School Performance Framework 2012					Level: MH
School: DELTA COUNTY OPPORTUNITY SCHO	OL - 2155			Distric	t: DELTA COUNTY 50(J) - 0870 (1 Year ¹)
AEC: Improvement (See	Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Alternative Education Camp SPF) (Revised)	Academic Achievement	-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	Γ
This is the plan type the school is required to add implement. Schools are assigned a plan based overall framework score, which is a percentage	n their Academic Growth	-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	I
total points they earned out of the total points in each performance indicator. The overall score matched to the score ranges below to determ	eligible is then Academic Growth Gaps	-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	I
plan type. Plan Assignment Framework Points	Postsecondary and Workforce Readi	ness Does Not Meet	32.1%	(11.2 out of 35 points)	
Performanceat or abcImprovementat or above 47% - belowPriority Improvementat or above 33% - below	ow 60% Test Participation ³	Does Not Meet 95% Participation Rate	e		
	w ^{33%} TOTAL		32.0%	(11.2 out of 35 points)	
of points earned out of points eligible. For schoo data on all indicators, the total points possible points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Ac Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and	 avith ²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points eligible, so scores are not negatively i ademic ³Schools do not receive points for test partic 	points on an indicator due to insufficient number mpacted. cipation. However, schools are assigned one plan	category lower	than their points indicate if they d	lo not (1) meet at least a 95% participation

rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

% of Students Tested				Participation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students					
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	75.0%	81.0%	80.0%	-	-	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	-	3	17	20	-	4	21	25
Mathematics	-	75.0%	86.4%	84.6%	-	-	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	-	3	19	22	-	4	22	26
Writing	-	75.0%	81.0%	80.0%	-	-	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	-	3	17	20	-	4	21	25
Science	-	66.7%	77.8%	76.2%	-	-	-	Does Not Meet	-	2	14	16	-	3	18	21
Colorado ACT	-	-	96.3%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	26	-	-	-	27	-

Improving Academic Achievement

Scł

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

1

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle School
School: DELTA COUNTY OPPORT	UNITY SCHOOL						District: DELTA COUNTY 5	0(J) - 0870 (1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	<u>·· · · ·</u>
Reading				-				
Mathematics				-				
Writing				-				
Science				-				
Total			%					
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading				-				
Mathematics				-				
Writing				-				
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)				-				
Total			%					
					Cubanaun	Subanaun Madian Cusuth	Cubanana Madian Adamsata Cuanth	Manda Adamunta
Anadamia Crowth Care	Deinte Formed	Deinte Elisible	0 Deinte	Dentin er	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading			%					
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible				-				
Minority Students		-		-				
Students with Disabilities		-		-				
English Learners				-				
Students needing to catch up				-				
Mathematics			%					
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible				-				
Minority Students				-				
Students with Disabilities				-				
English Learners				-				
Students needing to catch up				-				
Writing			%					
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible				-				
Minority Students				-				
Students with Disabilities				-				
English Learners				-				
Students needing to catch up				-				
Total			%					

School: DELTA COUNTY OPPORTUNI Academic Achievement Reading Mathematics Writing Science	TY SCHOOL Points Earned 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Points Eligible 0 0 0 0	% Points	Rating	N		District: DELTA COUNTY 50	(J) - 0870 (1 Yeai
Reading Mathematics Writing	0 0 0 0	0	% Points	Rating	N			
Mathematics Writing	0 0 0	0				% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Writing	0 0	-		-	N<16	-	-	
	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Science				-	N<16	-	-	
	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Total		0	%	-				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<20	<u> </u>	-	-
Writing	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)				-				
Total	0	0	%	-				
				- <i></i>	Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4		Does Not Meet		57/ 47 /51/40	43.9/ 48.9 /47.1/42.5%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	0.5	2	25%	Does Not Meet		25/22/24/25		0001
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		35/32/34/ 25	45.7/53.1/32.4/ 56 %	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		I<16/N<16/22/ 16	-/-/36.4/ 37.5 %	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-		6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<1	.6/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching		184	7.6%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4		Does Not Meet		26	15.5	20.0
Total	4.5	14	32.1%	Does Not Meet				

-	formance Indicators on the School Performance Fran				Ded	.	Value	Total Dessible Statis	
erformance Indicato	-				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Le	velFramework Pol
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or ad					1			
A	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200		!:>		Exceeds		3	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile	1 1			Meets			(4 for each	
Achievement	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile for the second	1 0	aseline).		Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10	•			Does Not Meet		1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth per • at or above 60.	rcentile and its median student grow	ith percentile was:	r	Excoods	TCAP 4	CELA 2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Exceeds Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	 below 60 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30. 				Approaching	2	1.5	content area	
Acadamia	below 45 but at of above 50. below 30.					1	0.5	and 2 for	
Academic		when the persentile and its modian stud	ant growth porcontilo was		Does Not Meet			-	
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its median stude	ent growth percentile was:	Ĩ	Europe de	ТСАР	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language	
	 below 70 but at or above 55. below 55 but at or above 40. 				Meets	2	1.5	proficiency)	
	• below 35 but at of above 40.				Approaching Does Not Meet	1	0.5	-	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student	t arowth percentile and its median st	udent arowth perceptile was:		Dues Not Meet	1 ×	0.5		
	• at or above 60.	t growth percentile and its median st	udent growth percentile was.	1	Exceeds	г — —	4	-	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets		3	-	
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	- 60	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate	e student arowth percentile and its m	edian student arowth nercen	tile was:	20001100111000	1	-	subgroups in 3	
Growth Gups	• at or above 70.	e stadent growth percentile and its in	ealan stadent growth percent		Exceeds	1	4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3	Subject areasy	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching		2	-	
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet		1	-	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rat	e. The school's araduation rate/	disagaregated araduation	rate was	20001100111000	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		alsaggregatea gradaation	1410 1143.	Exceeds	4	1	-	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3	0.75	-	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.				Approaching	2	0.5	-	
	• below 65%.				Does Not Meet	1	0.25	-	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:				2000 1100 1100	1 -	0.25	16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.				Exceeds	1	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readines		-10 baseline)			Meets		3	indicator)	55
orkioice keaulies	 at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2003) at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2003) 				Approaching		2	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 200 above 10%.	55-10 baseline).			Does Not Meet		1	_	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average	Colorado ACT composito scoro			Dues Not Meet	4	1		
	• at or above 22.	e coloridad Act composite score	wus.	i	Evenede	1	4	-	
	 at or above 22. at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009) 	10 basalina)			Exceeds Meets		3	-	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009)				Approaching		2	-	
	below 17.	-10 baseline).			Does Not Meet		1	-	
							1		
It-Points for each p	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan t	ype assign	ment				
Cu	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point	: The school	earned	. of the to	otal Framework points elig	ble.
Achievement;	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		at or al	bove 60%				Performance
Growth; Gaps	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework		bove 47% - belo				Improvement
•	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	at or al	bove 33% - belo	ow 47%			Priority Improvem
•	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		below	33%				Turnaround
hool plan type assi	gnments								
	Plan description								
rformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a F	Performance Plan.	A school may not impleme	nt a Priority	Improvement	and/or Tu	rnaround I	Plan for longer than a combined	total of
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an				•			cture or close the school. The fi	
•	· · ·	•	- '			•			
ority Improvemen	t Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a F	monty improvement Plan.	Consecutive school years c	unmences o	on July I during	s the sumr	ner immed	liately following the fall in whic	nune

Scoring Guide

Level: MH

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Pero	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 [.]	10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps


The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	18.2	32.5	36.6	42.5
Anticipated Year	2009	33.3	42	47.1	
of Graduation	2010	32.6	48.9		
	2011	43.9			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	18.2	32.5	36.6	42.5
Anticipated Year	2009	33.3	42	47.1	
of Graduation	2010	32.6	48.9		
	2011	43.9			
	Aggregated	33.7	41.6	42.4	42.5

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	23.8	45.8	50	56
Anticipated Year	2009	19.4	29.4	32.4	
of Graduation	2010	37.5	53.1		
	2011	45.7			
	Aggregated	32.8	42.2	40	56

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	12.5	29.4	29.4	37.5
Anticipated Year	2009	28.6	30.4	36.4	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	28.4	32.7	33.3	37.5
ordiaduation	2011	N<16		33.3	37.5

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	40	55	52.9	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

			-0/		
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	26.3	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	23.8	45.8	50	56
Anticipated Year	2009	19.4	29.4	32.4	
of Graduation	2010	37.5	53.1		
	2011	45.7			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	12.5	29.4	29.4	37.5
Anticipated Year	2009	28.6	30.4	36.4	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			