

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

| Plan Assignment | Framework Points Earned |
| :--- | ---: |
| Performance | at or above 59\% |
| Improvement | at or above 47\% - below $59 \%$ |
| Priority Improvement | at or above $37 \%$ - below $47 \%$ |
| Turnaround | below $37 \%$ |

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

| Performance Indicators | Rating/Plan | \% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Academic Achievement | Meets | $\mathbf{7 5 . 0 \%}$ | $(18.8$ out of 25 points $)$ |
| Academic Growth | Meets | $\mathbf{6 4 . 3 \%}$ | $(32.2$ out of 50 points $)$ |
| Academic Growth Gaps | Approaching | $\mathbf{5 5 . 0 \%}$ | $(13.8$ out of 25 points ) |

## Test Participation ${ }^{3} \quad$ Meets 95\% Participation Rate

TOTAL $64.8 \% \quad(64.8$ out of 100 points ) (
${ }^{2}$ Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.
${ }^{3}$ Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a $95 \%$ participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a $95 \%$ participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

## Test Participation Rates

|  | \% of Students Tested |  |  |  | Participation Rating |  |  |  | Students Tested |  |  |  | Total Students |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Content Area | Elem | Middle | High | Overall | Elem | Middle | High | Overall | Elem | Middle | High | Overall | Elem | Middle | High | Overall |
| Reading | - | 99.3\% | - | 99.3\% | - | Meets | - | Meets | - | 2351 | - | 2351 | - | 2367 | - | 2367 |
| Mathematics | - | 99.3\% | - | 99.3\% | - | Meets | - | Meets | - | 2350 | - | 2350 | - | 2367 | - | 2367 |
| Writing | - | 99.1\% | - | 99.1\% | - | Meets | - | Meets | - | 2345 | - | 2345 | - | 2367 | - | 2367 |
| Science | - | 98.8\% | - | 98.8\% | - | Meets | - | Meets | - | 765 | - | 765 | - | 774 | - | 774 |
| Colorado ACT | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Level: Middle School School: GODDARD MIDDLE SCHOOL

| Academic Achievement | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $N$ | \% Proficient/Advanced | School's Percentile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | 3 |  |  | Meets | 2257 | 74.17 | 56 |  |
| Mathematics | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 2256 | 65.2 | 77 |  |
| Writing | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 2251 | 63.62 | 62 |  |
| Science | 3 | , |  | Meets | 726 | 55.65 | 65 |  |
| Total | 12 | 16 | 75\% | Meets |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Growth | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $N$ | Median Growth Percentile | Median Adequate Growth Percentile | Made Adequate Growth? |
| Reading | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 2156 | 52 | 23 | Yes |
| Mathematics | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 2153 | 51 | 55 | No |
| Writing | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 2145 | 46 | 40 | Yes |
| English Language Proficiency (CELApro) | 1 | 2 |  | Approaching | 168 | 50 | 59 | No |
| Total | 9 | 14 | 64.3\% | Meets |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Growth Gaps | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $\begin{gathered} \text { Subgroup } \\ N \end{gathered}$ | Subgroup Median Growth Percentile | Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile | Made Adequate Growth? |
| Reading | 13 | 20 | 65\% | Meets |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 781 | 48 | 43 | Yes |
| Minority Students | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 757 | 51 | 38 | Yes |
| Students with Disabilities | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 200 | 46 | 72 | No |
| English Learners | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 381 | 55 | 52 | Yes |
| Students needing to catch up | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 537 | 54 | 66 | No |
| Mathematics | 11 | 20 | 55\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 780 | 51 | 76 | No |
| Minority Students | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 753 | 53 | 71 | No |
| Students with Disabilities | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 199 | 45 | 95 | No |
| English Learners | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 381 | 54 | 77 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 633 | 56 | 91 | No |
| Writing | 9 | 20 | 45\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 780 | 43 | 65 | No |
| Minority Students | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 757 | 45 | 56 | No |
| Students with Disabilities | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 197 | 39 | 84 | No |
| English Learners | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 383 | 49 | 68 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 716 | 46 | 79 | No |
| Total | 33 | 60 | 55\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |



## Reference

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report




 based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

## Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

|  | Reading |  |  | Math |  |  | Writing |  |  | Science |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High |
| N of Schools | 1008 | 479 | 327 | 1007 | 480 | 327 | 1007 | 480 | 327 | 912 | 407 | 286 |
| 15th percentile | 49.18 | 50.44 | 54.92 | 48.60 | 29.72 | 15.97 | 32.48 | 34.95 | 30.96 | 19.6 | 23.85 | 27.50 |
| 50th percentile | 1.6 | 71.43 | 7.33 | 70.89 | 52.48 | 33.52 | 53.52 | 57.7 | 50.0 | 47.5 | 48. | 50.00 |
| 90th percentile | 89.10 | 88.24 | 87.23 | 89.34 | 75.00 | 54.79 | 76.83 | 79.67 | 72.24 | 75.96 | 75.11 | 72.4 |

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

|  | Reading |  |  | Math |  |  | Writing |  |  | Science |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High |
| N of Schools | 1032 | 507 | 362 | 1032 | 507 | 361 | 1032 | 507 | 362 | 972 | 469 | 347 |
| 15th percentile | 50.00 | 50.56 | 53.34 | 48.73 | 29.69 | 13.49 | 32.56 | 36.84 | 30.00 | 20.46 | 25.00 | 27.93 |
| 50th percentile | 72.05 | 71.35 | 72.21 | 70.11 | 51.53 | 30.53 | 54.84 | 58.34 | 49.57 | 45.36 | 48.72 | 50.00 |
| 90th percentile | 88.21 | 87.40 | 86.17 | 87.48 | 74.41 | 52.19 | 76.51 | 79.17 | 71.00 | 72.65 | 71.26 | 71.45 |

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterionreferenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10 th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.


The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.
For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

