<b>AEC: Performance</b>	(See	Performance Indicat

# Alternative Education Campus

SPF) (Revised)

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	ł
Performance	at or above 60%	-
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%	1
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%	h
Turnaround	below 33%	1

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

#### Rating/Plan % of Points Earned out of Points Eligible<sup>2</sup> tors Academic Achievement 25.0% (3.8 out of 15 points) **Does Not Meet** Academic Growth 83.3% (29.2 out of 35 points) Meets 86.1% (12.9 out of 15 points) Academic Growth Gaps Meets Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness **Does Not Meet** 31.3% (11.0 out of 35 points) Test Participation<sup>3</sup> **Meets 95% Participation Rate** TOTAL 56.9% ( 56.9 out of 100 points )

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

#### **Test Participation Rates**

		% of Stu	udents Tested			Particip	ation Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	tudents	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	98.1%	98.1%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	474	474	-	-	483	483
Mathematics	-	-	98.6%	98.6%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	478	478	-	-	485	485
Writing	-	-	99.0%	99.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	478	478	-	-	483	483
Science	-	-	99.3%	99.3%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	268	268	-	-	270	270
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	111	-	-	-	111	-



SCHOOLVIeW.org

District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (3 Year

Level: F

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: High Schoo
School: RIDGE VIEW ACADEMY CHAR	TER SCHOOL						District: DENVER COUNTY	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	213	31.46	3	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	214	3.27	2	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	215	12.09	2	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	156	8.33	2	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	41	64	92	No
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	42	69	99	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	42	70	99	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0			N<20	-	-	110
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets	11<20			_
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	03.370	Meets	37	59	84	No
	3	4			33	64	93	No
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0	0		Meets		-		INU
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20			
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	30	79	97	No
Mathematics	10	12	83.3%		30	/5	57	INU
			03.3%	Meets	20	67		N -
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	38	67	99	No
Minority Students	0	4		Meets	32 N<20	67	99	No
Students with Disabilities	-	0	0	-			-	-
English Learners	0	_		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4	04 70/	Exceeds	41	70	99	No
Writing	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	38	69	99	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	33	71	99	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up Total	4 31	4 36	86.1%	Exceeds Meets	38	72	99	No
	_					N	Dato /Score	Expostation
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating Does Not Meet	N		<b>Rate/Score</b> 4/7.4/ <b>8.3</b> /7.9%	Expectation 80%
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1	4 4	25%	Does Not Meet	1	041/866/ <b>605</b> /304	4//.4/ <b>0.3</b> //.3%	00%
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	<u>4</u> 1	23%	Does Not Meet		022/862/600/200	4.1/7.5/ <b>8.5</b> /8%	80%
Minority Students		1			1033/863/ <b>600</b> /299 656/536/372/ <b>194</b>		4.1/7.5/ <b>8.5</b> /8% 3.7/7.6/9.1/ <b>9.3</b> %	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25			Does Not Meet Does Not Meet				
		1				288/242/ <b>167</b> /85	4.2/8.7/ <b>10.8</b> /9.4%	80%
English Learners	0.25 <b>2</b>	<u>1</u> 4		Does Not Meet		114/100/70/ <b>38</b> 5095	2.6/5/12.9/ <b>15.8</b> % 8.8%	80% 3.9%
Dropout Rate		4		Approaching				
Colorado ACT Composite Score	<u> </u>		24 20/	Does Not Meet		111	15.5	20.1
Total	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				

									Level.
	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	ework Report							
erformance Indicator	-				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	Framework Poir
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advo				1				
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009)	•			Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile				Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile		baseline).		proaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 bits)	•		Doe	es Not Meet		1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth per	centile and its median student grow	vth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
• · · · • • ·	below 45 but at or above 30.				proaching	2	1	content area	25
Academic	• below 30.			Doe	es Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student gro	wth percentile and its median stud	ent growth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				proaching	2	1	-	
	• below 40.				es Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student s • at or above 60.	growth percentile and its median si	ludent growth percentile was		Exceeds		4	4	
							3	-	
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>				Meets		2	- 60	
Acadomic	• below 45 but at of above 50.				proaching s Not Meet		1	-	
Academic	• below so. If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:						1	(4 for each of 5	15
Growth Gaps		student growth percentile and its h	neulun student growth percer		Eveneda		4	subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds		4 3	subject areas)		
	<ul><li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li><li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li></ul>				Meets proaching		2	-	
	• below 35 but at of above 40.		Approa Does No				1	-	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate	· The school's graduation rate	disagaragated graduatio		s NOT MEET	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		uisuyyieyuteu yiuuuutio		Exceeds	4	1	-	
	• at or above 90%.				Meets	3	0.75	-	
	at or above 85% but below 90%.				proaching	2	0.75	-	
	• below 65%.				es Not Meet	1	0.25	-	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:			DUE	S NOT MEET	1	0.25	- 16	
Destacendencend	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1	Eveneda		4	-	25
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.				Exceeds		-	(4 for each sub-	35
Norkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-				Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009	-10 baseline).			proaching		2	-	
	• above 10%.			Doe	es Not Meet		1	-	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average	Loiorado ACT composite score	was:		1			-	
	• at or above 22.				Exceeds		4	-	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-1				Meets		3	-	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-1	u baseline).			proaching		2	-	
	• below 17.				es Not Meet		1		
ut-Points for each pe	rformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assignme	ent				
Cut	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on t	his Indicator.		Cut Point: Th	ne school e	earned	. of the to	otal Framework points eligibl	е.
Achievement; • a	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		<ul> <li>at or above</li> </ul>	e 60%				Performance
Growth; Gaps	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	<ul> <li>at or above</li> </ul>	e 47% - belo	w 60%			Improvement
• ;	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching Points • at or above 33%			e 33% - belo	w 47%		Pi	riority Improveme
• !	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	6				Turnaround
hool plan type assig	nments								
	Plan description								
erformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	rformance Plan.	A school may not implem	ent a Priority Imr	provement a	and/or Tu	rnaround F	Plan for longer than a combined to	otal of
nprovement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an I		- · ·					ture or close the school. The five	
•		•				•			
riority Improvement			- '					liately following the fall in which t	ne
urnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Tu	rnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is	s required to impl	lement a Pri	iority Imp	rovement	or Turnaround Plan.	

Scoring Guide

Level: H

# Reference

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

#### Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing		Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Pero	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 <sup>.</sup>	10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
(2009-10 101 1-year reports and 2008-10 101 3-year reports).	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

. .

### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

#### Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

#### This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	3.3	5.8	8.1	7.9
Anticipated Year	2009	3.4	7.3	8.5	
of Graduation	2010	5.1	9.5		
	2011	4.6			

#### State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

# This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	3.3	5.8	8.1	7.9
Anticipated Year	2009	3.4	7.3	8.5	
of Graduation	2010	5.1	9.5		
	2011	4.6			
	Aggregated	4	7.4	8.3	7.9

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	3.4	5.9	8.2	8
Anticipated Year	2009	3.4	7.6	8.8	
of Graduation	2010	5.1	9.5		
	2011	4.6			
	Aggregated	4.1	7.5	8.5	8

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	3.6	6.5	9.6	9.3
Anticipated Year	2009	3.4	7.7	8.6	
of Graduation	2010	4.2	9		
	2011	3.3			
	Aggregated	3.7	7.6	9.1	9.3

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	3.7	7	9.4	9.4
Anticipated Year	2009	3.7	9	12.2	
of Graduation	2010	5.4	10.4		
	2011	4			
	Aggregated	4.2	8.7	10.8	9.4

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	6.1	7.3	15.4	15.8
Anticipated Year	2009	3.3	6.5	9.7	
of Graduation	2010	0	0		
	2011	0			
	Aggregated	2.6	5	12.9	15.8

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	3.4	5.9	8.2	8
Anticipated Year	2009	3.4	7.6	8.8	
of Graduation	2010	5.1	9.5		
	2011	4.6			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	3.6	6.5	9.6	9.3
Anticipated Year	2009	3.4	7.7	8.6	
of Graduation	2010	4.2	9		
	2011	3.3			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	3.7	7	9.4	9.4
Anticipated Year	2009	3.7	9	12.2	
of Graduation	2010	5.4	10.4		
	2011	4			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	6.1	7.3	15.4	15.8
Anticipated Year	2009	3.3	6.5	9.7	
of Graduation	2010	0	0		
	2011	0			