Level: F

School: DELTA HIGH SCHOOL - 2164 District: DELTA COUNTY 50(J) - 0870 (3 Year¹)

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	56.3%	(8.4 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	67.9%	(23.8 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	61.7%	(9.3 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	81.3%	(28.5 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		70.0%	(70.0 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rate	s															
% of Students Tested						Participation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	99.4%	99.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	982	982	-	-	988	988
Mathematics	-	-	99.8%	99.8%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	988	988	-	-	990	990
Writing	-	-	99.6%	99.6%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	984	984	-	-	988	988
Science	-	-	99.6%	99.6%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	481	481	-	-	483	483
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.8%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	435	-	-	-	436	-





Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High School
School: DELTA HIGH SCHOOL							District: DELTA COUNTY 50	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	(6) 6616 (6 1661)
Reading	2	4		Approaching	933	66.99	37	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	937	31.06	51	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	935	47.91	43	
Science	2	4		Approaching	459	42.48	34	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4	727 011100	Meets	879	59	26	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	883	46	89	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	878	56	55	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	56	56	66	No
Total	9.5	14	67.9%	Meets	30			140
			07.1370	1110013				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	475	59	40	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	300	63	40	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	80	37	98	No
English Learners	3	4	-	Meets	176	59	55	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	315	56	82	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching	313			110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	3070	Approaching	477	47	97	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	301	47	96	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	80	43	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	177	47	98	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	486	48	99	No
Writing	13	20	65%	Meets	480	40	33	INU
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	03%		472		70	Na
	2	4		Approaching	297	52 56		No
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	80	40	99	No No
English Learners	3	4		Approaching Meets	174	55		
	3	4				55 		No No
Students needing to catch up Total	3 37	60	61.7%	Meets Approaching	415		94	No
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4	70 T OII1C3	Exceeds		632/ 473 /295/151	91.3/ 92.6 /91.2/89.4%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	3	4	75%	Meets		032147312331131	31.3/ 32.0 /31.2/03.4/0	00/0
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1	, 3/0	Meets		351/ 255 /160/85	88.9/ 89 /87.5/85.9%	80%
Minority Students	0.75	1		Meets		184/ 132 /92/53	89.1/ 89.4 /87/83%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.75	1		Meets		74/ 56 /36/17	81.1/ 89.3 /86.1/82.4%	80%
English Learners	0.75	1		Meets		78 /48/33/22	82.1 /77.1/72.7/68.2%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		2428	0.5%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4	-	Approaching		435	18.6	20.1
·			01.30/			433	10.0	20.1
Total	13	16	81.3%	Meets				

Scoring Guide Level: H

rformance Indicato	formance Indicators on the School Performance Frame			Datina	Doin	t Value	Total Possible per EMH Lev	ol Framowork D
rjormance maicato	3			Rating	Poin	. vaiue	Total Possible per Elvin Lev	eirramework P
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advan			Currente		4	16	
Al ! -	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-		! = \	Exceeds	1	3	16	45
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile		•	Meets	+		(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile		ine).	Approaching	1	2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba	,		Does Not Mee	t	1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perce	entile and its median student growth p	ercentile was:	Connecto	TCAP	CELA	- 14	
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
A d	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	25
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grow	vth percentile and its median student <u>c</u>	growth percentile was:	<u> </u>	TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median stude	nt growth percentile was:		 	•	_	
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	-	4	4	
	• below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	1	3	-	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Mee	· t	1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate st	tudent growth percentile and its medic	in student growth percent		1		subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	ļ	4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	-	3	_	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2	4	
	• below 40.			Does Not Mee	t	1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate/disc	iggregated graduation		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1	_	
	at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						16	
tsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
kforce Readines	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-1	0 baseline).		Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-	10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.			Does Not Mee	t	1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average C	Colorado ACT composite score was	:					
	at or above 22.			Exceeds		4	1	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10)) baseline).		Meets		3	7	
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10)) baseline).		Approaching		2	1	
	• below 17.	·		Does Not Mee	t	1		
oints for each n	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	vne assignment				
	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on the	nis Indicator.	July 3011 G		earned	of the to	otal Framework points eligib	le.
	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Carried II	51 1115 11	Jan	Performance
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - be	low 60%			Improvemen
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%		Points	• at or above 33% - be				Priority Improven
	at of above 37.3% - Delow 02.3%	Approaching	ruiits	- at 01 above 3370 - De	IU VV 47/0			Hority Improv

Cut-Points for eacl	h performan	ce indicator		Cut-Points for plan type assignment					
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on th	nis Indicator.	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	at or abov	e 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance			
Growth; Gaps	at or abov	e 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
	at or abov	e 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.	5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround			
School plan type a	ssignments								
		Plan description							
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Per	formance Plan.	A school may not implemen	nt a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for lo	nger than a combined total of			
Improvement Plan	1	The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five					
Priority Improvem	ent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pric	ority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the					
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Tur	naround Plan.	school is notified that it is r	equired to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnard	ound Plan.			

3

SPF 2012 - 0870 - 2164

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 0870 - 2164

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	88.7	88.8	88.8	89.4
Anticipated Year	2009	90.4	93.7	93.7	
of Graduation	2010	94.4	94.9		
	2011	91.1			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	85.7	85.9	85.9	85.9
Anticipated Year	2009	87	89.3	89.3	
of Graduation	2010	91.5	91.6		
	2011	90.6			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	83	83	83	83
Anticipated Year	2009	90	92.3	92.3	
of Graduation	2010	95	95		
	2011	90.2			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	76.5	77.8	77.8	82.4
Anticipated Year	2009	78.9	94.4	94.4	
of Graduation	2010	90	95		
	2011	77.8			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	68.2	68.2	68.2	68.2
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	90			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	88.7	88.8	88.8	89.4
Anticipated Year	2009	90.4	93.7	93.7	
of Graduation	2010	94.4	94.9		
	2011	91.1			
	Aggregated	91.3	92.6	91.2	89.4

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	85.7	85.9	85.9	85.9
Anticipated Year	2009	87	89.3	89.3	
of Graduation	2010	91.5	91.6		
	2011	90.6			
	Aggregated	88.9	89	87.5	85.9

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	83	83	83	83
Anticipated Year	2009	90	92.3	92.3	
of Graduation	2010	95	95		
	2011	90.2			
	Aggregated	89.1	89.4	87	83

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	76.5	77.8	77.8	82.4
Anticipated Year	2009	78.9	94.4	94.4	
of Graduation	2010	90	95		
	2011	77.8			
	Aggregated	81.1	89.3	86.1	82.4

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	68.2	68.2	68.2	68.2
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	90			
	Aggregated	82.1	77.1	72.7	68.2

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 0870 - 2164