3-year (2008-10) | 151,439 | 20.1 |

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2008 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 |
| Anticipated Year | 2009 | 0 | 0 | 4.9 | |
| of Graduation | 2010 | 2.6 | 10.8 | | |
| | 2011 | 5.9 | | | |
| | Aggregated | 2.2 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 0 |

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2008 | 0 | 0 | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| Anticipated Year | 2009 | 0 | 0 | 6.7 | |
| of Graduation | 2010 | 3 | 13.6 | | |
| | 2011 | 6.4 | | | |
| | Aggregated | 2.6 | 5 | 3.7 | 1.8 |

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2008 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 0 |
| Anticipated Year | 2009 | 0 | 0 | 5.2 | |
| of Graduation | 2010 | 2.8 | 11.1 | | |
| | 2011 | 6.2 | | | |
| | Aggregated | 2.3 | 3.9 | 3 | 0 |

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2008 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 |
| Anticipated Year | 2009 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | |
| of Graduation | 2010 | N<16 | N<16 | | |
| | 2011 | N<16 | | | |
| | Aggregated | 2.7 | 6.7 | 0 | N<16 |

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

| | | | -0 | | |
|------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
| | 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Anticipated Year | 2009 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | |
| of Graduation | 2010 | N<16 | N<16 | | |
| | 2011 | N<16 | | | |
| | Aggregated | 0 | 0 | 6.3 | 0 |

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2008 | 0 | 0 | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| Anticipated Year | 2009 | 0 | 0 | 6.7 | |
| of Graduation | 2010 | 3 | 13.6 | | |
| | 2011 | 6.4 | | | |

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2008 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 0 |
| Anticipated Year | 2009 | 0 | 0 | 5.2 | |
| of Graduation | 2010 | 2.8 | 11.1 | | |
| | 2011 | 6.2 | | | |

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2008 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 |
| Anticipated Year | 2009 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | |
| of Graduation | 2010 | N<16 | N<16 | | |
| | 2011 | N<16 | | | |

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Anticipated Year | 2009 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | |
| of Graduation | 2010 | N<16 | N<16 | | |
| | 2011 | N<16 | | | |