District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J - 0180 (3 Year1)

School: HINKLEY HIGH SCHOOL - 4024

Improvement

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	37.5%	(5.6 out of 15 points)	
- Academic Growth	Approaching	57.1%	(20.0 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	63.3%	(9.5 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	39.1%	(13.7 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		48.8%	(48.8 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Students Tested				Participation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	98.3%	98.3%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	2926	2926	-	-	2976	2976
Mathematics	-	-	98.7%	98.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	2934	2934	-	-	2974	2974
Writing	-	-	98.3%	98.3%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	2925	2925	-	-	2977	2977
Science	-	-	97.9%	97.9%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1485	1485	-	-	1517	1517
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.1%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	1297	-	-	-	1322	-





						Le	vel: High Schoo
					Į.	District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 2	28J - 0180 (3 Year
Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
1	4		Does Not Meet	2648	51.21	11	
2	4		Approaching	2655	20.98	27	
1	4		Does Not Meet	2646	26.87	12	
2	4		Approaching	1339	28.16	15	
6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
						Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
3	4			2485	54	48	Yes
	4						No
							No
							No
		57 1%		11.0			110
	27	37.170	Арргоаспіп				
				Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
11	20	55%	Approaching				
2	4		Approaching	1895	54	56	No
3	4		Meets	2105	54	54	Yes
2	4		Approaching	202	47	98	No
2	4		Approaching	1505	54	58	No
2	4		Approaching	1195	54	87	No
14	20	70%	Meets				
3	4		Meets	1895	55	99	No
3	4		Meets	2107	55	99	No
2	4			204	52	99	No
3	4		Meets	1506	56	99	No
3	4		Meets	1690	57	99	No
13	20	65%	Meets				
2	4		Approaching	1883	54	92	No
	4						No
2	4			190	44	99	No
3	4	- 1		1495	56	94	No
	4					97	No
38	60	63.3%	Meets				
Points Farned	Points Fliaible	% Points	Ratina		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
		,	-	10		•	80%
		31.3%			, 100, 100	30, 03.3, 03.0, 04.370	
				1:	352/930/ 583 /273	55.3/63.5/ 67 .1/64 1%	80%
							80%
							80%
							80%
							3.9%
							20.1
_	-		POCS HOLIVICEL		1431	10.0	20.1
	1 2 1 2 6 Points Earned 3 2 2 1 8 Points Earned 11 2 3 2 2 2 14 3 3 2 2 2 14 3 3 2 2 2 2 14 3 3 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	1 4 2 4 1 4 2 4 6 16 Points Eligible 3 4 2 4 1 2 8 14 Points Eligible 11 20 2 4 3 4 2 4 2 4 3 4 2 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 2 4 3 4 2 4 3 4 2 4 3 4 2 4 3 4 2 4 3	1 4 2 4 1 4 2 4 6 16 37.5% Points Earned Points Eligible % Points 2 4 57.1% Points Earned Points Eligible % Points 11 20 55% 2 4 2 3 4 2 2 4 2 4 20 70% 3 4 3 4 20 70% 3 4 4 2 4 4 3 4 4 2 4 4 3 4 4 2 4 4 3 4 4 3 4 4 3 4 4 3 4 4 3 4 4 4 3 4 2 4 4 3 <	1 4 Approaching 1 4 Does Not Meet 2 4 Approaching 6 16 37.5% Approaching 6 16 37.5% Approaching 8 4 Meets 9 4 Approaching 1 2 4 Approaching 1 2 4 Approaching 1 2 4 Approaching 3 4 Meets 4 Approaching Approaching 2 4 Approaching 3 4 Meets 4 Approaching Approaching 4 4 Approaching 4 4 Approaching 3 4 Meets 3 4	1 4 Does Not Meet 2648 2 4 Approaching 2655 1 4 Does Not Meet 2646 2 4 Approaching 1339 6 16 37.5% Approaching N 3 4 Meets 2485 2 4 Approaching 2487 2 4 Approaching 2487 2 4 Approaching 2487 2 4 Approaching 2488 1 2 Approaching 2468 1 2 Approaching 148 8 14 57.1% Approaching 148 8 14 57.1% Approaching 148 8 14 57.1% Approaching 1895 11 20 55% Approaching 1895 3 4 Meets 2105 2 4 Approaching 1505 <	Points Earned	Points Earned Points Eligible % Point Name Server Serv

Scoring Guide Level: H

formance inalcato	or Scoring Guide			Rating	Point Value Total Possible per E		Total Possible per EMH Le	vel Framework Po
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or adva	ınced was:		<u> </u>				
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-	-10 baseline).		Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile	ntile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba	iseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile.	ntile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba	iseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba	aseline).		Does Not Mee	t	1	1	
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perc		h percentile was:		TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Mee	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student group	wth percentile and its median studer	nt growth percentile was:	•	TCAP	CELA	English	
C. C. I. I. I.	• at or above 70.		or grown personner maer	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1	- proneiency)	
	• below 40.			Does Not Mee	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student of	arowth nercentile and its median stu	dent arowth percentile was:	DOCS NOT WICE	4 +	0.5		
	• at or above 60.	growth percentile and its median sta	dent growin percentile was.	Exceeds	T	4	1	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3	1	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Mee		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate s	student growth percentile and its me	edian student arowth percent		1	_	subgroups in 3	15
Growth Gaps	• at or above 70.	student growth percentile und its me	dian stadent growth percent	Exceeds	l	4	subject areas)	15
	• below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	-	3	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 33. below 55 but at or above 40.					2	-	
	• below 40.			Approaching Does Not Mee		1	-	
		The selection was direction was a /d			Overall			
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate/a	isaggregatea graduation			Disaggr.	_	
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1	_	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75	_	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5	_	
	• below 65%.			Does Not Mee	1 1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						16	
stsecondary and	at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
rkforce Readines	 at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-1 	LO baseline).		Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-	-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.			Does Not Mee	t	1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average (Colorado ACT composite score w	vas:					
	at or above 22.			Exceeds		4	1	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-1)	0 baseline).		Meets		3	7	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-1	0 baseline).		Approaching		2	7	
	• below 17.			Does Not Mee	t	1	1	
Points for each p	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	ne assignment				
	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on t	his Indicator			earned	of the to	otal Framework points eligi	hle
	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	carried	. or the t	otal Francework points engi	Performance
cinevellient,	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - bel	ow 60%			Improvement
rowth: Gans								
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Annroaching	Dointe	l •atorahova 33% -hal	OW 17%			Priority Improvem
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% • below 37.5%	Approaching Does Not Meet	Points	 at or above 33% - bel below 33% 	ow 47%			Priority Improvem Turnaround

3

Performance Plan

Improvement Plan

Turnaround Plan

Priority Improvement Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.

SPF 2012 - 0180 - 4024

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of

five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 0180 - 4024

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	53.1	57.8	62.1	64.9
Anticipated Year	2009	56.3	65.1	69	
of Graduation	2010	55.7	67.5		
	2011	58.5			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	51.1	56.2	61.6	64.1
Anticipated Year	2009	55.9	66.1	72	
of Graduation	2010	55.4	67.1		
	2011	57.4			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	51	56	61.1	63.6
Anticipated Year	2009	52.7	63.1	67.5	
of Graduation	2010	54.3	67		
	2011	56.3			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	15.5	25.4	43.9	57.1
Anticipated Year	2009	26.5	38.8	47.8	
of Graduation	2010	20.9	28.6		
	2011	31.6			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	49.5	53.6	60.4	62.1
Anticipated Year	2009	41.1	54.5	59.2	
of Graduation	2010	48.4	59.3		
	2011	44			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	53.1	57.8	62.1	64.9
Anticipated Year	2009	56.3	65.1	69	
of Graduation	2010	55.7	67.5		
	2011	58.5			
	Aggregated	56	63.5	65.6	64.9

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	51.1	56.2	61.6	64.1
Anticipated Year	2009	55.9	66.1	72	
of Graduation	2010	55.4	67.1		
	2011	57.4			
	Aggregated	55.3	63.5	67.1	64.1

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	51	56	61.1	63.6
Anticipated Year	2009	52.7	63.1	67.5	
of Graduation	2010	54.3	67		
	2011	56.3			
	Aggregated	53.8	62.1	64.3	63.6

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	15.5	25.4	43.9	57.1
Anticipated Year	2009	26.5	38.8	47.8	
of Graduation	2010	20.9	28.6		
	2011	31.6			
	Aggregated	23.7	30.7	45.6	57.1

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	49.5	53.6	60.4	62.1
Anticipated Year	2009	41.1	54.5	59.2	
of Graduation	2010	48.4	59.3		
	2011	44			
	Aggregated	45.8	55.7	59.9	62.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 0180 - 4024