School Performance Framework 2012

School: WESTGATE CHARTER - 9431

Level: EM

District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS - 0020 (1 Year¹)

_				
I 1	mp	rov	em	ent

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	lest
Performance	at or above 59%	
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	тот
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	
Turnaround	below 37%	² Scho

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	62.5%	(15.6 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	54.2%	(27.1 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	50.0%	(12.5 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		55.2%	(55.2 out of 100 points)	

¹% ²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

Framework points are calculated using the percentage ³Schools of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

Improving

Academic

Achievement

SCHOOLVIew.org

	% of Students Tested			Participation Rating			Students Tested					Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	97.2%	-	98.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	96	70	-	166	96	72	-	168
Mathematics	100.0%	95.8%	-	98.2%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	96	69	-	165	96	72	-	168
Writing	100.0%	97.2%	-	98.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	96	70	-	166	96	72	-	168
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	31	20	-	51	31	20	-	51
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Performance Indicators							Level: E	ementary Schoo
School: WESTGATE CHARTER						Distri	ct: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHO	OLS - 0020 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	96	76.04	60	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	96	66.67	41	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	96	41.67	27	
Science	2	4		Approaching	31	41.94	41	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	56	49	26	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	56	29	42	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	55	38	45	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	2	4	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0			N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	23	43	62	No
Total	2	4	50%	Approaching				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Performance Indicators							Leve	: Middle Schoo
School: WESTGATE CHARTER						Di	strict: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOO	DLS - 0020 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	70	84.29	81	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	69	68.12	78	
Writing	3	4		Meets	70	64.29	64	
Science	2	4		Approaching	20	45	44	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N		Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	66	49	19	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	65	44	43	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	66	47	41	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro	-			-				
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				

coring Guide										Level: El		
coring Guide for	Performance In	dicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report									
Performance Indi	catorScoring Gu	ide				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Le	velFramework Poin		
-	The school's	percentage of students scoring proficient or ad	vanced was:				1					
	at or abo	we the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200	9-10 baseline).			Exceeds		4	16			
Academic	below th	e 90th percentile but at or above the 50th perc	entile of all schools (using 2009-1	10 baseline).		Meets	3		(4 for each	25		
Achievemen	t • below th	e 50th percentile but at or above the 15th perc	entile of all schools (using 2009-1	10 baseline).		Approaching		2	content area)			
	 below th 	e 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10	baseline).	Does Not Meet		1						
	If the school	meets the median adequate student growth pe	rcentile and its median student g	rowth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA				
	 at or abo 	ove 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14			
	• below 60) but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each			
	below 45	5 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area			
Academic	below 30).				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50		
Growth	If the school	does not meet the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its median st	tudent growth percentile v	vas:		TCAP	CELA	English			
	at or abo	ove 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language			
	• below 70) but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)				
	below 55	5 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1					
	• below 40).				Does Not Meet	1	0.5				
	If the studen	t subgroup meets the median adequate studen	t growth percentile and its media	n student growth percenti	le was:		-					
	at or abo	ove 60.		Exceeds		4						
	below 60) but at or above 45.		Meets		3						
	below 45	5 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60			
Academic	below 30).				Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5			
Growth Gaps	s If the studen	t subgroup does not meet the median adequate	e student growth percentile and it	s median student growth	15:			subgroups in 3	25			
	 at or abo 	ove 70.		Exceeds		4	subject areas)					
	• below 70) but at or above 55.		Meets		3						
	below 55	5 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2						
	• below 40).				Does Not Meet		1				
t-Points for eac	ch performance i	indicator		Cut-Points for plan	n type assig	nment						
	Cut Point: The s	chool earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point:	The school ea	rned	of the	total Framework points el	gible.		
Achievement;	• at or above 87	.5%	Exceeds		 at or ab 	ove 59%				Performance		
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62	.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or ab	ove 47% - below	59%			Improvement		
	• at or above 37	.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or ab	ove 37% - below	47%			Priority Improveme		
	below 37.5% Does Not Meet			7%				Turnaround				
hool plan type	assignments											
	Plar	n description										
erformance Plar	n The	school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	rformance Plan.	A school may not imple	ment a Priori	ty Improvement a	and/or T	urnarou	und Plan for longer than a comb	ined total of		
nprovement Pla	n The	school is required to adopt and implement an I	mprovement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five								
iority Improver	nent Plan The	school is required to adopt and implement a Pr	iority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school year	s commence	s on July 1 during	the sun	nmer im	mediately following the fall in v	vhich the		
· · ·				1 [']		. 0						

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math				Writing				
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	Advanced	d by Pero	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 [.]	10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	407 23.85 48.00 75.11 7 eline) Science Middle 469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.