School: LONGMONT HIGH SCHOOL - 5282

District: ST VRAIN VALLEY RE 1J - 0470 (3 Year')

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

	Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
	Academic Achievement	Meets	68.8%	(10.3 out of 15 points)	
d r	Academic Growth	Meets	64.3%	(22.5 out of 35 points)	
e 1	Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	50.0%	(7.5 out of 15 points)	
<u>k</u>	Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	62.5%	(21.9 out of 35 points)	
6	Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
6	TOTAL		62.2%	(62.2 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates	;															
	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating Students Tes			ts Tested			Total S	Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	98.6%	98.6%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1845	1845	-	-	1872	1872
Mathematics	-	-	99.0%	99.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1853	1853	-	-	1872	1872
Writing	-	-	98.8%	98.8%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1849	1849	-	-	1872	1872
Science	-	-	98.9%	98.9%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	891	891	-	-	901	901
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.9%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	784	-	-	-	793	-



Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High School
School: LONGMONT HIGH SCHOOL							District: ST VRAIN VALLEY RE	1J - 0470 (3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	1774	70.24	43	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	1778	38.53	68	
Writing	3	4		Meets	1776	51.8	54	
Science	3	4		Meets	853	52.4	53	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	1693	51	15	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1695	50	81	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	1696	51	47	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1	2		Approaching	177	41	73	No
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets				
Total				eets	Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	666	45	53	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	568	46	54	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	104	46	97	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	320	46	71	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	512	47	84	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	669	45	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	568	47	99	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	105	50	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	320	44	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	850	50	99	No
Writing .	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	670	46	90	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	568	47	88	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	107	40	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	320	47	94	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	748	47	95	No
Total	30	60	50%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	1	220/ 945 /661/358	86/ 88.6 /88.4/88%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2	4	50%	Approaching		-,,,	22, 22.2, 30. 1, 00.4	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching		330/ 235 /142/68	74.8/ 78.3 /75.4/70.6%	80%
Minority Students	0.5	1		Approaching		317/ 231 /146/78	74.8/ 77.1 /72.6/64.1%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching		98/75/58/ 30	55.1/60/69/ 73.3 %	80%
English Learners	0.5	1		Approaching		101/ 76 /51/27	68.3/ 72.4 /70.6/63%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		4212	1.5%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		784	19.9	20.1
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets		, , ,	10.0	20.1
i ottui	10	10	02.3/0	IVICELS				

Scoring Guide Level: H

Scoring Guide for Perf	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report						
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide	·		Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	Framework Poir
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advar	nced was:			•			
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-1)	10 baseline).		Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percent	tile of all schools (using 2009-10 baselir	ne).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percent	tile of all schools (using 2009-10 baselir	ne).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 bases)	seline).		Does Not Meet	t	1	1	
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perce	entile and its median student growth pe	rcentile was:		TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grow	yth percentile and its median student gr	owth percentile was:		TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median student	growth percentile was:					
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds		4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	t	1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate st	tudent growth percentile and its median	ile was:			subgroups in 3	15	
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2		
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	t	1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate/disag	gregated graduation	rate was:	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1	1	
	at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						16	
Postsecondary and	at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Vorkforce Readiness	at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10)	0 baseline).		Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-:			Approaching		2	1	
	• above 10%.	,		Does Not Meet		1	1	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average C	olorado ACT composite score was:			1		1	
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds	l	4	1	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10)) baseline).		Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10)			Approaching	-	2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1	1	
ut-Points for each no	rformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty					
	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on the				earned	of the to	otal Framework points eligible	9
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	carried	or the to	tai i ianiework points eligible	Performance
	at or above 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - beli	ow 60%			Improvement
Growth; Gaps • a	at OI above 02.3/0 - DelOW 07.3/0	IVICELS	- Julian Halliework	at or above 47 /0 - Deli	O VV O O / O			mibiovement

Cut-Points for eac	h performan	ce indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	Cut-Points for plan type assignment					
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.		Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	• at or abov	re 87.5%	Exceeds		Performance					
Growth; Gaps	at or abov	re 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement				
	at or abov	re 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement				
	• below 37.	5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround				
School plan type a	ssignments									
		Plan description								
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Per	formance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of						
Improvement Plan	า	The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years befo	re the District or Institute is required to restructure or clos	se the school. The five				
Priority Improvem	ent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pric	rity Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years co	ommences on July 1 during the summer immediately follow	wing the fall in which the				
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Tur	naround Plan.	school is notified that it is r	required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnarou	und Plan.				

3

SPF 2012 - 0470 - 5282

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading	ng Math				Writing				Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50	
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00	
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 0470 - 5282

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	83.6	86.4	87.2	88
Anticipated Year	2009	84.6	87.5	89.7	
of Graduation	2010	88.9	92.6		
	2011	87.8			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	66.7	70.6	69.6	70.6
Anticipated Year	2009	70	77.3	80.8	
of Graduation	2010	81.1	84.8		
	2011	79.5			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	61.7	63.3	63.3	64.1
Anticipated Year	2009	78.6	83.6	83.6	
of Graduation	2010	82.1	84.7		
	2011	76.8			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	65.5	72.4	70	73.3
Anticipated Year	2009	41.9	48.3	67.9	
of Graduation	2010	52.9	58.8		
	2011	61.9			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	58.6	60.7	60.7	63
Anticipated Year	2009	75	86.4	82.6	
of Graduation	2010	72	73.1		
	2011	69.6			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	83.6	86.4	87.2	88
Anticipated Year	2009	84.6	87.5	89.7	
of Graduation	2010	88.9	92.6		
	2011	87.8			
	Aggregated	86	88.6	88.4	88

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	66.7	70.6	69.6	70.6
Anticipated Year	2009	70	77.3	80.8	
of Graduation	2010	81.1	84.8		
	2011	79.5			
	Aggregated	74.8	78.3	75.4	70.6

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	61.7	63.3	63.3	64.1
Anticipated Year	2009	78.6	83.6	83.6	
of Graduation	2010	82.1	84.7		
	2011	76.8			
	Aggregated	74.8	77.1	72.6	64.1

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	65.5	72.4	70	73.3
Anticipated Year	2009	41.9	48.3	67.9	
of Graduation	2010	52.9	58.8		
	2011	61.9			
	Aggregated	55.1	60	69	73.3

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	58.6	60.7	60.7	63
Anticipated Year	2009	75	86.4	82.6	
of Graduation	2010	72	73.1		
	2011	69.6			
	Aggregated	68.3	72.4	70.6	63

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 0470 - 5282