School: LEGACY HIGH SCHOOL - 5043

District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS - 0020 (3 Year1)

# **Performance**

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

				DISTRICT. ADAIVIS	12 11VL 31AK 3C1100L3 - 0020 (3 1eai )
	Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
	Academic Achievement	Meets	81.3%	( 12.2 out of 15 points )	
d ir e	Academic Growth	Meets	71.4%	( 25.0 out of 35 points )	
e n e	Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	73.3%	( 11.0 out of 15 points )	
<u>d</u>	Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	71.9%	( 25.2 out of 35 points )	
% %	Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
% e	TOTAL		73.4%	( 73.4 out of 100 points )	

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates	5															
		Participo	ation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students						
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	98.8%	98.8%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	3166	3166	-	-	3206	3206
Mathematics	-	-	99.1%	99.1%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	3178	3178	-	-	3206	3206
Writing	-	-	98.8%	98.8%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	3172	3172	-	-	3210	3210
Science	-	-	98.8%	98.8%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1556	1556	-	-	1575	1575
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.3%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	1410	-	-	-	1420	-





Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
School: LEGACY HIGH SCHOOL						District	: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOO	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	,
Reading	3	4		Meets	3078	82.2	82	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	3090	56.47	92	
Writing	3	4		Meets	3084	65.24	82	'
Science	3	4		Meets	1506	66.14	84	
Total	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4	70 1 011115	Meets	2949	56	8	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	2955	56	48	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	2952	57	30	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1	2			114	47	63	No
			71 /0/	Approaching	114	47	03	INU
Total	10	14	71.4%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	16	20	80%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	498	56	29	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	768	60	14	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	152	47	94	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	329	65	19	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	556	56	77	No
Mathematics	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	496	59	94	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	770	58	71	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	151	58	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	328	60	72	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	1012	65	99	No
Writing	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	501	54	74	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	767	56	46	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	154	46	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	329	59	53	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	943	57	88	No
Total .	44	60	73.3%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	19	906/1429/972/ <b>516</b>	85/87.3/87/ <b>89.1</b> %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2.5	4	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching		300/214/123/ <b>57</b>	67.7/71.5/71.5/ <b>73.7</b> %	80%
Minority Students	0.75	1		Meets		465/348/235/ <b>122</b>	81.9/85.1/84.3/ <b>86.1</b> %	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching		144/102/61/ <b>33</b>	56.9/69.6/77/ <b>78.8</b> %	80%
English Learners	0.75	1		Meets		122/89/61/ <b>27</b>	77/79.8/77/ <b>85.2</b> %	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		6817	1.4%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	3	4		Meets		1410	21.9	20.1
	-	-				-		

Scoring Guide Level: H

	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report						
rformance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	: Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	el Framework Po
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advan							
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-1)			Exceeds	+	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile.	tile of all schools (using 2009-10 bas	seline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percent	tile of all schools (using 2009-10 bas	seline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 bas	seline).		Does Not Mee	1	1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perce	entile and its median student growth	n percentile was:		TCAP	CELA		
	at or above 60.			Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grow	th percentile and its median studen	t growth percentile was:		TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student gr	rowth percentile and its median stud	dent growth percentile was:					
	at or above 60.			Exceeds		4	1	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	+	3	_	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Mee	t	1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate st	udent growth percentile and its med	dian student growth percent	ile was:			subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2		
	• below 40.			Does Not Mee	t	1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate/di	isaggregated graduation	rate was:	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.25	]	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						16	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
rkforce Readiness	at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10)	) baseline).		Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-1	LO baseline).		Approaching		2	1	
	• above 10%.			Does Not Mee	t	1	7	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Co	olorado ACT composite score w	as:	<u> </u>	•		1	
	• at or above 22.	•		Exceeds	I	4	1	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10)	baseline).		Meets		3	1	
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10)	-		Approaching		2	1	
	• below 17.	,		Does Not Mee	t	1	1	
Points for each ne	rformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty					
	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator	cat-rollits for plants		earned	of the to	otal Framework points eligib	le.
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	curricu	. or the tt	za. Famework points engin	Performance
	at or above 67.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - bel	ow 60%			Improvement
,			Points	• at or above 33% - bel				riority Improvem
•	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Pullis	- at 01 above 33% - Del	UW 4/70			Honty Improvem

Cut-Points for each	n performan	ce indicator		Cut-Points for plan type assignment						
	Cut Point: Th	ne school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.		Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	at or abov	e 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance				
Growth; Gaps	at or abov	e 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement				
	<ul> <li>at or abov</li> </ul>	e 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement				
	• below 37.5	5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround				
School plan type a	ssignments									
		Plan description								
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Perf	ormance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of						
Improvement Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years befo	re the District or Institute is required to restructure or clos	e the school. The five				
<b>Priority Improvem</b>	ent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Prio	rity Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years co	ommences on July 1 during the summer immediately follow	ving the fall in which the				
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Turr	naround Plan.	school is notified that it is r	equired to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnarou	nd Plan.				

3

SPF 2012 - 0020 - 5043

# 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

#### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Elem Middle High		Elem	n Middle High		Elem Middle High		Elem	Middle High		
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 0020 - 5043

## **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

# <u>This School's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	84.2	88.2	88.6	89.1
Anticipated Year	2009	82	84.3	85.2	
of Graduation	2010	85.8	89.4		
	2011	88.2			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	63.9	71.2	72.4	73.7
Anticipated Year	2009	66.7	69.7	70.8	
of Graduation	2010	61.3	73		
	2011	78.8			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	79.8	83.2	84	86.1
Anticipated Year	2009	80.2	84.5	84.5	
of Graduation	2010	81.3	87.6		
	2011	86.7			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	68.6	78.1	81.3	78.8
Anticipated Year	2009	61.8	68.8	72.4	
of Graduation	2010	51.2	63.2		
	2011	47.1			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	85.2	85.2	85.2	85.2
Anticipated Year	2009	61.8	70.6	70.6	
of Graduation	2010	82.1	85.7		
	2011	81.8			

#### State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

# This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	84.2	88.2	88.6	89.1
Anticipated Year	2009	82	84.3	85.2	
of Graduation	2010	85.8	89.4		
	2011	88.2			
	Aggregated	85	87.3	87	89.1

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	63.9	71.2	72.4	73.7
Anticipated Year	2009	66.7	69.7	70.8	
of Graduation	2010	61.3	73		
	2011	78.8			
	Aggregated	67.7	71.5	71.5	73.7

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	79.8	83.2	84	86.1
Anticipated Year	2009	80.2	84.5	84.5	
of Graduation	2010	81.3	87.6		
	2011	86.7			
	Aggregated	81.9	85.1	84.3	86.1

### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	68.6	78.1	81.3	78.8
Anticipated Year	2009	61.8	68.8	72.4	
of Graduation	2010	51.2	63.2		
	2011	47.1			
	Aggregated	56.9	69.6	77	78.8

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		, 00			
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	85.2	85.2	85.2	85.2
Anticipated Year	2009	61.8	70.6	70.6	
of Graduation	2010	82.1	85.7		
	2011	81.8			
	Aggregated	77	79.8	77	85.2

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 0020 - 5043