School: HORIZON HIGH SCHOOL - 4108

District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS - 0020 (1 Year1)

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

			DISTRICT. ADAIVIS	3 12 11VL 31AK 3CHOOL3 - 0020 (1 Teal )
Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	56.3%	( 8.4 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	71.4%	( 25.0 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	60.0%	( 9.0 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	s Meets	65.6%	( 23.0 out of 35 points )	
6 Test Participation 3	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		65.4%	( 65.4 out of 100 points )	

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

<b>Test Participation Rat</b>	es															
% of Students Tested						Participation Rating			Students Tested					Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	99.8%	99.8%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	945	945	-	-	947	947
Mathematics	-	-	99.9%	99.9%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	946	946	-	-	947	947
Writing	-	-	99.9%	99.9%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	946	946	-	-	947	947
Science	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	431	431	-	-	431	431
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.2%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	433	-	-	-	441	-





Performance Indicators							Le	el: High School
School: HORIZON HIGH SCHOOL						District:	ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOO	LS - 0020 (1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	929	69.11	38	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	930	41.72	70	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	930	45.81	38	
Science	2	4		Approaching	423	48.94	47	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	908	45	17	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	909	56	78	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	909	43	52	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	2	2		Exceeds	26	84	78	Yes
Total	10	14	71.4%	Meets				
			7 = 1 1 / 2					
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	113	46	26	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	300	48	25	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	53	37	90	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	115	46	25	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	247	44	75	No
Mathematics	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	113	57	92	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	300	57	94	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	53	53	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	115	61	82	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	424	58	99	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	113	43	68	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	300	44	68	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	53	53	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	115	45	67	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	401	45	91	No
Total	36	60	60%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets		381/464/ <b>532</b> /661	85.6/86.6/ <b>87.6</b> /84.4%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2.5	4	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching		52/ <b>78</b> /63/69	73.1/ <b>76.9</b> /74.6/71%	80%
Minority Students	0.75			Meets		121/147/ <b>169</b> /193	81/83.7/ <b>84</b> /80.3%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching		24/48/53/ <b>70</b>	58.3/56.3/60.4/ <b>68.6</b> %	80%
English Learners	0.75	1		Meets		27/27/ <b>38</b> /34	77.8/85.2/ <b>86.8</b> /82.4%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		2121	2.2%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		433	19.9	20.0
	10.5	16		11 11 0			-	

Scoring Guide Level: H

formance Indicat	orScorina Guide				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	elFramework Poi
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advar	nced was:							
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-:				Exceeds	Ι	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile		seline).		Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	· · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	/	Approaching		2	content area)	
7.cmevement	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba.)				oes Not Meet		1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percent		h percentile was:		oco mocimico.	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.		<i>F</i>		Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			A	Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			De	oes Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grow	yth percentile and its median studen	nt arowth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA	English	
Crown	• at or above 70.	ter percentile and its incuran stade.	it growin percentile wasi	Т	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			4	Approaching	2	1	_ proneiency)	
	• below 40.				oes Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student q	rowth nercentile and its median stu	dent arowth nercentile was:		oes woe wice		0.5		
	• at or above 60.	Towar percentine and its median sea.	acine grower percentine wasi		Exceeds	Ī	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets		3	1	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			1	Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.				oes Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate si	tudent arowth nercentile and its me	dian student arowth nercent		oco mocimico.	1	_	subgroups in 3	15
Growth Gaps	• at or above 70.	tadent growth percentile and its me	aidii stadent growth percent	inc was.	Exceeds	l I	4	subject areas)	15
	below 70 but at or above 55.			-	Meets		3	3dbjeet di casj	
	below 55 but at or above 40.  below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching		2	1	
	• below 40.				oes Not Meet		1	1	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate/d	isaaareaated araduation		oes (voe (vice)	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.	The school's graduation rate, as	suggregated graduation	Tate was.	Exceeds	4	1	-	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3	0.75	-	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.				Approaching	2	0.73	-	
	• below 65%.				oes Not Meet	1	0.25	-	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:			יט	des Not Meet		0.23	16	
	·			<del></del>	E	ı	4		25
ostsecondary and		01 11 1			Exceeds		•	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readines	i i i				Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-	10 baseline).			Approaching		2	_	
	• above 10%.			Do	oes Not Meet	L	1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average C	Colorado ACT composite score w	ras:						
	• at or above 22.				Exceeds		4	4	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10)				Meets		3	4	
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10)	) baseline).			Approaching		2	4	
	• below 17.			De	oes Not Meet		1		
-Points for each p	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	ype assignn	nent				
Cu	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	nis Indicator.		Cut Point:	The school	earned	of the t	otal Framework points eligib	e.
	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or abo					Performance
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework		ove 47% - belo	ow 60%			Improvement
· -	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points		ove 33% - belo			p	riority Improveme
_	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	7 00	• below 33					Turnaround
	DCIOW 37.370	DOCS NOT WICEL		20.0.7 30					-uuuu

Cut-Points for eac	h performan	ce indicator		Cut-Points for plan type assignment					
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	• at or abov	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60% Performa				
Growth; Gaps	at or abov	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
	at or abov	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.	5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround			
School plan type a	ssignments								
		Plan description							
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Peri	formance Plan.	A school may not implemen	nt a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longe	r than a combined total of			
Improvement Plai	า	The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan.	five consecutive years befo	re the District or Institute is required to restructure or close	the school. The five			
Priority Improvem	riority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the								
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Turi	naround Plan.	school is notified that it is r	equired to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround	d Plan.			

3

SPF 2012 - 0020 - 4108

# 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

#### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 0020 - 4108

# **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

# State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

# This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	81.3	82.7	83.4	84.4
Anticipated Year	2009	82.7	85.4	87.6	
of Graduation	2010	86.5	86.6		
	2011	85.6			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	68.7	70.6	70	71
Anticipated Year	2009	62.5	74.6	74.6	
of Graduation	2010	76.4	76.9		
	2011	73.1			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	77.6	78.9	79.5	80.3
Anticipated Year	2009	77.6	82.5	84	
of Graduation	2010	82.4	83.7		
	2011	81			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	65.6	60	60.8	68.6
Anticipated Year	2009	61.5	52.7	60.4	
of Graduation	2010	71.9	56.3		
	2011	58.3			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	79.4	82.4	82.4	82.4
Anticipated Year	2009	74.4	84.2	86.8	
of Graduation	2010	84	85.2		
	2011	77.8			

#### State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

# This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	81.3	82.7	83.4	84.4
Anticipated Year	2009	82.7	85.4	87.6	
of Graduation	2010	86.5	86.6		
	2011	85.6			
	Aggregated	83.6	84.7	85.2	84.4

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	68.7	70.6	70	71
Anticipated Year	2009	62.5	74.6	74.6	
of Graduation	2010	76.4	76.9		
	2011	73.1			
	Aggregated	70.2	74.2	72.2	71

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	77.6	78.9	79.5	80.3
Anticipated Year	2009	77.6	82.5	84	
of Graduation	2010	82.4	83.7		
	2011	81			
	Aggregated	79.4	81.4	81.6	80.3

### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	65.6	60	60.8	68.6
Anticipated Year	2009	61.5	52.7	60.4	
of Graduation	2010	71.9	56.3		
	2011	58.3			
	Aggregated	64.7	56.6	60.6	68.6

## English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	79.4	82.4	82.4	82.4
Anticipated Year	2009	74.4	84.2	86.8	
of Graduation	2010	84	85.2		
	2011	77.8			
	Aggregated	78.4	83.8	84.7	82.4

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 0020 - 4108