School Performance Framework 2012

School: STEM SCHOOL - 8275

District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS - 0020 (3 Year¹)

P	erfo	rma	anc	e

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their A overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then **A** matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Test
Performance	at or above 59%	
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	тот
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	
Turnaround	below 37%	² Scho

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	89.3%	(22.3 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	67.3%	(33.7 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	65.9%	(16.5 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		72.5%	(72.5 out of 100 points)	

ools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

Improving

Academic

Achievement

SCHOOLVIew.org

	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	198	103	-	301	198	103	-	301
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	198	103	-	301	198	103	-	301
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	198	103	-	301	198	103	-	301
Science	100.0%	0.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	49	0	-	49	49	0	-	49
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Performance Indicators							Level: E	lementary Scho
School: STEM SCHOOL						Distri	ict: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHO	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	•
Reading	3	4		Meets	197	86.8	87	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	197	88.32	90	
Writing	3	4		Meets	197	68.02	77	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	49	81.63	96	
Total	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4	/01/01/16	Meets	136	56	23	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	130	56	35	Yes
Writing	2	4		Approaching	137	41	36	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	24	48	38	Yes
Total	9.5	14	67.9%	Meets		10		103
	5.5	14	07.570	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	22	69	28	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	35	49	29	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	27	66	68	No
Mathematics	6	8	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	22	41	50	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	36	61	48	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	22	44	46	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	36	43	42	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	50	53	58	No
Total	22	32	68.8%	Meets				

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle School
School: STEM SCHOOL						Distri	ct: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHO	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	<u> </u>
Reading	3	4		Meets	103	87.38	89	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	103	81.55	95	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	103	81.55	92	
Science	0	0		-				
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	97	53	18	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	98	53	35	Yes
Writing	2	4		Approaching	98	41	36	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
					Subaroun	Subarous Modian Crowth	Subarous Modian Adoquato	Made Adequate
Acadomic Crowth Cana	Dointe Formod	Dointe Fligible	% Points	Dating	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4	75%	Meets	NI 00			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	23	45	26	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0			-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20 N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up Mathematics	-		E 00/	-	N<20	-	-	-
	2	4	50%	Approaching	N -20			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	23 N<20	50	62	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-		
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20			-
Writing	2	4	50%	Annroaching	11<20	-	-	-
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	50%	Approaching	N<20	<u> </u>	_	
	2	4		Approaching	23	41	- 48	 No
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0	0		Approaching	23 N<20	41	- 48	NO
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-		
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20			-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching	111-20	-	-	-
IUlai	/	12	30.3%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide										Level: EN		
¥		e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	mework Report			Dullas	0.1	14.1				
Performance Indi						Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Lev	elFramework Poin		
		ool's percentage of students scoring proficient or aa			T			-	10			
		r above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200		101 11 1		Exceeds 4			16			
Academic		ow the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per			Meets		3	(4 for each	25			
Achievement		ow the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per	1 0		pproaching		2	content area)				
		ow the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10		Do	es Not Meet		1					
		hool meets the median adequate student growth pe	ercentile and its median student <u>c</u>	growth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA				
		r above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14			
		ow 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each			
		ow 45 but at or above 30.			A	pproaching	2	1	content area			
Academic	• belo	ow 30.			Do	es Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50		
Growth	If the sc	hool does not meet the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median s	student growth percentile w	vas:		TCAP	CELA	English			
	• at o	r above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language			
	• belo	ow 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)			
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.			A	pproaching	2	1				
	• belo	ow 40.			Do	es Not Meet	1	0.5	1			
	If the st	udent subgroup meets the median adequate studen	t growth percentile and its medic	an student growth percentile	le was:							
	• at o	r above 60.	· · ·	· ·		Exceeds	1					
	• belo	ow 60 but at or above 45.				Meets		3	1			
	• belo	ow 45 but at or above 30.			A	pproaching		2	60			
Academic	• belo	ow 30.			Do	es Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5			
Growth Gaps	If the st	udent subgroup does not meet the median adequat	e student growth percentile and i	its median student growth p	percentile was:				subgroups in 3	25		
	-	r above 70.		······································		Exceeds	1 .	4	subject areas)			
		by 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3	subject a cusy			
	• belo	ow 55 but at or above 40.			A	pproaching		2				
	• belo					es Not Meet		1				
ut-Points for eac	h performa	nce indicator		Cut-Points for plan	n type assignme	ent						
		he school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.				rned	of the	total Framework points elig	jible.		
Achievement;	• at or abo	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above §	59%				Performance		
Growth; Gaps	• at or abo	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 4	47% - below	59%			Improvement		
<i>,</i> ,	• at or abo	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Points	• at or above 3	37% - below	47%			Priority Improvemen			
	• below 37	.5%		• below 37%					Turnaround			
hool plan type :	assignments											
		Plan description										
erformance Plar		The school is required to adopt and implement a P	erformance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of								
nprovement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an	Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five								
riority Improven		The school is required to adopt and implement a P	riority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years	s commences on J	July 1 during	the sum	nmer imi	mediately following the fall in w	hich the		
• • •		· · · · · · ·										

a Guid

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Loval, EN

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing				
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	Advanced	d by Pere	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 [.]	10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.