School: EXPLORE ELEMENTARY - 0506 District: MAPLETON 1 - 0010 (1 Year')

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible ²
Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	(12.5 out of 25 points)
Academic Growth	Approaching	57.1%	(28.6 out of 50 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	48.3%	(12.1 out of 25 points)
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

(53.2 out of 100 points)

53.2%

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rate	es																
		% of Studen	ts Tested		Participation Rating				Students Tested					Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	
Reading	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	200	-	-	200	200	-	-	200	
Mathematics	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	200	-	-	200	200	-	-	200	
Writing	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	200	-	-	200	200	-	-	200	
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	54	-	-	54	54	-	-	54	
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	



TOTAL

Performance Indicators							Level: F	ementary Schoo
School: EXPLORE ELEMENTARY							District: MAPLETO	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	190	57.37	23	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	191	57.59	24	(
Writing	2	4		Approaching	190	37.89	22	1
Science	2	4		Approaching	54	20.37	15	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
			~~.				Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	146	42	40	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	148	52	60	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	146	47	56	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	2	2	40/	Exceeds	59	64	47	Yes
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	76	42	45	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	96	42	44	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	24	33	73	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	35	41	44	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	60	43	68	No
Mathematics	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	77	60	63	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	98	48	59	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	24	25	85	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	37	50	56	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	62	57	85	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	76	42	61	No
					96	40		No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	96	40	60	INU
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching Approaching	24	50	82	No

29

Total

60

48.3%

Approaching

2

Scoring Guide Level: E

rmance Indicate	or Scoring Guide	Ratina	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	Framework Pa
Thance marcut	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:	nating	7 Omic	- u.uc	Total i ossible per zivili zeve	- Tumeron -
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1	1	
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:		TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:	TCAP	CELA	English		
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:					
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds		4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets		3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	t 1		(4 for each of 5		
Frowth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was	:			subgroups in 3	25
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching		2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1		

	• belo	ow 40.			Does Not Meet	1				
Cut-Points for eac	h performa	nce indicator		Cut-Points for plan type assignment						
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: The school earned	of the total Framework points el	igible.			
Achievement;	at or above	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%		Performance			
Growth; Gaps	at or abov	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 59%		Improvement			
	at or above	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37% - below 47%		Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.	.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround				
School plan type a	assignments									
		Plan description								
Performance Plan	1	The school is required to adopt and implement a Po	erformance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of						
Improvement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an	mprovement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five						
Priority Improven	nent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a P	riority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years	commences on July 1 during the sun	nmer immediately following the fall in	which the			
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a T	urnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.						

3

SPF 2012 - 0010 - 0506

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math				Writing		Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

		Reading		Math				Writing		Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00	
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 0010 - 0506