School: EXPEDITIONARY LEARNING SCHOOL - 2840

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earne				
Performance	at or above 609				
Improvement		at or above 47	% - belov	v 60%	
Priority Improvement		at or above 33	3% - belov	v 47%	
Turnaround			belov	v 33%	
Framework noints	are	calculated	using	the	

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Point	s Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(11.3 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	63.9%	(22.4 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	61.9%	(9.3 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	91.7%	(32.1 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		75.1%	(75.1 out of 100 points)	s earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency. The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.





District: EXPEDITIONARY BOCES - 9130 (3 Year***)

^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

Performance Indicator							Level: Ele	mentary School
School: EXPEDITIONA	RY LEARNING	SCHOOL						(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	215	83.3%	78	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	215	80.0%	74	
Writing	3	4		Meets	215	61.9%	63	
Science	3	4		Meets	73	58.9%	72	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	144	38	22	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	144	48	42	Yes
Writing	2	4		Approaching	144	43	35	Yes
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	8	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	31	40	28	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	=
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	21	34	57	No
Mathematics	5	8	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	31	41	49	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	=
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4	-	Meets	31	59	68	No
Writing	3	8	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	_	-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	31	32	37	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	60	46	58	No
Гotal	11	24	45.8%	Approaching				
Test Participation %	6 of Students Testea		F	Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.5%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		215	216	
Mathematics	100.0%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		215	215	
Writing	100.0%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		215	215	
Science	100.0%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		73	73	

Performance Indicato	rs						Level	: Middle Schoo
School: EXPEDITIONA	ARY LEARNING	SCHOOL						(3 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	289	82.7%	81	
Mathematics	3	4	,	Meets	289	52.6%	51	
Writing	3	4		Meets	289	65.4%	66	
Science	4	4	,	Exceeds	99	72.7%	91	
Total	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	281	55	22	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	281	50	69	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	279	52	49	Yes
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	66	61	29	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	38	45	29	Yes
English Language Learners	0	0		=	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	49	58	59	No
Mathematics	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	66	52	80	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	38	37	73	No
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	115	54	87	No
Writing	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	66	59	59	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	37	44	72	No
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	100	54	70	No
Total	22	36	61.1%	Approaching				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested		R	ating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%		95% Particip	oation Rate Met		290	290	
Mathematics	100.0%		95% Particip	oation Rate Met		289	289	
Writing	100.0%		95% Particip	oation Rate Met		291	291	
Science	100.0%		95% Particip	oation Rate Met		99	99	

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: High School
School: EXPEDITIONARY LEARN	NING SCHOOL							(3 Year***)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	·
Reading	3	4		Meets	159	74.8%	58	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	158	26.0%	39	
Writing	3	4		Meets	159	49.7%	50	
Science	3	4		Meets	82	67.1%	85	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	140	44	13	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	139	55	96	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	140	58	52	Yes
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	6	8	75%	Meets				0.00.000
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	7370	-	N<20		<u>.</u>	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	32	39	19	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Language Learners	0	0			N<20			-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	27	74	75	No
Mathematics	6	8	75%	Meets	27		73	140
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	7370	-	N<20	<u> </u>		-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	32	56	98	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0			N<20		<u>-</u>	
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	90	63	99	No
Writing	7	8	87.5%	Exceeds	30			110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	,	0	67.5%		N -20			
	0			- Evanada	N<20			- - -
Minority Students	0	0		Exceeds	32 N<20	62 	51 	Yes -
Students w/ Disabilities English Language Learners	0	0			N<20		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	58	- 68	 86	No .
Total	19	24	79.2%	Meets	36		80	NO
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	90/66/ 41/27	93.3/98.5/ 100/100 %	80%	
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	379	0.8%	At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	3	4		Meets	77	21.1	At/above State average	
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Test Participation 9	% of Students Tested	1	R	ating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.4%		95% Partici	oation Rate Met		159	160	
Mathematics	98.8%			oation Rate Met		158	160	
Writing	99.4%		95% Partici	oation Rate Met		163	164	
Science	100.0%			oation Rate Met	,	82	82	
Colorado ACT	100.0%			oation Rate Met		77	77	

Scoring Guide Level: All Levels

rformance Indicator	Scorina Guide	Ratina	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Po
•	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:	nating	i omic varac	701011 0331010	Tameworki
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Meets	3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Approaching	2	content area)	13
710	• below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Does Not Meet	1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:	Does Not Wice	<u> </u>		
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:		1 -	content area)	
0.01.0	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:	DOCS NOT WICE	4 -		
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:	DOCS NOT WICE	1 -	group in 3 content	1
Growth Gaps	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	15
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	urcus,	
	below 76 but at or above 40. below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:	DOCS NOT WICE	4 +		
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4		
	• above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.	Approaching	2		
	• below 65%.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:	Does Not Meel	<u> </u>	12	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	• at or below 1%. • at or below the state average but above 1% using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets	3	indicator)	33
nkioice keauliless	• at or below the state average but above 1% using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF).		2	indicator)	
	• at or above 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching Does Not Meet	1		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:	Does Not Meet	4 +		
		Evenode	1 1		
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	3	-	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets			
	• at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.	Does Not Meet	1		

Cut-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan type assignment					
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance			
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround			
School plan type assignments								
	Plan description							

Performance Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.

Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.

Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.

Turnaround Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	96.3	100.0	100.0	100.0
Anticipated Year	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2009	88.5	96.0		
	2010	95.7			

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	96.3	100.0	100.0	100.0
Anticipated	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	
Year of	2009	88.5	96.0		
Graduation	2010	95.7			
	Aggregated	93.3	98.5	100.0	100.0

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, school earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.