## School Performance Framework 2012

School: VANTAGE POINT - 0210

## AEC: Improvement (See Alternative Education Campus SPF) (Revised)

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Ро
Performance	at or above 60%	
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%	Те
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%	
Turnaround	below 33%	тс

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

#### Performance Indicators Rating/Plan % of Points Earned out of Points Eligible<sup>2</sup> Academic Achievement **Does Not Meet** 25.0% ( 3.8 out of 15 points ) Academic Growth **Does Not Meet** 25.0% ( 8.8 out of 35 points ) Academic Growth Gaps **Does Not Meet** 25.0% ( 3.8 out of 15 points ) Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness **Does Not Meet** 25.0% (8.8 out of 35 points est Participation<sup>3</sup> **Does Not Meet 95% Participation Rate** OTAL 25.2% (25.2 out of 100 points) <sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the

points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

#### Test Participation Rates

% of Students Tested							Participation Rating		Students Tested					Total S	tudents	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	50.0%	95.7%	78.7%	84.0%	-	Meets	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	1	133	229	363	2	139	291	432
Mathematics	50.0%	97.1%	79.3%	84.8%	-	Meets	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	1	134	233	368	2	138	294	434
Writing	50.0%	97.1%	80.1%	85.4%	-	Meets	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	1	135	233	369	2	139	291	432
Science	50.0%	94.7%	81.6%	85.3%	-	Meets	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	1	71	142	214	2	75	174	251
Colorado ACT	-	-	97.6%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	319	-	-	-	327	-

SCHOOLVIew.org

Level: EMH

District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS - 0020 (3 Year<sup>1</sup>)

Performance Indicators							Level: E	ementary Scho
School: VANTAGE POINT							District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHO	OLS - 0020 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	•
Reading	0	0		-	N<16		- -	
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<16	<u> </u>		
Writing	0	0		-	N<16	-	_	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	_	
Total	0	0	%	-				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0		-	N<20	-	- -	-
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Proficiency (CELAp	ro)			-				
Total	0	0	%	-				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	<u>%</u>	Kuting		reitentile	Fercentile	Growth:
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	70	-	N < 20			_
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20 N<20			-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
English Learners	0	0			N<20			
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Mathematics	0	0	%	_	11120			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	70	-	N<20	-		<u>.</u>
Minority Students	0	0			N<20			
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20			-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	- -	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	- -	-
Total	0	0	%					

Performance Indicators							Leve	l: Middle Schoo
School: VANTAGE POINT						Distric	t: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHO	OLS - 0020 (3 Yeai
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	49	16.33	0	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	50	8	0	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	51	11.76	0	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	30	6.67	0	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	45	33	70	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	44	22	98	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	48	24	86	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20		_	-
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	12	25%		//	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth
	-		25%	Does Not Meet	20	24	70	No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	30	24	78	No
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	<u> </u>	4		Does Not Meet	N<20	24	75	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	
Students needing to catch up	0	4		Does Not Meet	33	37	80	 No
Mathematics	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet	55	57	80	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	<b>3</b>	4	23%	Does Not Meet	28	25	98	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	23	98	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		DOES NOT MEET	N<20		-	
English Learners	0	0			N<20		-	
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	37	22	99	No
Writing	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet	57			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	32	27	92	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	22	27	88	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	44	27	87	No
Total	9	36	25%	Does Not Meet			÷.	

Performance Indicators							Lev	vel: High Schoo
School: VANTAGE POINT						District:	ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOO	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4	/01/01/10	Does Not Meet	130	33.08	3	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	132	4.55	3	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	131	12.98	2	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	91	13.19	4	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	67	32	74	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	68	26	99	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	68	33	97	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	31	34	77	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	34	31	84	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	47	31	91	No
Mathematics	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	30	30	99	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	35	33	99	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	64	26	99	No
Writing	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	31	26	97	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	35	38	98	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	62	35	98	No
Total	9	36	25%	Does Not Meet				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		Ν	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4		Does Not Meet		780/627/364/ <b>164</b>	19/33.7/41.2/ <b>48.8</b> %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		287/233/135/ <b>53</b>	16.4/32.2/38.5/ <b>47.2</b> %	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		346/283/168/ <b>69</b>	15.6/31.8/36.3/ <b>43.5</b> %	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		37/35/ <b>23</b> /N<16	13.5/20/ <b>26.1</b> /-%	80%
English Learners	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		62/53/ <b>37</b> /N<16	14.5/28.3/ <b>37.8</b> /-%	80%
Dropout Rate	1	4		Does Not Meet		2949	14.7%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4		Does Not Meet		319	16.1	20.1
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

coring Guide										Level:
oring Guide for Perf	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report								
erformance Indicato	rScoring Guide					Rating	Point	t Value	Total Possible per EMH Le	velFramework F
-	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or adva	nced was:								
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-	10 baseline).				Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile	ntile of all schools (using 2009-10	baseline).			Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	ntile of all schools (using 2009-10	baseline).			Approaching		2	content area)	
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba	seline).				Does Not Meet		1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perc	entile and its median student gro	wth percentile was:				TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.					Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.					Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.					Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.					Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student group	wth percentile and its median stu	dent growth percentil	e was:			TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.					Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.					Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.					Approaching	2	1	_	
	• below 40.					Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median s	student growth perce	ntile was:		<b>I</b>			_	
	• at or above 60.					Exceeds		4	_	
	below 60 but at or above 45.					Meets		3	_	
	below 45 but at or above 30.					Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.					Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate s	tudent growth percentile and its	median student grow	th percen	tile was:	*	-		subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.					Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.					Meets		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.					Approaching		2		
	• below 40.					Does Not Meet		1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate	e/disaggregated gro	aduation	rate was	:	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.					Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.					Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.					Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.					Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:								16	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.					Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-1	0 baseline).				Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009	10 baseline).				Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.	,				Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average (	Colorado ACT composite score	e was:							
	• at or above 22.					Exceeds	1	4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-1	) baseline).				Meets		3	-	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-1	,				Approaching		2	-	
	• below 17.	,				Does Not Meet		1		
Deints for each no			Cut Dointe fo							
	erformance indicator		Cut-Points fo	or plan c	-					h.l.,
	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on t						earned	. of the t	otal Framework points eligi	
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Tables			above 60%	600/			Performance
· · ·	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Fram			bove 47% - belo				Improvemen
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Point	s		above 33% - belo	ow 47%			Priority Improve
	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet			below	33%				Turnaround
ool plan type assig	nments									
	Plan description									
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	formance Plan.	A school may not	impleme	nt a Priority	y Improvement	and/or Tu	rnaround	Plan for longer than a combined	total of
rovement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Ir	nprovement Plan.	- '	•					cture or close the school. The fiv	
riority Improvement	Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Pri	ority Improvement Plan.	consecutive scho	ol years c	ommences	on July 1 during	g the sumi	ner imme rovement	diately following the fall in which	n the

## Reference

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

## **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

### Academic Achievement

	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Pero	centile Cu	it-Points	- 1-year	(2009-10	) baselin	e)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Pere	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 <sup>.</sup>	10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

#### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps


The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

#### Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

#### This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	30.1	43.3	46	48.8
Anticipated Year	2009	15.2	28.2	37.3	
of Graduation	2010	12.1	32		
	2011	22.1			

#### State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

# This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	30.1	43.3	46	48.8
Anticipated Year	2009	15.2	28.2	37.3	
of Graduation	2010	12.1	32		
	2011	22.1			
	Aggregated	19	33.7	41.2	48.8

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	28.6	44	42.3	47.2
Anticipated Year	2009	17.2	26.9	36.1	
of Graduation	2010	4.8	30.5		
	2011	20.4			
	Aggregated	16.4	32.2	38.5	47.2

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

	4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
2008	23.7	40.6	41.4	43.5
2009	14.7	27.1	32.7	
2010	10.1	30.5		
2011	16.8			
Aggregated	15.6	31.8	36.3	43.5
	2009 2010 2011	2008  23.7    2009  14.7    2010  10.1    2011  16.8	2008  23.7  40.6    2009  14.7  27.1    2010  10.1  30.5    2011  16.8	2008  23.7  40.6  41.4    2009  14.7  27.1  32.7    2010  10.1  30.5  30.5    2011  16.8

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	13.5	20	26.1	N<16

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

	1 00	-0		
	4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
2009	N<16	23.8	36	
2010	N<16	23.8		
2011	23.8			
Aggregated	14.5	28.3	37.8	N<16
	2008 2009 2010 2011	4-year    2008  N<16    2009  N<16    2010  N<16    2011  23.8	2008  N<16	4-year  5-year  6-year    2008  N<16  N<16  N<16    2009  N<16  23.8  36    2010  N<16  23.8  201    2011  23.8  -  -

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	28.6	44	42.3	47.2
Anticipated Year	2009	17.2	26.9	36.1	
of Graduation	2010	4.8	30.5		
	2011	20.4			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	23.7	40.6	41.4	43.5
Anticipated Year	2009	14.7	27.1	32.7	
of Graduation	2010	10.1	30.5		
	2011	16.8			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	23.8	36	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	23.8		
	2011	23.8			