Level: EMH

District: ST VRAIN VALLEY RE 1J - 0470 (3 Year¹)

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Ī	Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
	Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(11.3 out of 15 points)	
l -	Academic Growth	Meets	82.5%	(28.9 out of 35 points)	
)	Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	74.1%	(11.1 out of 15 points)	
<u> </u>	Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	-	-	(0 out of 0 points)	
,	Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
5	TOTAL		78.9%	(51.3 out of 65 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Ra	ites															
		% of Stude	ents Tested			Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	99.9%	94.0%	99.7%	Meets	Meets	Does Not Meet	Meets	774	699	47	1520	774	700	50	1524
Mathematics	100.0%	99.7%	94.0%	99.7%	Meets	Meets	Does Not Meet	Meets	774	698	47	1519	774	700	50	1524
Writing	100.0%	99.6%	94.0%	99.6%	Meets	Meets	Does Not Meet	Meets	774	697	47	1518	774	700	50	1524
Science	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	257	208	10	475	257	208	10	475
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-





Performance Indicators							Level: Ele	ementary Scho
School: TWIN PEAKS CHARTER AC	CADEMY						District: ST VRAIN VALLEY RE	1J - 0470 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	745	83.22	78	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	744	79.3	71	
Writing	3	4		Meets	744	71.37	83	
Science	3	4		Meets	249	60.64	75	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	459	61	21	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	462	56	39	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	458	69	34	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	186	55	43	Yes
Total	12.5	14	89.3%	Exceeds				
Annahami'a Caranth Caran	Balata Farmad	Dalata Elizable	0/ Balata	Dutton	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth		Made Adequa
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	146	59	35	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	190	67	29	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-		<u>-</u>
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	110	68	33	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	92	59	59	Yes
Mathematics	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	149	49	52	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	194	57	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	114	61	49	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	89	52	75	No
Writing	16	16	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	146	64	45	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	190	67	36	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	110	65	41	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	150	69	62	Yes
Total	41	48	85.4%	Meets				

Performance Indicators							Love	el: Middle School
School: TWIN PEAKS CHARTER AG	CADEMY						District: ST VRAIN VALLEY R	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	E 13 - 0470 (5 Teal)
Reading	3	4	70 FUIILS	Meets	677	86.56	88	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	676	64.05	76	
Writing	3	4	-	Meets	675	76.74	87	
Science	3	4		Meets	205	70.74	88	
Total		16	75%	Meets	203	70.24	80	
Iotai	12	10	73/0	IVICELS				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	610	56	18	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	610	49	56	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	607	56	33	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	35	45	41	Yes
Total	9.5	14	67.9%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	173	53	31	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	227	56	29	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	29	41	58	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	129	56	36	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	76	56	68	No
Mathematics							00	
	9	20	45%	Approaching			00	140
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	9 2	20 4	45%	Approaching Approaching	173	43	70	No
			45%			43 46		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	45%	Approaching	173		70	No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students	2 2	4	45%	Approaching Approaching	173 227	46	70 62	No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners	2 2 1	4 4 4	45%	Approaching Approaching Does Not Meet	173 227 29	46 30	70 62 80	No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	2 2 1 2	4 4 4 4	45% 75%	Approaching Approaching Does Not Meet Approaching	173 227 29 129	46 30 50	70 62 80 68	No No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up	2 2 1 2 2	4 4 4 4 4		Approaching Approaching Does Not Meet Approaching Approaching	173 227 29 129	46 30 50	70 62 80 68	No No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Writing	2 2 1 2 2 2	4 4 4 4 4 20		Approaching Approaching Does Not Meet Approaching Approaching Approaching Meets	173 227 29 129 176	46 30 50 47	70 62 80 68 84	No No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Writing Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2 2 1 2 2 2 15	4 4 4 4 4 20		Approaching Approaching Does Not Meet Approaching Approaching Approaching Meets Meets	173 227 29 129 176	46 30 50 47	70 62 80 68 84	No No No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Writing Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students	2 2 1 2 2 2 15 3 3	4 4 4 4 4 20 4		Approaching Approaching Does Not Meet Approaching Approaching Approaching Meets Meets Meets	173 227 29 129 176 171 226	46 30 50 47 52 58	70 62 80 68 84 50 42	No No No No No Yes

Total

38

60

63.3%

Meets

Performance Indicators							L	evel: High School
School: TWIN PEAKS CHARTER ACAD	EMY						District: ST VRAIN VALLEY R	E 1J - 0470 (3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	46	78.26	72	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	46	43.48	76	
Writing	3	4		Meets	46	65.22	82	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	43	62	12	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	43	68	82	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	43	70	37	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	=
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-			<u> </u>	Growtin.
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20			<u>-</u>
Minority Students	0	0			N<20		-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0			N<20	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
English Learners	0	0		<u> </u>	N<20		<u>-</u>	<u> </u>
Students needing to catch up	0	0		<u> </u>	N<20		<u>-</u>	
Mathematics	4	4	100%		14<20	-	-	-
	0	0	100%	Exceeds	N-20			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20 N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
				-		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	- 76	-	- N-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	21	76	99	No
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	-
Students needing to catch up Total	0 4	0 4	100%	Exceeds	N<20	-	-	-
	-						D : 10	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr						-/-/-	-/-/-%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate			%				1112	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible				-		-/-/-	-/-/-%	80%
Minority Students				-		-/-/-	-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities				-		-/-/-	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners				-		-/-/-	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate							%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score								20.1
Total			%					

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

rformance Indicat		te Indicators on the School Performance Frame				Ro	iting	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	Framework Poi
i jorniance marcae		ool's percentage of students scoring proficient or adva	nead was:			, no	iting	7 01110	Vuiuc	Total i ossible per Elvili Eeve	Tuniework roi
		or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-				Ev	ceeds		4	16	
Academic		by the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percent		n haseline)			leets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement		by the 50th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile but at					oaching		2	content area)	13
Achievement		ow the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba		o baseille).					1	content area)	
	_	hool meets the median adequate student growth perc	•	auth narsantil	la wası	Does i	Not Meet	TCAP	CELA		
	-	ndon meets the median adequate student growth perc or above 60.	entile and its median student gro	омин регсенин	ie wus:	Ev	ceeds	4	2	14	
		ow 60 but at or above 45.					leets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
		ow 45 but at or above 45.					oaching	2	1.5	content area	
Academic	• belo						Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth			uth percentile and its median stu	udant arouth	norsontilo wası	Dues I	vot ivieet	TCAP	CELA		35
Growth		hool does not meet the median adequate student grov	wth percentile and its median stud	uaent growtn p	percentile was:	1 5		-		English	
		r above 70.					ceeds	4	2	language	
		ow 70 but at or above 55.					leets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
		ow 55 but at or above 40.					oaching	2	1	-	
		ow 40.	routh percentile and its madin	student are	th parcantila	Does I	Not Meet	1	0.5		-
	-	udent subgroup meets the median adequate student g or above 60.	nowth percentile and its median s	student grow	ui percentile was:	F	ceeds		4	†	
		ow 60 but at or above 45.					leets		3	-	
		ow 45 but at or above 45.				oaching		2	60		
A d ! -					1	-					
Academic		ow 30.	Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	45				
Growth Gaps	_	udent subgroup does not meet the median adequate s	tudent growth percentile and its i	s median stude	ent growth percent					subgroups in 3	15
		r above 70.					ceeds		4	subject areas)	
		ow 70 but at or above 55.	leets		3	4					
_		ow 55 but at or above 40.	oaching		1	-					
	• belo		Not Meet		<u> </u>						
		ation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:		Overall	Disaggr.	4					
		r above 90%.					ceeds	4	1	4	
		r above 80% but below 90%.					leets	3	0.75	-	
		r above 65% but below 80%.					oaching	2	0.5		
		ow 65%.				Does N	Not Meet	1	0.25	16	
	Dropou	ut Rate: The school's dropout rate was:									
Postsecondary and	• at o	r below 1%.				Exc	ceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	
orkforce Readines	• at o	r below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-1	.0 baseline).			M	Meets 3			indicator)	
	• at o	r below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-	-10 baseline).			Appr	oaching		2		
	• abo	ve 10%.				Does N	Not Meet		1]	
	Colora	do ACT Composite Score: The school's average (Colorado ACT composite score	re was:							
	• at o	r above 22.				Exc	ceeds		4	1	
	• at o	r above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-1	0 baseline).			M	leets		3	1	
	• at o	r above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-1	0 baseline).			Appr	oaching		2	1	
	• belo	ow 17.				Does N	Not Meet		1		
t-Points for each p	erforma	nce indicator		Cut-P	oints for plan ty	ne assignment					
_		The school earned of the points eligible on t	his Indicator	- Cut i				arnod	of the te	otal Framework points eligible	2
	at or abo							arneu	. or the to	otal Framework points eligible	
			Exceeds	Tot	tal Framework	at or above 6at or above 4		600/			Performance
		ove 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	100	Points					0.	Improvement iority Improvement
_		ove 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching		Points	• at or above 3	3% - Delu	W 47%		Pi	Turnaround
	below 37		Does Not Meet			• below 33%					Turrial Ouriu
hool plan type ass	gnments										
		Plan description									
								1/ -			
rformance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	rformance Plan.	A school	may not implemen	nt a Priority Impro	ovement a	and/or Iu	rnaround I	Plan for longer than a combined to	таі от

5

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

SPF 2012 - 0470 - 8927

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

6



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 0470 - 8927

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

<u>This School's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				
	Aggregated				

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				
	Aggregated				

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				
	Aggregated				

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				
	Aggregated				

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

7

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				
	Aggregated				

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 0470 - 8927