School Performance Framework 2012

School: AURORA FRONTIER K-8 - 0465

-		r			
U	Δr	ΓΛ	rm	ar	ice
	CI	IU		aı	ILC

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Test Par
Performance	at or above 59%	
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	TOTAL
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	
Turnaround	below 37%	² Schools r

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	71.9%	(18.0 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	82.1%	(41.1 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	72.5%	(18.1 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

77.2%

(77.2 out of 100 points)

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

mproving

Academic

Achievement

SCHOOLVIew.org

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.9%	99.8%	-	99.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	689	587	-	1276	690	588	-	1278
Mathematics	100.0%	99.7%	-	99.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	688	588	-	1276	688	590	-	1278
Writing	100.0%	99.8%	-	99.9%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	688	587	-	1275	688	588	-	1276
Science	99.5%	99.5%	-	99.5%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	219	181	-	400	220	182	-	402
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J - 0180 (3 Year¹)

Level: EM

Final plan type based on: 1 Year SPF report.

Performance Indicators							Level: E	lementary Schoo
School: AURORA FRONTIER K-8							District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE	28J - 0180 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	663	72.7	51	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	662	70.09	49	
Writing	3	4		Meets	662	59.52	58	
Science	3	4		Meets	212	52.36	60	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4	/	Meets	406	49	31	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	406	51	49	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	405	45	37	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	161	50	42	Yes
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets				
					Carl and a		Coloren Mardine Adamsta	
	Delate Francia		01 Delinte	Destina	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	<u>N</u>	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	145	47	39	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	262	49	32	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	26	29	59	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	89	54	37	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	113	54	59	No
Mathematics	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	145	51	57	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	262	52	50	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	26	33	67	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	88	62	52	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	106	59	76	No
Writing	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	144	43	46	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	261	47	38	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	26	42	73	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	88	47	40	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	166	49	62	No
Total	37	60	61.7%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators							Level	: Middle Schoo
School: AURORA FRONTIER K-8							District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE	28J - 0180 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	•
Reading	3	4		Meets	550	72.73	52	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	550	66.36	79	
Writing	3	4		Meets	550	61.64	56	
Science	3	4		Meets	165	54.55	63	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	533	60	32	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	533	68	61	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	533	57	48	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	49	57	51	Yes
Total	12.5	14	89.3%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	16	20	80%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	214	59	36	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	373	61	33	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	52	50	72	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	141	65	33	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	162	59	68	No
Mathematics	18	20	90%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	215	73	64	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	374	69	63	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	52	69	94	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	141	72	61	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	180	67	95	No
Writing	16	20	80%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	214	60	52	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	373	56	48	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	52	53	82	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	141	62	52	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	204	57	80	No
Total	50	60	83.3%	Meets				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Scoring Guide										Level: EN				
		e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report			D. Mar	0.1	14.1	T. (.) D					
Performance Indi						Rating	Point	value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	Framework Point				
		pol's percentage of students scoring proficient or ad			r				10					
A J ! .		r above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200	•			Exceeds	4		16					
Academic		by the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per				Meets			(4 for each	25				
Achievement		ow the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per	1 0		Approaching			content area)						
		w the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10			Does Not Meet		1							
		hool meets the median adequate student growth pe	ercentile and its median student g	rowth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA						
		r above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14					
		ow 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each					
		ow 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area					
Academic	• belo					Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50				
Growth	If the sc	hool does not meet the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median s	tudent growth percentile w	las:		TCAP	CELA	English					
		r above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language					
		ow 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)					
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2	1						
	• belo					Does Not Meet	1	0.5						
		udent subgroup meets the median adequate studen	t growth percentile and its media	n student growth percentile	e was:									
		r above 60.				Exceeds								
	• belo	ow 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3							
	• belo	ow 45 but at or above 30.		Approaching				2	60					
Academic	• belo	ow 30.				Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5					
Growth Gaps	s If the st	udent subgroup does not meet the median adequat	e student growth percentile and it	s median student growth percentile was:					subgroups in 3	25				
	• at o	r above 70.				Exceeds	4		subject areas)					
	• belo	ow 70 but at or above 55.												
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching		2						
	• belo	ow 40.			Does Not Meet		1							
It-Points for eac	ch performa	nce indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assign	ment								
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: T	he school ea	rned	of the	total Framework points eligil	ble.				
Achievement:	• at or abo	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or abov	/e 59%				Performance				
Growth; Gaps	• at or abo	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or abov	ve 47% - below	59%			Improvement				
C. C. I. I. J. Cupo		ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Points	• at or abov	ve 37% - below	47%		F	riority Improvement					
	below 37			• below 379	%				Turnaround					
chool plan type			Does Not Meet											
		Plan description												
erformance Plar		The school is required to adopt and implement a Po	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of											
nprovement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an	Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five										
riority Improven		The school is required to adopt and implement a Pi	1	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the										
	The school is required to deopt and implemented in horizy improvement i have				consecutive school years commences on sury 1 during the summer minimediately following the fall III which the									

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

ting Guid

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Loval, EN

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)													
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50	
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	
	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)													
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading		Math			Writing			Science			
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.